

The degree of commutativity/nilpotency of an infinite group

Enric Ventura

Departament de Matemàtiques
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

GAGTA-11

Bilbao

July 7th, 2017

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition and results
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem
- 5 The hyperbolic case
- 6 Generalizations
- 7 Degree of r -nilpotency

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition and results
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem
- 5 The hyperbolic case
- 6 Generalizations
- 7 Degree of r -nilpotency

Motivation

Y. Antolín, A. Martino, E.V., “Degree of commutativity of infinite groups”, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145**(2) (2017), 479-485.

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \in G^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\
 &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) =
 \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

Y. Antolín, A. Martino, E.V., “Degree of commutativity of infinite groups”, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145**(2) (2017), 479-485.

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \in G^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

Y. Antolín, A. Martino, E.V., “Degree of commutativity of infinite groups”, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145**(2) (2017), 479-485.

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \in G^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\
 &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) =
 \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

Y. Antolín, A. Martino, E.V., “Degree of commutativity of infinite groups”, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145**(2) (2017), 479-485.

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \in G^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

Y. Antolín, A. Martino, E.V., “Degree of commutativity of infinite groups”, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145**(2) (2017), 479-485.

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \in G^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

$$= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) =$$

$$= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8},$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. □

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

“There is no live between $5/8$ and 1 ”

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Motivation

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \\ &= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8}, \end{aligned}$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. \square

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

“There is no live between $5/8$ and 1 ”

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Motivation

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \\ &= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8}, \end{aligned}$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. □

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

“There is no live between $5/8$ and 1 ”

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Motivation

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \\ &= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8}, \end{aligned}$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. □

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

"There is no live between $5/8$ and 1 "

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Motivation

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \\
 &= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8},
 \end{aligned}$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. \square

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

“There is no live between 5/8 and 1”

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition and results**
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem
- 5 The hyperbolic case
- 6 Generalizations
- 7 Degree of r -nilpotency

Degree of commutativity

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. group. The *degree of commutativity of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dc_X(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \times \mathbb{B}_X(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \in [0, 1],$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

- (i) *Is this a real lim ?*
- (ii) *Does it depend on X ?*
- (iii) *What is the relation with the algebraic structure of G ?*

Degree of commutativity

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. group. The *degree of commutativity of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dc_X(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \times \mathbb{B}_X(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \in [0, 1],$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

- (i) *Is this a real lim ?*
- (ii) *Does it depend on X ?*
- (iii) *What is the relation with the algebraic structure of G ?*

Degree of commutativity

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. group. The *degree of commutativity of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dc_X(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \times \mathbb{B}_X(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \in [0, 1],$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

- (i) *Is this a real lim ?*
- (ii) *Does it depend on X ?*
- (iii) *What is the relation with the algebraic structure of G ?*

Main result

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually finite (this includes all groups of polynomial growth). Then,

- (i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;
- (ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;
- (iii) $dc_X(G)$ is a real limit and does not depend on X .

Conjecture

The same is true for an arbitrary f.g. G .

Very recently

Matthew Tointon: “Commuting probability in amenable groups”, preprint, gets very similar results for any amenable group.

Main result

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually finite (this includes all groups of polynomial growth). Then,

- (i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;
- (ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;
- (iii) $dc_X(G)$ is a real limit and does not depend on X .

Conjecture

The same is true for an arbitrary f.g. G .

Very recently

Matthew Tointon: “Commuting probability in amenable groups”, preprint, gets very similar results for any amenable group.

Main result

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite (this includes all groups of polynomial growth). Then,*

- (i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;*
- (ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;*
- (iii) $dc_X(G)$ is a real limit and does not depend on X .*

Conjecture

The same is true for an arbitrary f.g. G .

Very recently

Matthew Tointon: “Commuting probability in amenable groups”, preprint, gets very similar results for any amenable group.

Main result

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite (this includes all groups of polynomial growth). Then,*

- (i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;
- (ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;
- (iii) $dc_X(G)$ is a real limit and does not depend on X .

Conjecture

The same is true for an arbitrary f.g. G .

Very recently

Matthew Tointon: “Commuting probability in amenable groups”, preprint, gets very similar results for any amenable group.

Main result

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite (this includes all groups of polynomial growth). Then,*

- (i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;
- (ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;
- (iii) $dc_X(G)$ is a real limit and does not depend on X .

Conjecture

The same is true for an arbitrary f.g. G .

Very recently

Matthew Tointon: “Commuting probability in amenable groups”, preprint, gets very similar results for any amenable group.

Independence on X

Definition

A f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$ is of

- *subexponential* growth* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n+1)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = 1$;
- *polynomial growth* if $|\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq Dn^d$.

Independence on X

Definition

A f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$ is of

- *subexponential* growth* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n+1)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = 1$;
- *polynomial growth* if $|\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq Dn^d$.

Independence on X

Definition

A f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$ is of

- *subexponential* growth* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n+1)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = 1$;
- *polynomial growth (of degree d)* if $0 < Cn^d \leq |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq Dn^d$.

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$. A map $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an *estimation of the X -metric* if $\exists K > 0$ such that $\forall w \in G$

$$\frac{1}{K} f(w) \leq |w|_X \leq K f(w).$$

Example

It is well known that, for $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$, $|\cdot|_X$ is an estimation of the Y -metric, and $|\cdot|_Y$ is an estimation of the X -metric.

Independence on X

Definition

A f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$ is of

- **subexponential* growth** if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n+1)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = 1$;
- **polynomial growth (of degree d)** if $0 < Cn^d \leq |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq Dn^d$.

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$. A map $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an **estimation of the X -metric** if $\exists K > 0$ such that $\forall w \in G$

$$\frac{1}{K} f(w) \leq |w|_X \leq K f(w).$$

Example

It is well known that, for $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$, $|\cdot|_X$ is an estimation of the Y -metric, and $|\cdot|_Y$ is an estimation of the X -metric.

Independence on X

Definition

A f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$ is of

- *subexponential* growth* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n+1)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = 1$;
- *polynomial growth (of degree d)* if $0 < Cn^d \leq |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq Dn^d$.

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$. A map $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an *estimation of the X -metric* if $\exists K > 0$ such that $\forall w \in G$

$$\frac{1}{K} f(w) \leq |w|_X \leq K f(w).$$

Example

It is well known that, for $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$, $|\cdot|_X$ is an estimation of the Y -metric, and $|\cdot|_Y$ is an estimation of the X -metric.

Independence on X

Definition

Define the f -ball and the f -dc:

$$\mathbb{B}_f(n) = \{w \in G \mid f(w) \leq n\},$$

$$dc_f(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_f(n) \times \mathbb{B}_f(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2}.$$

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an estimation of the X -metric. Then,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff dc_f(G) > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{B}_f(n) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_X(Kn) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_f(K^2n)$ so,

$$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \leq |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|.$$

Independence on X

Definition

Define the f -ball and the f -dc:

$$\mathbb{B}_f(n) = \{w \in G \mid f(w) \leq n\},$$

$$dc_f(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_f(n) \times \mathbb{B}_f(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2}.$$

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an estimation of the X -metric. Then,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff dc_f(G) > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{B}_f(n) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_X(Kn) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_f(K^2n)$ so,

$$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \leq |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|.$$

Independence on X

Definition

Define the f -ball and the f -dc:

$$\mathbb{B}_f(n) = \{w \in G \mid f(w) \leq n\},$$

$$dc_f(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_f(n) \times \mathbb{B}_f(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2}.$$

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an estimation of the X -metric. Then,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff dc_f(G) > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{B}_f(n) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_X(Kn) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_f(K^2n)$ so,

$$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \leq |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|.$$

Independence on X

Definition

Define the f -ball and the f -dc:

$$\mathbb{B}_f(n) = \{w \in G \mid f(w) \leq n\},$$

$$dc_f(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_f(n) \times \mathbb{B}_f(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2}.$$

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an estimation of the X -metric. Then,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff dc_f(G) > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{B}_f(n) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_X(Kn) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_f(K^2n)$ so,

$$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \leq |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|.$$

Independence on X

$$\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2} \leq \frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2}.$$

||

$$\left(\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2} \right) \left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \right)^2$$

So, $dc_X(G) = 0 \Rightarrow dc_f(G) = 0$ *since ...*

$$\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n/K)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{C(n/K)^d}{D(Kn)^d} = \frac{C}{DK^{2d}} > 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary

If $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ is of polynomial growth, then

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

Independence on X

$$\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2} \leq \frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2}.$$

||

$$\left(\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2} \right) \left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \right)^2$$

So, $dc_X(G) = 0 \Rightarrow dc_f(G) = 0$ since ...

$$\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n/K)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{C(n/K)^d}{D(Kn)^d} = \frac{C}{DK^{2d}} > 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary

If $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ is of polynomial growth, then

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

Independence on X

$$\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2} \leq \frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2}.$$

||

$$\left(\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2} \right) \left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \right)^2$$

So, $dc_X(G) = 0 \Rightarrow dc_f(G) = 0$ since ...

$$\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n/K)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{C(n/K)^d}{D(Kn)^d} = \frac{C}{DK^{2d}} > 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary

If $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ is of polynomial growth, then

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

Independence on X

$$\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2} \leq \frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2}.$$

||

$$\left(\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2} \right) \left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \right)^2$$

So, $dc_X(G) = 0 \Rightarrow dc_f(G) = 0$ *since ...*

$$\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n/K)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{C(n/K)^d}{D(Kn)^d} = \frac{C}{DK^{2d}} > 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary

If $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ is of polynomial growth, then

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

Independence on X

Definition

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq G = \langle X \rangle$. The subgroup H is *undistorted* if $\exists K > 0$ s.t.
 $\forall h \in H, |h|_Y / K \leq |h|_X \leq K |h|_Y$.
In this case, $|\cdot|_X$ restricted to H is an estimation of the Y -metric for H .

Corollary

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq G$ be a non-distorted subgroup. Then,

$$dc_X(H) = 0 \iff dc_Y(H) = 0.$$

Independence on X

Definition

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq G = \langle X \rangle$. The subgroup H is *undistorted* if $\exists K > 0$ s.t.
 $\forall h \in H, |h|_Y / K \leq |h|_X \leq K|h|_Y$.
 In this case, $|\cdot|_X$ restricted to H is an estimation of the Y -metric for H .

Corollary

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq G$ be a non-distorted subgroup. Then,

$$dc_X(H) = 0 \iff dc_Y(H) = 0.$$

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition and results
- 3 Finite index subgroups**
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem
- 5 The hyperbolic case
- 6 Generalizations
- 7 Degree of r -nilpotency

Finite index subgroups

Lemma (Burillo–V., 2002)

If $H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ and G has subexponential* growth then, for every $g \in G$, there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap gH|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap Hg|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \frac{1}{[G:H]}$.

Remark

This is *false* in the free group: $H = \{\text{even words}\} \leq_2 F_r$.

Proposition*

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then,

$$dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_X(H).$$

In particular, $dc_Y(H) > 0 \Rightarrow dc_X(H) > 0 \Rightarrow dc_X(G) > 0$.

Finite index subgroups

Lemma (Burillo–V., 2002)

If $H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ and G has subexponential* growth then, for every $g \in G$, there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap gH|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap Hg|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \frac{1}{[G:H]}$.

Remark

This is *false* in the free group: $H = \{\text{even words}\} \leq_2 F_r$.

Proposition*

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then,

$$dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_X(H).$$

In particular, $dc_Y(H) > 0 \Rightarrow dc_X(H) > 0 \Rightarrow dc_X(G) > 0$.

Finite index subgroups

Lemma (Burillo–V., 2002)

If $H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ and G has subexponential* growth then, for every $g \in G$, there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap gH|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap Hg|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \frac{1}{[G:H]}$.

Remark

This is *false* in the free group: $H = \{\text{even words}\} \leq_2 F_r$.

Proposition*

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then,

$$dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_X(H).$$

In particular, $dc_Y(H) > 0 \Rightarrow dc_X(H) > 0 \Rightarrow dc_X(G) > 0$.

Finite index subgroups

Proof. Clearly,

$$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \geq |\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|.$$

Therefore, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have for $n \gg 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} &\geq \\ \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \cdot \frac{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} &\geq \\ \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \left(\frac{1}{[G : H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limsups, $dc_X(G) \geq dc_X(H) \left(\frac{1}{[G : H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2$. And this is true

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ so, } dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G : H]^2} dc_X(H). \quad \square$$

Finite index subgroups

Proof. Clearly,

$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \geq |\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|$.
Therefore, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have for $n \gg 0$

$$\frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \geq \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \cdot \frac{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \geq \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2.$$

Taking limsups, $dc_X(G) \geq dc_X(H) \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2$. And this is true

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_X(H)$. \square

Finite index subgroups

Proof. Clearly,

$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \geq |\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|$.
Therefore, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have for $n \gg 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} &\geq \\ \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \cdot \frac{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} &\geq \\ \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limsups, $dc_X(G) \geq dc_X(H) \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2$. And this is true

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_X(H)$. \square

Finite index subgroups

Proof. Clearly,

$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \geq |\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|$.
 Therefore, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have for $n \gg 0$

$$\frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \geq \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \cdot \frac{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \geq \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \left(\frac{1}{[G : H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2.$$

Taking limsups, $dc_X(G) \geq dc_X(H) \left(\frac{1}{[G : H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2$. And this is true

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G : H]^2} dc_X(H)$. \square

Finite index subgroups

Proposition* (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Proposition*

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be subexponentially growing. Then, for any finite quotient G/N , we have $dc_X(G) \leq dc(G/N)$.*

Proof. Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $[G : N] = d$.
 By B-V, $\forall g \in G \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| = 1/d$, indep. X and g .
 But $|G/N| < \infty$, so this lim is uniform on g , i.e.,
 $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0, \forall n \geq n_0$ and $\forall g \in G$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{d} - \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|.$$

Suppose $dc_X(G) > dc(G/N)$ and let us find a contradiction.

Finite index subgroups

Proposition* (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Proposition*

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be subexponentially* growing. Then, for any finite quotient G/N , we have $dc_X(G) \leq dc(G/N)$.

Proof. Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $[G : N] = d$.

By B-V, $\forall g \in G \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| = 1/d$, indep. X and g .

But $|G/N| < \infty$, so this lim is uniform on g , i.e.,

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0, \forall n \geq n_0$ and $\forall g \in G$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{d} - \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|.$$

Suppose $dc_X(G) > dc(G/N)$ and let us find a contradiction.

Finite index subgroups

Proposition* (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Proposition*

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be subexponentially* growing. Then, for any finite quotient G/N , we have $dc_X(G) \leq dc(G/N)$.

Proof. Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $[G : N] = d$.

By B-V, $\forall g \in G \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| = 1/d$, indep. X and g .

But $|G/N| < \infty$, so this lim is uniform on g , i.e.,

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0, \forall n \geq n_0$ and $\forall g \in G$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{d} - \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|.$$

Suppose $dc_X(G) > dc(G/N)$ and let us find a contradiction.

Finite index subgroups

Proposition* (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Proposition*

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be subexponentially* growing. Then, for any finite quotient G/N , we have $dc_X(G) \leq dc(G/N)$.

Proof. Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $[G : N] = d$.

By B-V, $\forall g \in G \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| = 1/d$, indep. X and g .

But $|G/N| < \infty$, so this lim is uniform on g , i.e.,

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0, \forall n \geq n_0$ and $\forall g \in G$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{d} - \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|.$$

Suppose $dc_X(G) > dc(G/N)$ and let us find a contradiction.

Finite index subgroups

Proposition* (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Proposition*

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be subexponentially* growing. Then, for any finite quotient G/N , we have $dc_X(G) \leq dc(G/N)$.

Proof. Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $[G : N] = d$.
 By B-V, $\forall g \in G \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| = 1/d$, indep. X and g .
 But $|G/N| < \infty$, so this lim is **uniform on g** , i.e.,
 $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0, \forall n \geq n_0$ **and** $\forall g \in G$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{d} - \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|.$$

Suppose $dc_X(G) > dc(G/N)$ and let us find a contradiction.

Finite index subgroups

Proposition* (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Proposition*

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be subexponentially* growing. Then, for any finite quotient G/N , we have $dc_X(G) \leq dc(G/N)$.

Proof. Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $[G : N] = d$.
 By B-V, $\forall g \in G \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| = 1/d$, indep. X and g .
 But $|G/N| < \infty$, so this lim is **uniform on g** , i.e.,
 $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0, \forall n \geq n_0$ **and** $\forall g \in G$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{d} - \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|.$$

Suppose $dc_X(G) > dc(G/N)$ and let us find a contradiction.

Finite index subgroups

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 > dc(G/N) + \delta$
 for infinitely many n 's.

In the above inequality, take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that $2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 < \delta$, and $\exists n \gg 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G/N) + \delta &< \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} |\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}| \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right)^2 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 \\
 &= \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} (1 + \varepsilon d)^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} + 2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \\
 &< dc(G/N) + \delta, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Finite index subgroups

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 > dc(G/N) + \delta$
for infinitely many n 's.

In the above inequality, take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that
 $2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 < \delta$, and $\exists n \gg 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G/N) + \delta &< \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} |\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}| \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right)^2 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 \\
 &= \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} (1 + \varepsilon d)^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} + 2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \\
 &< dc(G/N) + \delta, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Finite index subgroups

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 > dc(G/N) + \delta$
for infinitely many n 's.

In the above inequality, take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that
 $2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 < \delta$, and $\exists n \gg 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G/N) + \delta &< \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} |\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}| \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right)^2 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 \\
 &= \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} (1 + \varepsilon d)^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} + 2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \\
 &< dc(G/N) + \delta, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Finite index subgroups

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 > dc(G/N) + \delta$
for infinitely many n 's.

In the above inequality, take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that
 $2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 < \delta$, and $\exists n \gg 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G/N) + \delta &< \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} |\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}| \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right)^2 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 \\
 &= \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} (1 + \varepsilon d)^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} + 2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \\
 &< dc(G/N) + \delta, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Finite index subgroups

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 > dc(G/N) + \delta$
for infinitely many n 's.

In the above inequality, take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that
 $2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 < \delta$, and $\exists n \gg 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G/N) + \delta &< \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} |\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}| \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right)^2 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 \\
 &= \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} (1 + \varepsilon d)^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} + 2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \\
 &< dc(G/N) + \delta, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Finite index subgroups

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 > dc(G/N) + \delta$
for infinitely many n 's.

In the above inequality, take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that $2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 < \delta$, and $\exists n \gg 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} dc(G/N) + \delta &< \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} |\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}| \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right)^2 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 \\ &= \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} (1 + \varepsilon d)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} + 2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \end{aligned}$$

$< dc(G/N) + \delta$, a contradiction. \square

Finite index subgroups

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 > dc(G/N) + \delta$
for infinitely many n 's.

In the above inequality, take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that $2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 < \delta$, and $\exists n \gg 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G/N) + \delta &< \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} |\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}| \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right)^2 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 \\
 &= \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} (1 + \varepsilon d)^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} + 2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \\
 &< dc(G/N) + \delta, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition and results
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem**
- 5 The hyperbolic case
- 6 Generalizations
- 7 Degree of r -nilpotency

Proof of the main result

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually finite. Then,

- (i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;
- (ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;

Proof: (i). Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.

(ii, \Leftarrow). Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.

(ii, \Rightarrow). Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof of the main result

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually finite. Then,

- (i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;
- (ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;

Proof: (i). Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.

(ii, \Leftarrow). Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.

(ii, \Rightarrow). Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof of the main result

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually finite. Then,

- (i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;
- (ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;

Proof: (i). Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.

(ii, \Leftarrow). Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.

(ii, \Rightarrow). Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof of the main result

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,*

- (i) *$dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;*
- (ii) *$dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;*

Proof: (i). *Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.*

(ii, \Leftarrow). *Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.*

(ii, \Rightarrow). *Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.*

Proof of the main result

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually finite. Then,

- (i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;
- (ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;

Proof: (i). Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.

(ii, \Leftarrow). Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.

(ii, \Rightarrow). Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof of the main result

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually finite. Then,

- (i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;
- (ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;

Proof: (i). Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.

(ii, \Leftarrow). Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.

(ii, \Rightarrow). Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof of the main result

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually finite. Then,

- (i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;
- (ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;

Proof: (i). Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.

(ii, \Leftarrow). Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.

(ii, \Rightarrow). Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof of the main result

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually finite. Then,

- (i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;
- (ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;

Proof: (i). Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.

(ii, \Leftarrow). Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.

(ii, \Rightarrow). Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof of the main result

Claim: If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

Proof of the main result

Claim: If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch. \\ f.i.}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

$$K_0 = G,$$

Proof of the main result

Claim: If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

$$K_1 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} K_0 = G,$$

Proof of the main result

Claim: If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

$$K_2 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} K_1 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} K_0 = G,$$

Proof of the main result

Claim: If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{ch., f.i.} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

$$\cdots \trianglelefteq_{ch., f.i.} K_r \trianglelefteq_{ch., f.i.} K_{r-1} \trianglelefteq_{ch., f.i.} \cdots \trianglelefteq_{ch., f.i.} K_2 \trianglelefteq_{ch., f.i.} K_1 \trianglelefteq_{ch., f.i.} K_0 = G,$$

Proof of the main result

Claim: If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

$$\cdots \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} K_r \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} K_{r-1} \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} \cdots \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} K_2 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} K_1 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} K_0 = G,$$

such that K_{r-1}/K_r is not abelian so, $dc(K_{r-1}/K_r) \leq 5/8 \quad \forall r.$

Proof of the main result

Claim: If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

$$\cdots \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_r \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_{r-1} \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} \cdots \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_2 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_1 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_0 = G,$$

such that K_{r-1}/K_r is not abelian so, $dc(K_{r-1}/K_r) \leq 5/8 \quad \forall r$.

Then $\forall r, \quad K_r \trianglelefteq G, \quad (G/K_r)/(K_{r-1}/K_r) = G/K_{r-1}$ and, by Gallagher,

$$dc(G/K_r) \leq dc(K_{r-1}/K_r) \cdot dc(G/K_{r-1}) \leq 5/8 \cdot dc(G/K_{r-1}).$$

Proof of the main result

Claim: If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

$$\cdots \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_r \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_{r-1} \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} \cdots \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_2 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_1 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_0 = G,$$

such that K_{r-1}/K_r is not abelian so, $dc(K_{r-1}/K_r) \leq 5/8 \quad \forall r$.
 Then $\forall r, \quad K_r \trianglelefteq G, \quad (G/K_r)/(K_{r-1}/K_r) = G/K_{r-1}$ and, by Gallagher,

$$dc(G/K_r) \leq dc(K_{r-1}/K_r) \cdot dc(G/K_{r-1}) \leq 5/8 \cdot dc(G/K_{r-1}).$$

By induction, $dc(G/K_r) \leq (5/8)^r$ and so,

$$dc_X(G) \leq dc(G/K_r) \leq (5/8)^r,$$

for every r . Therefore, $dc_X(G) = 0$. \square

Independence from X

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually finite. Then,

- (i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;
- (ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;

Corollary

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually finite. Then, $dc_X(G)$ is a real limit and does not depend on X .

... it just remains to prove that if $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ is virtually abelian then, $dc_X(G) = dc_Y(G)$ and is a real limit.

Independence from X

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually finite. Then,

- (i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;
- (ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian;

Corollary

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually finite. Then, $dc_X(G)$ is a real limit and does not depend on X .

... it just remains to prove that if $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ is virtually abelian then, $dc_X(G) = dc_Y(G)$ and is a real limit.

Independence from X

Proposition (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let G be f.g., and $A \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$, with $\mathbb{Z}^k \simeq A$ (via $\mathbf{u} \mapsto t^{\mathbf{u}}$). Then,

$$\left\{ (g, h) \in G^2 \mid gh = hg \right\} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r (g_i A, h_i A) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s P_i,$$

where $P_i = \{(g_i t^{\mathbf{u}}, h_i t^{\mathbf{v}}) \mid (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in L_i\}$, and L_i is a proper direct summand of $A^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$.

Proof: Consider the action of G by right conjugation on A ,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma: G &\rightarrow \text{Aut}(A) = GL_k(\mathbb{Z}) \\ g &\mapsto \gamma_g: A \rightarrow A \\ t^{\mathbf{u}} &\mapsto g^{-1} t^{\mathbf{u}} g = t^{\mathbf{u} M_g}. \end{aligned}$$

Now split into a finite union

$$\left\{ (g, h) \in G^2 \mid gh = hg \right\} = \bigsqcup_{C, C' \in G/A} \left\{ (g, h) \in (C, C') \mid gh = hg \right\}.$$

Independence from X

Proposition (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let G be f.g., and $A \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$, with $\mathbb{Z}^k \simeq A$ (via $\mathbf{u} \mapsto t^{\mathbf{u}}$). Then,

$$\left\{ (g, h) \in G^2 \mid gh = hg \right\} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r (g_i A, h_i A) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s P_i,$$

where $P_i = \{(g_i t^{\mathbf{u}}, h_i t^{\mathbf{v}}) \mid (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in L_i\}$, and L_i is a proper direct summand of $A^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$.

Proof: Consider the action of G by right conjugation on A ,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma: G &\rightarrow \text{Aut}(A) = GL_k(\mathbb{Z}) \\ g &\mapsto \gamma_g: A \rightarrow A \\ t^{\mathbf{u}} &\mapsto g^{-1} t^{\mathbf{u}} g = t^{\mathbf{u} M_g}. \end{aligned}$$

Now split into a finite union

$$\left\{ (g, h) \in G^2 \mid gh = hg \right\} = \bigsqcup_{C, C' \in G/A} \left\{ (g, h) \in (C, C') \mid gh = hg \right\}.$$

Independence from X

Proposition (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let G be f.g., and $A \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$, with $\mathbb{Z}^k \simeq A$ (via $\mathbf{u} \mapsto t^{\mathbf{u}}$). Then,

$$\left\{ (g, h) \in G^2 \mid gh = hg \right\} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r (g_i A, h_i A) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s P_i,$$

where $P_i = \{(g_i t^{\mathbf{u}}, h_i t^{\mathbf{v}}) \mid (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in L_i\}$, and L_i is a proper direct summand of $A^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$.

Proof: Consider the action of G by right conjugation on A ,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma: G &\rightarrow \text{Aut}(A) = GL_k(\mathbb{Z}) \\ g &\mapsto \gamma_g: A \rightarrow A \\ t^{\mathbf{u}} &\mapsto g^{-1} t^{\mathbf{u}} g = t^{\mathbf{u} M_g}. \end{aligned}$$

Now split into a finite union

$$\left\{ (g, h) \in G^2 \mid gh = hg \right\} = \bigsqcup_{C, C' \in G/A} \left\{ (g, h) \in (C, C') \mid gh = hg \right\}.$$

Independence from X

For $g \in C$ and $h \in C'$ with $[g, h] = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [gt^{\mathbf{u}}, ht^{\mathbf{v}}] &= t^{-\mathbf{u}}g^{-1}t^{-\mathbf{v}}h^{-1}gt^{\mathbf{u}}ht^{\mathbf{v}} = t^{-\mathbf{u}}(g^{-1}t^{-\mathbf{v}}g)(h^{-1}t^{\mathbf{u}}h)t^{\mathbf{v}} = \\ &= t^{-\mathbf{u}}t^{-\mathbf{v}M_g}t^{\mathbf{u}M_h}t^{\mathbf{v}} = t^{\mathbf{u}(M_h - Id) + \mathbf{v}(Id - M_g)}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $gt^{\mathbf{u}}$ and $ht^{\mathbf{v}}$ commute $\Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \cdot (M_h - Id, Id - M_g)^t = 0$.

- If $M_g = M_h = Id$ then we get pairs of full cosets modulo A .
- Otherwise, $(M_h - Id, Id - M_g) \neq (0)$ and we get a block of the form $P = \{(gt^{\mathbf{u}}, ht^{\mathbf{v}}) \mid (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in L\}$, where $L \leq_{\oplus} A^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$ is proper,

$$\left\{ (g, h) \in G^2 \mid gh = hg \right\} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r (g_i A, h_i A) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s P_i.$$

Hence, $dc_X(G) = \frac{r}{[G:A]^2} + s \cdot 0$ as a real limit, and independent from X .

Independence from X

For $g \in C$ and $h \in C'$ with $[g, h] = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [gt^{\mathbf{u}}, ht^{\mathbf{v}}] &= t^{-\mathbf{u}}g^{-1}t^{-\mathbf{v}}h^{-1}gt^{\mathbf{u}}ht^{\mathbf{v}} = t^{-\mathbf{u}}(g^{-1}t^{-\mathbf{v}}g)(h^{-1}t^{\mathbf{u}}h)t^{\mathbf{v}} = \\ &= t^{-\mathbf{u}}t^{-\mathbf{v}M_g}t^{\mathbf{u}M_h}t^{\mathbf{v}} = t^{\mathbf{u}(M_h - Id) + \mathbf{v}(Id - M_g)}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $gt^{\mathbf{u}}$ and $ht^{\mathbf{v}}$ commute $\Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \cdot (M_h - Id, Id - M_g)^t = 0$.

- If $M_g = M_h = Id$ then we get pairs of full cosets modulo A .
- Otherwise, $(M_h - Id, Id - M_g) \neq (0)$ and we get a block of the form $P = \{(gt^{\mathbf{u}}, ht^{\mathbf{v}}) \mid (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in L\}$, where $L \leq_{\oplus} A^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$ is proper,

$$\left\{ (g, h) \in G^2 \mid gh = hg \right\} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r (g_i A, h_i A) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s P_i.$$

Hence, $dc_X(G) = \frac{r}{[G:A]^2} + s \cdot 0$ as a real limit, and independent from X .

Independence from X

For $g \in C$ and $h \in C'$ with $[g, h] = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [gt^{\mathbf{u}}, ht^{\mathbf{v}}] &= t^{-\mathbf{u}}g^{-1}t^{-\mathbf{v}}h^{-1}gt^{\mathbf{u}}ht^{\mathbf{v}} = t^{-\mathbf{u}}(g^{-1}t^{-\mathbf{v}}g)(h^{-1}t^{\mathbf{u}}h)t^{\mathbf{v}} = \\ &= t^{-\mathbf{u}}t^{-\mathbf{v}M_g}t^{\mathbf{u}M_h}t^{\mathbf{v}} = t^{\mathbf{u}(M_h - Id) + \mathbf{v}(Id - M_g)}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $gt^{\mathbf{u}}$ and $ht^{\mathbf{v}}$ commute $\Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \cdot (M_h - Id, Id - M_g)^t = 0$.

- If $M_g = M_h = Id$ then we get pairs of full cosets modulo A .
- Otherwise, $(M_h - Id, Id - M_g) \neq (0)$ and we get a block of the form $P = \{(gt^{\mathbf{u}}, ht^{\mathbf{v}}) \mid (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in L\}$, where $L \leq_{\oplus} A^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$ is proper,

$$\left\{ (g, h) \in G^2 \mid gh = hg \right\} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r (g_i A, h_i A) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s P_i.$$

Hence, $dc_X(G) = \frac{r}{[G:A]^2} + s \cdot 0$ as a real limit, and independent from X .

Independence from X

For $g \in C$ and $h \in C'$ with $[g, h] = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 [gt^{\mathbf{u}}, ht^{\mathbf{v}}] &= t^{-\mathbf{u}}g^{-1}t^{-\mathbf{v}}h^{-1}gt^{\mathbf{u}}ht^{\mathbf{v}} = t^{-\mathbf{u}}(g^{-1}t^{-\mathbf{v}}g)(h^{-1}t^{\mathbf{u}}h)t^{\mathbf{v}} = \\
 &= t^{-\mathbf{u}}t^{-\mathbf{v}M_g}t^{\mathbf{u}M_h}t^{\mathbf{v}} = t^{\mathbf{u}(M_h - Id) + \mathbf{v}(Id - M_g)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, $gt^{\mathbf{u}}$ and $ht^{\mathbf{v}}$ commute $\Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \cdot (M_h - Id, Id - M_g)^t = 0$.

- If $M_g = M_h = Id$ then we get pairs of full cosets modulo A .
- Otherwise, $(M_h - Id, Id - M_g) \neq (0)$ and we get a block of the form $P = \{(gt^{\mathbf{u}}, ht^{\mathbf{v}}) \mid (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in L\}$, where $L \leq_{\oplus} A^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$ is proper,

$$\left\{ (g, h) \in G^2 \mid gh = hg \right\} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r (g_i A, h_i A) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s P_i.$$

Hence, $dc_X(G) = \frac{r}{[G:A]^2} + s \cdot 0$ as a real limit, and independent from X .

Independence from X

For $g \in C$ and $h \in C'$ with $[g, h] = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [gt^{\mathbf{u}}, ht^{\mathbf{v}}] &= t^{-\mathbf{u}}g^{-1}t^{-\mathbf{v}}h^{-1}gt^{\mathbf{u}}ht^{\mathbf{v}} = t^{-\mathbf{u}}(g^{-1}t^{-\mathbf{v}}g)(h^{-1}t^{\mathbf{u}}h)t^{\mathbf{v}} = \\ &= t^{-\mathbf{u}}t^{-\mathbf{v}M_g}t^{\mathbf{u}M_h}t^{\mathbf{v}} = t^{\mathbf{u}(M_h - Id) + \mathbf{v}(Id - M_g)}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $gt^{\mathbf{u}}$ and $ht^{\mathbf{v}}$ commute $\Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \cdot (M_h - Id, Id - M_g)^t = 0$.

- If $M_g = M_h = Id$ then we get pairs of full cosets modulo A .
- Otherwise, $(M_h - Id, Id - M_g) \neq (0)$ and we get a block of the form $P = \{(gt^{\mathbf{u}}, ht^{\mathbf{v}}) \mid (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in L\}$, where $L \leq_{\oplus} A^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$ is proper,

$$\left\{ (g, h) \in G^2 \mid gh = hg \right\} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r (g_i A, h_i A) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^s P_i.$$

Hence, $dc_X(G) = \frac{r}{[G:A]^2} + s \cdot 0$ as a real limit, and independent from X .

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition and results
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem
- 5 The hyperbolic case**
- 6 Generalizations
- 7 Degree of r -nilpotency

The hyperbolic case

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

For every non-elementary hyperbolic group G and every X , $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition and results
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem
- 5 The hyperbolic case
- 6 **Generalizations**
- 7 Degree of r -nilpotency

Generalizations

- We can replace $xy = yx$ by any *system of equations* \mathcal{E} .
- We can replace the *uniform measures on balls* to any *sequence of measures* μ_n with increasing compact support (coming from random walks, amenability, etc).

Definition

Let G , \mathcal{E} and μ_n be as above. We define the *degree of satisfiability* of \mathcal{E} in G w.r.t. μ_n as

$$ds(G, \mathcal{E}, \{\mu_n\}_n) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n^{\times k}(\{(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G^k \mid (g_1, \dots, g_k) \text{ sol. } \mathcal{E}\}) \in [0, 1].$$

Generalizations

- We can replace $xy = yx$ by any *system of equations* \mathcal{E} .
- We can replace the *uniform measures on balls* to any *sequence of measures* μ_n with increasing compact support (coming from random walks, amenability, etc).

Definition

Let G , \mathcal{E} and μ_n be as above. We define the *degree of satisfiability* of \mathcal{E} in G w.r.t. μ_n as

$$ds(G, \mathcal{E}, \{\mu_n\}_n) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n^{\times k}(\{(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G^k \mid (g_1, \dots, g_k) \text{ sol. } \mathcal{E}\}) \in [0, 1].$$

Generalizations

- We can replace $xy = yx$ by any *system of equations* \mathcal{E} .
- We can replace the *uniform measures on balls* to any *sequence of measures* μ_n with increasing compact support (coming from random walks, amenability, etc).

Definition

Let G , \mathcal{E} and μ_n be as above. We define the *degree of satisfiability of \mathcal{E} in G w.r.t. μ_n* as

$$ds(G, \mathcal{E}, \{\mu_n\}_n) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n^{\times k}(\{(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G^k \mid (g_1, \dots, g_k) \text{ sol. } \mathcal{E}\}) \in [0, 1].$$

Generalizations

Meta-conjecture

Let G , \mathcal{E} , and $\{\mu_n\}_n$ be as above, with \mathcal{E} having a gap for finite groups, and μ_n being “reasonable”. Then,

$$ds(G, \mathcal{E}, \{\mu_n\}_n) > 0 \iff \mathcal{E} \text{ is a virtual law in } G.$$

Definition

\mathcal{E} is a *law* in G if every $(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G^k$ is a solution of \mathcal{E} in G .

\mathcal{E} is a *virtual law* in G if $\exists H \leq_{f.i.} G$ such that \mathcal{E} is a law in H .

Generalizations

Meta-conjecture

Let G , \mathcal{E} , and $\{\mu_n\}_n$ be as above, with \mathcal{E} having a gap for finite groups, and μ_n being “reasonable”. Then,

$$ds(G, \mathcal{E}, \{\mu_n\}_n) > 0 \iff \mathcal{E} \text{ is a virtual law in } G.$$

Definition

\mathcal{E} is a *law* in G if every $(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G^k$ is a solution of \mathcal{E} in G .

\mathcal{E} is a *virtual law* in G if $\exists H \leq_{f.i.} G$ such that \mathcal{E} is a law in H .

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition and results
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem
- 5 The hyperbolic case
- 6 Generalizations
- 7 Degree of r -nilpotency**

Degree of r -nilpotency

Let us consider the r -equation: $[[[x_0, x_1], x_2] \cdots], x_r]$.

Notation: $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_r)$, $[\mathbf{u}] = [u_0, \dots, u_r] = [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r]$.

Definition

For a finite group G , the *degree of r -nilpotency* is

$$dn_r(G) = \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in G^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1\}|}{|G|^{r+1}}.$$

Proposition (indep. by R. Rezaei–Russo for compact groups)

For $r \geq 1$, any finite group G , if $dn_r(G) > 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}$ then $dn_r(G) = 1$.

Degree of r -nilpotency

Let us consider the r -equation: $[[[x_0, x_1], x_2] \cdots], x_r$.

Notation: $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_r)$, $[\mathbf{u}] = [u_0, \dots, u_r] = [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r$.

Definition

For a finite group G , the *degree of r -nilpotency* is

$$dn_r(G) = \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in G^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r = 1\}|}{|G|^{r+1}}.$$

Proposition (indep. by R. Rezaei–Russo for compact groups)

For $r \geq 1$, any finite group G , if $dn_r(G) > 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}$ then $dn_r(G) = 1$.

Degree of r -nilpotency

Let us consider the r -equation: $[[[[x_0, x_1], x_2] \cdots], x_r]$.

Notation: $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_r)$, $[\mathbf{u}] = [u_0, \dots, u_r] = [[[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r]$.

Definition

For a finite group G , the *degree of r -nilpotency* is

$$dn_r(G) = \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in G^{r+1} \mid [[[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1\}|}{|G|^{r+1}}.$$

Proposition (indep. by R. Rezaei–Russo for compact groups)

For $r \geq 1$, any finite group G , if $dn_r(G) > 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}$ then $dn_r(G) = 1$.

Degree of r -nilpotency

Let us consider the r -equation: $[[[x_0, x_1], x_2] \cdots], x_r]$.

Notation: $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_r)$, $[\mathbf{u}] = [u_0, \dots, u_r] = [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r]$.

Definition

For a finite group G , the *degree of r -nilpotency* is

$$dn_r(G) = \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in G^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1\}|}{|G|^{r+1}}.$$

Proposition (indep. by R. Rezaei–Russo for compact groups)

For $r \geq 1$, any finite group G , if $dn_r(G) > 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}$ then $dn_r(G) = 1$.

The meta-conjecture for r -nilpotence

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be f.g. The *degree of r -nilpotency of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dn_{r,X}(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^{r+1}},$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually- p for infinitely many primes. Then,

- (i) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}} \Leftrightarrow G$ is r -nilpotent;
- (ii) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually r -nilpotent.

The problem here is that we still don't know whether $dn_r(G) \leq dn_r(H) \cdot dn_r(G/H)$?

The meta-conjecture for r -nilpotence

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be f.g. The *degree of r -nilpotency of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dn_{r,X}(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)^{r+1} \mid [[[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1 \}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^{r+1}},$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually- p for infinitely many primes. Then,

- (i) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}} \Leftrightarrow G$ is r -nilpotent;
- (ii) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually r -nilpotent.

The problem here is that we still don't know whether
 $dn_r(G) \leq dn_r(H) \cdot dn_r(G/H)$?

The meta-conjecture for r -nilpotence

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be f.g. The *degree of r -nilpotency of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dn_{r,X}(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^{r+1}},$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually- p for infinitely many primes. Then,

- (i) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}} \Leftrightarrow G$ is r -nilpotent;
- (ii) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually r -nilpotent.

The problem here is that we still don't know whether
 $dn_r(G) \leq dn_r(H) \cdot dn_r(G/H)$?

The meta-conjecture for r -nilpotence

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be f.g. The *degree of r -nilpotency of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dn_{r,X}(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)^{r+1} \mid [[[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1 \}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^{r+1}},$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually- p for infinitely many primes. Then,

- (i) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}} \Leftrightarrow G$ is r -nilpotent;
- (ii) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually r -nilpotent.

The problem here is that we still don't know whether
 $dn_r(G) \leq dn_r(H) \cdot dn_r(G/H)$?

The meta-conjecture for r -nilpotence

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be f.g. The *degree of r -nilpotency of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dn_{r,X}(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^{r+1}},$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Theorem (Antolín–Martino–V.)

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential* growth and residually- p for infinitely many primes. Then,

- (i) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}} \Leftrightarrow G$ is r -nilpotent;
- (ii) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually r -nilpotent.

The problem here is that we still don't know whether
 $dn_r(G) \leq dn_r(H) \cdot dn_r(G/H)$?

THANKS

ESKERRIK ASKO