Existence of finitely presented intersection-saturated groups

Enric Ventura

Departament de Matemàtiques
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Geometry Seminar

Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wroclawski

(joint work with J. Delgado and M. Roy)

June 5th, 2023.

Outline

Outline

Free groups

It is well known that subgroups of free groups are free ...

$$H \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n \quad \Rightarrow \quad H \text{ is free}$$

but not necessarily of rank $\leq n$.

Example

Consider $\mathbb{F}_2 = \langle x, y \mid \ \rangle$ and the normal closure of x,

$$\ll x \gg = \langle \ldots, y^2xy^{-2}, yxy^{-1}, x, y^{-1}xy, y^{-2}xy^2, \ldots \rangle.$$

Looking at its Stallings graph



we see these generators are a free basis; so, $\mathbb{F}_{\aleph_0} \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2$.

Free groups

It is well known that subgroups of free groups are free ...

$$H \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n \quad \Rightarrow \quad H \text{ is free}$$

but not necessarily of rank $\leq n$.

Example

Consider $\mathbb{F}_2 = \langle x, y \mid \ \rangle$ *and the normal closure of* x,

$$\ll x \gg = \langle \ldots, y^2xy^{-2}, yxy^{-1}, x, y^{-1}xy, y^{-2}xy^2, \ldots \rangle.$$

Looking at its Stallings graph



we see these generators are a free basis; so, $\mathbb{F}_{\aleph_0} \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2$.

Free groups

It is well known that subgroups of free groups are free ...

$$H \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n \quad \Rightarrow \quad H \text{ is free}$$

but not necessarily of rank $\leq n$.

Example

Consider $\mathbb{F}_2 = \langle x, y \mid \ \rangle$ *and the normal closure of* x,

$$\ll x \gg = \langle \ldots, y^2xy^{-2}, yxy^{-1}, x, y^{-1}xy, y^{-2}xy^2, \ldots \rangle.$$

Looking at its Stallings graph



we see these generators are a free basis; so, $\mathbb{F}_{\aleph_0} \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2$.

Free groups

It is well known that subgroups of free groups are free ...

$$H \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n \quad \Rightarrow \quad H \text{ is free}$$

but not necessarily of rank $\leq n$.

Example

Consider $\mathbb{F}_2 = \langle x, y \mid \rangle$ *and the normal closure of* x,

$$\ll x \gg = \langle \ldots, y^2xy^{-2}, yxy^{-1}, x, y^{-1}xy, y^{-2}xy^2, \ldots \rangle.$$

Looking at its Stallings graph



we see these generators are a free basis; so, $\mathbb{F}_{\aleph_0} \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2$.

The Howson property

Definition

A group G is Howson if, for any finitely generated $H, K \leqslant_{fg} G$, the intersection $H \cap K$ is, again, finitely generated.

Theorem (Howson, 1954)

Free groups are Howson.

In other words... the configuration



is not realizable in a free group ($\circ$ means f.g. and $\bullet$ means non-f.g.).

Observation

Out of $2^3 = 8$ possible such configurations this is the only one forbidden in free groups.

The Howson property

Definition

A group G is Howson if, for any finitely generated $H, K \leqslant_{fg} G$, the intersection $H \cap K$ is, again, finitely generated.

Theorem (Howson, 1954)

Free groups are Howson.

In other words... the configuration



is not realizable in a free group ($\circ$ means f.g. and $\bullet$ means non-f.g.).

Observation

Out of $2^3 = 8$ possible such configurations this is the only one forbidden in free groups.

The Howson property

Definition

A group G is Howson if, for any finitely generated $H, K \leqslant_{fg} G$, the intersection $H \cap K$ is, again, finitely generated.

Theorem (Howson, 1954)

Free groups are Howson.

In other words... the configuration



is not realizable in a free group ($\circ$ means f.g. and $\bullet$ means non-f.g.).

Observation

Out of $2^3 = 8$ possible such configurations this is the only one forbidden in free groups.

The Howson property

Definition

A group G is Howson if, for any finitely generated $H, K \leqslant_{fg} G$, the intersection $H \cap K$ is, again, finitely generated.

Theorem (Howson, 1954)

Free groups are Howson.

In other words... the configuration



is not realizable in a free group ($\circ$ means f.g. and $\bullet$ means non-f.g.).

Observation

Out of $2^3 = 8$ possible such configurations this is the only one forbidden in free groups.

Intersection configurations

Question

What about configurations with $k \geq 2$ subgroups (k-configurations)?

Using this convention, what about the following 3-configurations?







Intersection configurations

Question

What about configurations with $k \geq 2$ subgroups (k-configurations)?

Using this convention, what about the following 3-configurations?







Our main results

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V., '22)

A k-configuration is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n$, $n \geq 2$, $\Leftrightarrow$ it respects the Howson property.

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

There exist finitely presented intersection-saturated groups.

Our main results

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V., '22)

A k-configuration is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n$, $n \geq 2$, $\Leftrightarrow$ it respects the Howson property.

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

There exist finitely presented intersection-saturated groups.

Formal definitions

Definition

A (intersection) k-configuration is a map $\chi\colon \mathcal{P}([k]) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \to \{0,1\}$. If $\mathcal{I} = (1)\chi^{-1}$ is the support of χ, we write $\chi = \chi_{\mathcal{I}}$. Notation:

- $\mathbf{0} = \chi_{\emptyset}$ *is the zero-configuration;*
- $\mathbf{1} = \chi_{\mathcal{P}([k])\setminus\{\emptyset\}}$ *is the one-configuration;*
- $\chi_{\mathcal{I}}$ *is an almost-zero k-configuration if $\mathcal{I} = \{I\}$.*

Definition

A k-configuration χ is realizable in a group G if there exists subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leq G$ such that, for every $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [k]$, $H_I = \cap_{i \in I} H_i$ if f.g. $\Leftrightarrow (I)\chi = 0$. Note that $H_{I \cup J} = H_I \cap H_J$.

Definition

A group G is intersection-saturated if every k-configuration is realizable in G.

Formal definitions

Definition

A (intersection) k-configuration is a map $\chi : \mathcal{P}([k]) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \to \{0, 1\}$. If $\mathcal{I} = (1)\chi^{-1}$ is the support of χ, we write $\chi = \chi_{\mathcal{I}}$. Notation:

- $\mathbf{0} = \chi_\emptyset$ *is the zero-configuration;*
- $\mathbf{1} = \chi_{\mathcal{P}([k])\setminus\{\emptyset\}}$ *is the one-configuration;*
- $\chi_{\mathcal{I}}$ *is an almost-zero k-configuration if $\mathcal{I} = \{I\}$.*

Definition

A k-configuration χ is realizable in a group G if there exists subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leq G$ such that, for every $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [k]$, $H_I = \cap_{i \in I} H_i$ if f.g. $\Leftrightarrow (I)\chi = 0$. Note that $H_{I \cup J} = H_I \cap H_J$.

Definition

A group G is intersection-saturated if every k-configuration is realizable in G.

Formal definitions

Definition

A (intersection) k-configuration is a map $\chi \colon \mathcal{P}([k]) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \to \{0,1\}$. If $\mathcal{I} = (1)\chi^{-1}$ is the support of χ, we write $\chi = \chi_{\mathcal{I}}$. Notation:

- $\mathbf{0} = \chi_{\emptyset}$ *is the zero-configuration;*
- $\mathbf{1} = \chi_{\mathcal{P}([k])\setminus\{\emptyset\}}$ *is the one-configuration;*
- $\chi_{\mathcal{I}}$ *is an almost-zero k-configuration if $\mathcal{I} = \{I\}$.*

Definition

A k-configuration χ is realizable in a group G if there exists subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leq G$ such that, for every $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [k]$, $H_I = \cap_{i \in I} H_i$ if f.g. $\Leftrightarrow (I)\chi = 0$. Note that $H_{I \cup J} = H_I \cap H_J$.

Definition

A group G is intersection-saturated if every k-configuration is realizable in G.

Formal definitions

Definition

A (intersection) k-configuration is a map $\chi\colon \mathcal{P}([k]) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \to \{0,1\}$. If $\mathcal{I} = (1)\chi^{-1}$ is the support of χ, we write $\chi = \chi_{\mathcal{I}}$. Notation:

- $\mathbf{0} = \chi_{\emptyset}$ *is the zero-configuration;*
- $\mathbf{1} = \chi_{\mathcal{P}([k])\setminus\{\emptyset\}}$ *is the one-configuration;*
- $\chi_{\mathcal{I}}$ *is an almost-zero k-configuration if $\mathcal{I} = \{I\}$.*

Definition

A k-configuration χ is realizable in a group G if there exists subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leq G$ such that, for every $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [k]$, $H_I = \cap_{i \in I} H_i$ if f.g. $\Leftrightarrow (I)\chi = 0$. Note that $H_{I \cup J} = H_I \cap H_J$.

Definition

A group G is intersection-saturated if every k-configuration is realizable in G.

Formal definitions

Definition

A (intersection) k-configuration is a map $\chi \colon \mathcal{P}([k]) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \to \{0,1\}$. If $\mathcal{I} = (1)\chi^{-1}$ is the support of χ, we write $\chi = \chi_{\mathcal{I}}$. Notation:

- $\mathbf{0} = \chi_{\emptyset}$ *is the zero-configuration;*
- $\mathbf{1} = \chi_{\mathcal{P}([k])\setminus\{\emptyset\}}$ *is the one-configuration;*
- $\chi_{\mathcal{I}}$ *is an almost-zero k-configuration if $\mathcal{I} = \{I\}$.*

Definition

A k-configuration χ is realizable in a group G if there exists subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leq G$ such that, for every $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [k]$, $H_I = \cap_{i\in I} H_i$ if f.g. $\Leftrightarrow (I)\chi = 0$. Note that $H_{I\cup J} = H_I \cap H_J$.

Definition

A group G is intersection-saturated if every k-configuration is realizable in G.

Formal definitions

Definition

A (intersection) k-configuration is a map $\chi : \mathcal{P}([k]) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \to \{0, 1\}$. If $\mathcal{I} = (1)\chi^{-1}$ is the support of χ, we write $\chi = \chi_{\mathcal{I}}$. Notation:

- $\mathbf{0} = \chi_{\emptyset}$ *is the zero-configuration;*
- $\mathbf{1} = \chi_{\mathcal{P}([k])\setminus\{\emptyset\}}$ *is the one-configuration;*
- $\chi_{\mathcal{I}}$ *is an almost-zero k-configuration if $\mathcal{I} = \{I\}$.*

Definition

A k-configuration χ is realizable in a group G if there exists subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leq G$ such that, for every $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [k]$, $H_I = \cap_{i \in I} H_i$ if f.g. $\Leftrightarrow (I)\chi = 0$. Note that $H_{I \cup J} = H_I \cap H_J$.

Definition

A group G is intersection-saturated if every k-configuration is realizable in G.

Outline

Free-times-free-abelian groups

$\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, t_1, \ldots, t_m \mid [x_i, t_j] = 1,\ [t_i, t_k] = 1 \rangle.$

Normal form: $\forall g \in \mathbb{G}$, $g = w(x_1, \ldots, x_n) t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_m^{a_m} = wt^{\mathbf{a}}$, *where* $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. *This way,* $(ut^{\mathbf{a}})(vt) = uvt^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}$.

Observation

These groups sit in a split short exact sequence; and, for $H \leqslant \mathbb{G}$,

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}^m \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{G} \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathbb{F}_n \to 1,$$

$$1 \to L_H = H \cap \mathbb{Z}^m \hookrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow H\pi \to 1.$$

Moreover, H *is finitely generated* $\Leftrightarrow$ $H\pi$ *is so.*

Free-times-free-abelian groups

$\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, t_1, \ldots, t_m \mid [x_i, t_j] = 1,\ [t_i, t_k] = 1 \rangle.$

Normal form: $\forall g \in \mathbb{G}$, $g = w(x_1, \ldots, x_n) t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_m^{a_m} = wt^{\mathbf{a}}$, *where* $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. *This way,* $(ut^{\mathbf{a}})(vt) = uvt^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}$.

Observation

These groups sit in a split short exact sequence; and, for $H \leqslant \mathbb{G}$,

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}^m \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{G} \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathbb{F}_n \to 1,$$

$$1 \to L_H = H \cap \mathbb{Z}^m \hookrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow H\pi \to 1.$$

Moreover, H *is finitely generated* $\Leftrightarrow$ $H\pi$ *is so.*

Free-times-free-abelian groups

$\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, t_1, \ldots, t_m \mid [x_i, t_j] = 1, [t_i, t_k] = 1 \rangle.$

Normal form: $\forall g \in \mathbb{G}$, $g = w(x_1, \ldots, x_n) t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_m^{a_m} = wt^{\mathbf{a}}$, *where* $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. *This way,* $(ut^{\mathbf{a}})(vt) = uvt^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}$.

Observation

These groups sit in a split short exact sequence; and, for $H \leqslant \mathbb{G}$,

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}^m \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{G} \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathbb{F}_n \to 1,$$
$$1 \to L_H = H \cap \mathbb{Z}^m \hookrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow H\pi \to 1.$$

Moreover, H is finitely generated $\Leftrightarrow$ $H\pi$ is so.

Free-times-free-abelian groups

$\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, t_1, \ldots, t_m \mid [x_i, t_j] = 1,\ [t_i, t_k] = 1 \rangle.$

Normal form: $\forall g \in \mathbb{G}$, $g = w(x_1, \ldots, x_n) t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_m^{a_m} = wt^{\mathbf{a}}$, *where* $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. *This way,* $(ut^{\mathbf{a}})(vt) = uvt^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}$.

Observation

These groups sit in a split short exact sequence; and, for $H \leqslant \mathbb{G}$,

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z}^m \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{G} \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathbb{F}_n \to 1,$$
$$1 \to L_H = H \cap \mathbb{Z}^m \hookrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow H\pi \to 1.$$

Moreover, H *is finitely generated* $\Leftrightarrow$ $H\pi$ *is so.*

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Proposition (Delgado–V. '13)

Every subgroup $H \leqslant \mathbb{G}$ admits a (computable) basis

$$H = \langle u_1 t^{\mathbf{a_1}}, u_2 t^{\mathbf{a_2}}, \ldots, u_r t^{\mathbf{a_r}}; t^{\mathbf{b_1}}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{b_s}} \rangle,$$

where $\{u_1, \ldots, u_r\}$ is a free-basis for $H\pi$, $\mathbf{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a_r} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, $0 \leq r \leq \infty$, $\mathbf{b_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b_s} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ is an abelian-basis for $L_H = H \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$, and $0 \leq s \leq m$.

Proposition (Moldavanski)

The groups $F_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$, are not Howson.

Question

Are them intersection-saturated?... ... no... but collectively yes ...

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

- *The set of configs realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ increases strictly with m;*
- *Every configuration is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ for $m \gg 0$.*

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Proposition (Delgado–V. '13)

Every subgroup $H \leqslant \mathbb{G}$ admits a (computable) basis

$$H = \langle u_1 t^{\mathbf{a_1}}, u_2 t^{\mathbf{a_2}}, \ldots, u_r t^{\mathbf{a_r}}; t^{\mathbf{b_1}}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{b_s}} \rangle,$$

where $\{u_1, \ldots, u_r\}$ is a free-basis for $H\pi$, $\mathbf{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a_r} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, $0 \leq r \leq \infty$, $\mathbf{b_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b_s} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ is an abelian-basis for $L_H = H \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$, and $0 \leq s \leq m$.

Proposition (Moldavanski)

The groups $F_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$, are not Howson.

Question

Are them intersection-saturated?... ... no... but collectively yes ...

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

- *The set of configs realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ increases strictly with m;*
- *Every configuration is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ for $m \gg 0$.*

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Proposition (Delgado–V. '13)

Every subgroup $H \leqslant \mathbb{G}$ admits a (computable) basis

$$H = \langle u_1 t^{\mathbf{a_1}}, u_2 t^{\mathbf{a_2}}, \ldots, u_r t^{\mathbf{a_r}}; t^{\mathbf{b_1}}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{b_s}} \rangle,$$

where $\{u_1, \ldots, u_r\}$ is a free-basis for $H\pi$, $\mathbf{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a_r} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, $0 \leq r \leq \infty$, $\mathbf{b_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b_s} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ is an abelian-basis for $L_H = H \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$, and $0 \leq s \leq m$.

Proposition (Moldavanski)

The groups $F_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$, are not Howson.

Question

Are them intersection-saturated?... ... no... but collectively yes ...

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

- *The set of configs realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ increases strictly with m;*
- *Every configuration is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ for $m \gg 0$.*

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Proposition (Delgado–V. '13)

Every subgroup $H \leqslant \mathbb{G}$ admits a (computable) basis

$$H = \langle u_1 t^{\mathbf{a_1}}, u_2 t^{\mathbf{a_2}}, \ldots, u_r t^{\mathbf{a_r}}; t^{\mathbf{b_1}}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{b_s}} \rangle,$$

where $\{u_1, \ldots, u_r\}$ is a free-basis for $H\pi$, $\mathbf{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a_r} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, $0 \leq r \leq \infty$, $\mathbf{b_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b_s} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ is an abelian-basis for $L_H = H \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$, and $0 \leq s \leq m$.

Proposition (Moldavanski)

The groups $F_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$, are not Howson.

Question

Are them intersection-saturated?... ... no... but collectively yes ...

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

- *The set of configs realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ increases strictly with m;*
- *Every configuration is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ for $m \gg 0$.*

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Proposition (Delgado–V. '13)

Every subgroup $H \leqslant \mathbb{G}$ admits a (computable) basis

$$H = \langle u_1 t^{\mathbf{a_1}}, u_2 t^{\mathbf{a_2}}, \ldots, u_r t^{\mathbf{a_r}}; t^{\mathbf{b_1}}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{b_s}} \rangle,$$

where $\{u_1, \ldots, u_r\}$ is a free-basis for $H\pi$, $\mathbf{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a_r} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, $0 \leq r \leq \infty$, $\mathbf{b_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b_s} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ is an abelian-basis for $L_H = H \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$, and $0 \leq s \leq m$.

Proposition (Moldavanski)

The groups $F_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$, are not Howson.

Question

Are them intersection-saturated?... ... no... but collectively yes ...

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

- *The set of configs realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ increases strictly with m;*
- *Every configuration is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ for $m \gg 0$.*

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Proposition (Delgado–V. '13)

Every subgroup $H \leqslant \mathbb{G}$ admits a (computable) basis

$$H = \langle u_1 t^{\mathbf{a_1}}, u_2 t^{\mathbf{a_2}}, \ldots, u_r t^{\mathbf{a_r}}; t^{\mathbf{b_1}}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{b_s}} \rangle,$$

where $\{u_1, \ldots, u_r\}$ is a free-basis for $H\pi$, $\mathbf{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a_r} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, $0 \leq r \leq \infty$, $\mathbf{b_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b_s} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ is an abelian-basis for $L_H = H \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$, and $0 \leq s \leq m$.

Proposition (Moldavanski)

The groups $F_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$, are not Howson.

Question

Are them intersection-saturated?... ... no... but collectively yes ...

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

- *The set of configs realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ increases strictly with m;*
- *Every configuration is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ for $m \gg 0$.*

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Proposition (Delgado–V. '13)

Every subgroup $H \leqslant \mathbb{G}$ admits a (computable) basis

$$H = \langle u_1 t^{\mathbf{a_1}}, u_2 t^{\mathbf{a_2}}, \ldots, u_r t^{\mathbf{a_r}}; t^{\mathbf{b_1}}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{b_s}} \rangle,$$

where $\{u_1, \ldots, u_r\}$ is a free-basis for $H\pi$, $\mathbf{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a_r} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, $0 \leq r \leq \infty$, $\mathbf{b_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b_s} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ is an abelian-basis for $L_H = H \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$, and $0 \leq s \leq m$.

Proposition (Moldavanski)

The groups $F_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$, are not Howson.

Question

Are them intersection-saturated?... ... no... but collectively yes ...

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

- *The set of configs realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ increases strictly with m;*
- *Every configuration is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ for $m \gg 0$.*

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Theorem (Delgado–V. '13)

There is an algorithm which, on input (a set of generators for) $H, K \leqslant_{fg} \mathbb{G}$, decides whether $H \cap K$ is f.g. and, if so, computes a basis for it.

(Sketch of proof)

Given (basis for) subgroups $H_1, H_2 \leqslant_{fg} \mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, consider

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
 & (H_1 \cap H_2)\pi \arrow[d, phantom, "\trianglelefteq" rotate=90] & \\
H_1\pi \arrow[d, two heads, "\rho_1"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "///"] & H_1\pi \cap H_2\pi \arrow[l, hook', "\iota_1"'] \arrow[r, hook, "\iota_2"] \arrow[d, two heads, "\rho"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "///"] & H_2\pi \arrow[d, two heads, "\rho_2"] \\
\mathbb{Z}^{r_1} \arrow[dr, "A_1"'] & \mathbb{Z}^r \arrow[l, "P_1"'] \arrow[r, "P_2"] \arrow[d, "R"] & \mathbb{Z}^{r_2} \arrow[dl, "A_2"] \\
 & \mathbb{Z}^m \arrow[d, phantom, "\trianglerighteq" rotate=90] & \\
 & L_1 + L_2 &
\end{tikzcd}
$$

A calculation shows that $(H_1 \cap H_2)\pi = (L_1 + L_2)R^{-1}\rho^{-1} \trianglelefteq H_1\pi \cap H_2\pi$.

So, $H_1 \cap H_2$ is f.g. $\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} r = 0, 1 \text{ or} \\ r \geq 2 \text{ and } (H_1 \cap H_2)\pi \leqslant_{fi} H_1\pi \cap H_2\pi. \end{cases}$ $\square$

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Theorem (Delgado–V. '13)

There is an algorithm which, on input (a set of generators for) $H, K \leqslant_{fg} \mathbb{G}$, decides whether $H \cap K$ is f.g. and, if so, computes a basis for it.

(Sketch of proof)

Given (basis for) subgroups $H_1, H_2 \leqslant_{fg} \mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, consider

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
 & (H_1 \cap H_2)\pi \arrow[d, phantom, sloped, "\trianglelefteq"] & \\
H_1\pi \arrow[d, two heads, "\rho_1"'] & H_1\pi \cap H_2\pi \arrow[l, hook', "\iota_1"'] \arrow[r, hook, "\iota_2"] \arrow[d, two heads, "\rho"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "///"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "///"] & H_2\pi \arrow[d, two heads, "\rho_2"] \\
\mathbb{Z}^{r_1} \arrow[dr, "A_1"'] & \mathbb{Z}^{r} \arrow[l, "P_1"'] \arrow[r, "P_2"] \arrow[d, "R"] & \mathbb{Z}^{r_2} \arrow[dl, "A_2"] \\
 & \mathbb{Z}^m \arrow[d, phantom, sloped, "\geqslant"] & \\
 & L_1 + L_2 &
\end{tikzcd}
$$

A calculation shows that $(H_1 \cap H_2)\pi = (L_1 + L_2)R^{-1}\rho^{-1} \trianglelefteq H_1\pi \cap H_2\pi$.

So, $H_1 \cap H_2$ *is f.g.* $\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} r = 0, 1 \text{ or} \\ r \geq 2 \text{ and } (H_1 \cap H_2)\pi \leqslant_{fi} H_1\pi \cap H_2\pi. \end{cases}$ $\square$

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

There is an algorithm which, on input (a set of generators for) $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leqslant_{fg} \mathbb{G}$, decides whether $H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_k$ is f.g. and, if so, computes a basis for it.

Proposition

Let $M', M'' \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$ be such that $\langle M', M'' \rangle = M' * M''$. Then, for any $H'_1, \ldots, H'_k \leqslant M' \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$ and $H''_1, \ldots, H''_k \leqslant M'' \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$,

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} \langle H'_j, H''_j \rangle = \Big\langle \bigcap_{j=1}^{k} H'_j, \bigcap_{j=1}^{k} H''_j \Big\rangle.$$

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

There is an algorithm which, on input (a set of generators for) $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leqslant_{fg} \mathbb{G}$, decides whether $H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_k$ is f.g. and, if so, computes a basis for it.

Proposition

Let $M', M'' \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$ be such that $\langle M', M'' \rangle = M' * M''$. Then, for any $H'_1, \ldots, H'_k \leqslant M' \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$ and $H''_1, \ldots, H''_k \leqslant M'' \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$,

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} \langle H'_j, H''_j \rangle = \Big\langle \bigcap_{j=1}^{k} H'_j, \bigcap_{j=1}^{k} H''_j \Big\rangle.$$

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Observation

The same is not true in $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, even with $M', M'' \leqslant \mathbb{G}$ in strongly complementary position, i.e., $\langle M'\pi, M''\pi \rangle = M'\pi * M''\pi$ and $\langle M'\tau, M''\tau \rangle = M'\tau \oplus M''\tau$.

Example

Consider $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid - \rangle \times \langle t_1, t_2 \mid [t_1, t_2] \rangle$, $M' = \langle x_1, x_2, t^{(1,0)} \rangle$, $M'' = \langle x_3, x_4, t^{(0,1)} \rangle$, and the respective subgroups

- $H_1' = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$, $\quad H_2' = \langle x_1 t^{(1,0)}, x_2 \rangle \leqslant M'$, *and*
- $H_1'' = \langle x_3, x_4 \rangle$, $\quad H_2'' = \langle x_3 t^{(0,1)}, x_4 \rangle \leqslant M''$.

We have $H_1' \cap H_2' = \langle x_1^{-i} x_2 x_1^i,\ i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, $H_1'' \cap H_2'' = \langle x_3^{-i} x_4 x_3^i,\ i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, and

$$\langle H_1' \cap H_2',\ H_1'' \cap H_2'' \rangle = (H_1' \cap H_2') * (H_1'' \cap H_2'') = \langle x_1^{-i} x_2 x_1^i,\ x_3^{-i} x_4 x_3^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle,$$

which does not contain $x_3^{-1} x_2 x_3 \in \langle H_1',\ H_1'' \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$

$$\in \langle H_2',\ H_2'' \rangle = \langle x_1 t^{(1,0)}, x_2, x_3 t^{(0,1)}, x_4 \rangle.$$

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Observation

The same is not true in $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, even with $M', M'' \leqslant \mathbb{G}$ in strongly complementary position, i.e., $\langle M'\pi, M''\pi \rangle = M'\pi * M''\pi$ and $\langle M'\tau, M''\tau \rangle = M'\tau \oplus M''\tau$.

Example

Consider $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid - \rangle \times \langle t_1, t_2 \mid [t_1, t_2] \rangle$, $M' = \langle x_1, x_2, t^{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})} \rangle$, $M'' = \langle x_3, x_4, t^{(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})} \rangle$, *and the respective subgroups*

- $H_1' = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, \quad H_2' = \langle x_1 t^{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})}, x_2 \rangle \leqslant M'$, *and*
- $H_1'' = \langle x_3, x_4 \rangle, \quad H_2'' = \langle x_3 t^{(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})}, x_4 \rangle \leqslant M''$.

We have $H_1' \cap H_2' = \langle x_1^{-i} x_2 x_1^i, \ i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, $H_1'' \cap H_2'' = \langle x_3^{-i} x_4 x_3^i, \ i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, *and*

$$\langle H_1' \cap H_2', \ H_1'' \cap H_2'' \rangle = (H_1' \cap H_2') * (H_1'' \cap H_2'') = \langle x_1^{-i} x_2 x_1^i, \ x_3^{-i} x_4 x_3^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle,$$

which does not contain $x_3^{-1} x_2 x_3 \in \langle H_1', \ H_1'' \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$

$$\in \langle H_2', \ H_2'' \rangle = \langle x_1 t^{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})}, x_2, x_3 t^{(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})}, x_4 \rangle.$$

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Observation

The same is not true in $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, even with $M', M'' \leqslant \mathbb{G}$ in strongly complementary position, i.e., $\langle M'\pi, M''\pi \rangle = M'\pi * M''\pi$ and $\langle M'\tau, M''\tau \rangle = M'\tau \oplus M''\tau$.

Example

Consider $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid - \rangle \times \langle t_1, t_2 \mid [t_1, t_2] \rangle$,
$M' = \langle x_1, x_2, t^{(1,0)} \rangle$, $M'' = \langle x_3, x_4, t^{(0,1)} \rangle$, *and the respective subgroups*

- $H_1' = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$, $\quad H_2' = \langle x_1 t^{(1,0)}, x_2 \rangle \leqslant M'$, *and*
- $H_1'' = \langle x_3, x_4 \rangle$, $\quad H_2'' = \langle x_3 t^{(0,1)}, x_4 \rangle \leqslant M''$.

We have $H_1' \cap H_2' = \langle x_1^{-i} x_2 x_1^i,\ i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, $H_1'' \cap H_2'' = \langle x_3^{-i} x_4 x_3^i,\ i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, *and*

$$\langle H_1' \cap H_2',\ H_1'' \cap H_2'' \rangle = (H_1' \cap H_2') * (H_1'' \cap H_2'') = \langle x_1^{-i} x_2 x_1^i,\ x_3^{-i} x_4 x_3^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle,$$

which does not contain $x_3^{-1} x_2 x_3 \in \langle H_1', H_1'' \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$
$\in \langle H_2', H_2'' \rangle = \langle x_1 t^{(1,0)}, x_2, x_3 t^{(0,1)}, x_4 \rangle$.

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Observation

The same is not true in $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, even with $M', M'' \leqslant \mathbb{G}$ in strongly complementary position, i.e., $\langle M'\pi, M''\pi\rangle = M'\pi * M''\pi$ and $\langle M'\tau, M''\tau\rangle = M'\tau \oplus M''\tau$.

Example

Consider $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid - \rangle \times \langle t_1, t_2 \mid [t_1, t_2]\rangle$, $M' = \langle x_1, x_2, t^{(1,0)}\rangle$, $M'' = \langle x_3, x_4, t^{(0,1)}\rangle$, *and the respective subgroups*

- $H_1' = \langle x_1, x_2\rangle$, $\quad H_2' = \langle x_1 t^{(1,0)}, x_2\rangle \leqslant M'$, *and*
- $H_1'' = \langle x_3, x_4\rangle$, $\quad H_2'' = \langle x_3 t^{(0,1)}, x_4\rangle \leqslant M''$.

We have $H_1' \cap H_2' = \langle x_1^{-i} x_2 x_1^i,\ i \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle$, $H_1'' \cap H_2'' = \langle x_3^{-i} x_4 x_3^i,\ i \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle$, *and*

$$\langle H_1' \cap H_2',\ H_1'' \cap H_2''\rangle = (H_1' \cap H_2') * (H_1'' \cap H_2'') = \langle x_1^{-i} x_2 x_1^i,\ x_3^{-i} x_4 x_3^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle,$$

which does not contain $x_3^{-1} x_2 x_3 \in \langle H_1',\ H_1''\rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\rangle$
$\in \langle H_2',\ H_2''\rangle = \langle x_1 t^{(1,0)}, x_2, x_3 t^{(0,1)}, x_4\rangle$.

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Observation

The same is not true in $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, even with $M', M'' \leqslant \mathbb{G}$ in strongly complementary position, i.e., $\langle M'\pi, M''\pi \rangle = M'\pi * M''\pi$ and $\langle M'\tau, M''\tau \rangle = M'\tau \oplus M''\tau$.

Example

Consider $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid - \rangle \times \langle t_1, t_2 \mid [t_1, t_2] \rangle$, $M' = \langle x_1, x_2, t^{(1,0)} \rangle$, $M'' = \langle x_3, x_4, t^{(0,1)} \rangle$, *and the respective subgroups*

- $H_1' = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, \quad H_2' = \langle x_1 t^{(1,0)}, x_2 \rangle \leqslant M'$, *and*
- $H_1'' = \langle x_3, x_4 \rangle, \quad H_2'' = \langle x_3 t^{(0,1)}, x_4 \rangle \leqslant M''$.

We have $H_1' \cap H_2' = \langle x_1^{-i} x_2 x_1^{i},\ i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, $H_1'' \cap H_2'' = \langle x_3^{-i} x_4 x_3^{i},\ i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, *and*

$$\langle H_1' \cap H_2',\ H_1'' \cap H_2'' \rangle = (H_1' \cap H_2') * (H_1'' \cap H_2'') = \langle x_1^{-i} x_2 x_1^{i},\ x_3^{-i} x_4 x_3^{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle,$$

which does not contain $x_3^{-1} x_2 x_3 \in \langle H_1', H_1'' \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$

$$\in \langle H_2', H_2'' \rangle = \langle x_1 t^{(1,0)}, x_2, x_3 t^{(0,1)}, x_4 \rangle.$$

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Observation

The same is not true in $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, even with $M', M'' \leqslant \mathbb{G}$ in strongly complementary position, i.e., $\langle M'\pi, M''\pi \rangle = M'\pi * M''\pi$ and $\langle M'\tau, M''\tau \rangle = M'\tau \oplus M''\tau$.

Example

Consider $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid - \rangle \times \langle t_1, t_2 \mid [t_1, t_2] \rangle$, $M' = \langle x_1, x_2, t^{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})} \rangle$, $M'' = \langle x_3, x_4, t^{(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})} \rangle$, *and the respective subgroups*

- $H_1' = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, \quad H_2' = \langle x_1 t^{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})}, x_2 \rangle \leqslant M'$, *and*
- $H_1'' = \langle x_3, x_4 \rangle, \quad H_2'' = \langle x_3 t^{(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})}, x_4 \rangle \leqslant M''$.

We have $H_1' \cap H_2' = \langle x_1^{-i} x_2 x_1^i,\ i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, $H_1'' \cap H_2'' = \langle x_3^{-i} x_4 x_3^i,\ i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$, *and*

$$\langle H_1' \cap H_2',\ H_1'' \cap H_2'' \rangle = (H_1' \cap H_2') * (H_1'' \cap H_2'') = \langle x_1^{-i} x_2 x_1^i,\ x_3^{-i} x_4 x_3^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle,$$

which does not contain $x_3^{-1} x_2 x_3 \in \langle H_1',\ H_1'' \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$
$\in \langle H_2',\ H_2'' \rangle = \langle x_1 t^{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})}, x_2, x_3 t^{(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})}, x_4 \rangle$.

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Observation

The same is not true in $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, even with $M', M'' \leqslant \mathbb{G}$ in strongly complementary position, i.e., $\langle M'\pi, M''\pi\rangle = M'\pi * M''\pi$ and $\langle M'\tau, M''\tau\rangle = M'\tau \oplus M''\tau$.

Example

Consider $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid - \rangle \times \langle t_1, t_2 \mid [t_1, t_2]\rangle$, $M' = \langle x_1, x_2, t^{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})}\rangle$, $M'' = \langle x_3, x_4, t^{(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})}\rangle$, *and the respective subgroups*

- $H_1' = \langle x_1, x_2\rangle, \quad H_2' = \langle x_1 t^{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})}, x_2\rangle \leqslant M'$, *and*
- $H_1'' = \langle x_3, x_4\rangle, \quad H_2'' = \langle x_3 t^{(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})}, x_4\rangle \leqslant M''$.

We have $H_1' \cap H_2' = \langle x_1^{-i} x_2 x_1^i,\ i \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle$, $H_1'' \cap H_2'' = \langle x_3^{-i} x_4 x_3^i,\ i \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle$, *and*

$$\langle H_1' \cap H_2',\ H_1'' \cap H_2''\rangle = (H_1' \cap H_2') * (H_1'' \cap H_2'') = \langle x_1^{-i} x_2 x_1^i,\ x_3^{-i} x_4 x_3^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle,$$

which does not contain $x_3^{-1} x_2 x_3 \in \langle H_1',\ H_1''\rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\rangle$

$$\in \langle H_2',\ H_2''\rangle = \langle x_1 t^{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})}, x_2, x_3 t^{(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})}, x_4\rangle.$$

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Theorem

Let $H_1', \ldots, H_k' \leqslant \mathbb{G}' = \mathbb{F}_{n'} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m'}$ and $H_1'', \ldots, H_k'' \leqslant \mathbb{G}'' = \mathbb{F}_{n''} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m''}$ be $k \geq 2$ subgroups of G' and G'', resp. Write $r' = \operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k H_j'\pi\right)$, $r'' = \operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k H_j''\pi\right)$, and consider $\langle H_1', H_1''\rangle, \ldots, \langle H_k', H_k''\rangle \leqslant \mathbb{G}' \circledast \mathbb{G}'' = (\mathbb{F}_{n'} * \mathbb{F}_{n''}) \times (\mathbb{Z}^{m'} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{m''})$. Then, if $\min(r', r'') \neq 1$:

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^k \langle H_j', H_j''\rangle \text{ is f.g.} \Leftrightarrow \text{both } \bigcap_{j=1}^k H_j' \text{ and } \bigcap_{j=1}^k H_j'' \text{ are f.g.}$$

Observation

Again, not true without the hypothesis $\min(r', r'') \neq 1$.

Free-times-free-abelian groups

Theorem

Let $H_1', \ldots, H_k' \leqslant \mathbb{G}' = \mathbb{F}_{n'} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m'}$ and $H_1'', \ldots, H_k'' \leqslant \mathbb{G}'' = \mathbb{F}_{n''} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m''}$ be $k \geq 2$ subgroups of G' and G'', resp. Write $r' = \operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k H_j'\pi\right)$, $r'' = \operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k H_j''\pi\right)$, and consider $\langle H_1', H_1''\rangle, \ldots, \langle H_k', H_k''\rangle \leqslant \mathbb{G}' \circledast \mathbb{G}'' = (\mathbb{F}_{n'} * \mathbb{F}_{n''}) \times (\mathbb{Z}^{m'} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{m''})$. Then, if $\min(r', r'') \neq 1$:

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^k \langle H_j', H_j''\rangle \text{ is f.g.} \Leftrightarrow \text{both } \bigcap_{j=1}^k H_j' \text{ and } \bigcap_{j=1}^k H_j'' \text{ are f.g.}$$

Observation

Again, not true without the hypothesis $\min(r', r'') \neq 1$.

Outline

Positive results

Definition

Define the join of two k-configurations χ and χ' as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi \vee \chi' : \mathcal{P}([k]) \setminus \{\varnothing\} &\to \{0, 1\} \\ I &\mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (I)\chi = (I)\chi' = 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$



Proposition

Let χ' (resp. χ'') be k-config. realized by $H'_1, \ldots, H'_k \leqslant \mathbb{G}' = \mathbb{F}_{n'} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m'}$ (resp. $H''_1, \ldots, H''_k \leqslant \mathbb{G}'' = \mathbb{F}_{n''} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m''}$) with $r'_I = \operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H'_i \pi\right) \neq 1$ (resp. $r''_I \neq 1$) $\forall\, I \subseteq [k]$ with $|I| \geq 2$. Then, $\chi' \vee \chi''$ is realizable in $\mathbb{G}' \circledast \mathbb{G}'' = \mathbb{F}_{n'+n''} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m'+m''}$ by $H_1 = \langle H'_1, H''_1 \rangle, \ldots, H_k = \langle H'_k, H''_k \rangle$, again satisfying $r_I \neq 1$ $\forall\, I \subseteq [k]$ with $|I| \geq 2$.

Positive results

Definition

Define the join of two k-configurations χ and χ' as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \chi \vee \chi' \colon \mathcal{P}([k]) \setminus \{\varnothing\} & \to & \{0,1\} \\ I & \mapsto & \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (I)\chi = (I)\chi' = 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{array}$$



Proposition

Let χ' (resp. χ'') be k-config. realized by $H'_1, \ldots, H'_k \leqslant \mathbb{G}' = \mathbb{F}_{n'} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m'}$ (resp. $H''_1, \ldots, H''_k \leqslant \mathbb{G}'' = \mathbb{F}_{n''} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m''}$) with $r'_I = \operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H'_i \pi\right) \neq 1$ (resp. $r''_I \neq 1$) $\forall\ I \subseteq [k]$ with $|I| \geq 2$. Then, $\chi' \vee \chi''$ is realizable in $\mathbb{G}' \circledast \mathbb{G}'' = \mathbb{F}_{n'+n''} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m'+m''}$ by $H_1 = \langle H'_1, H''_1 \rangle, \ldots, H_k = \langle H'_k, H''_k \rangle$, again satisfying $r_I \neq 1\ \forall\ I \subseteq [k]$ with $|I| \geq 2$.

Positive results

Proposition

The k-config. $\chi_{[k]}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}$.

(Sketch of proof)

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_1 &= \langle x, y; t^{\mathbf{e}_2}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{e}_{k-1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}, \\
H_2 &= \langle x, y; t^{\mathbf{e}_1}, t^{\mathbf{e}_3}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{e}_{k-1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}, \\
&\vdots \\
H_{k-1} &= \langle x, y; t^{\mathbf{e}_1}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{e}_{k-2}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}, \\
H_k &= \langle x, yt^{\mathbf{e}_1}; t^{\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_1}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{e}_{k-1}-\mathbf{e}_1} \rangle = \langle x, yt^{\mathbf{e}_1}, \ldots, yt^{\mathbf{e}_{k-1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary

Any almost-zero k-config. χ_{I_0} is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^{|I_0|-1}$ by subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_k$ further satisfying $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H_i \pi\right) \neq 1$, for every $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [k]$.

Positive results

Proposition

The k-config. $\chi_{[k]}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}$.

(Sketch of proof)

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1 &= \langle x, y; t^{\mathbf{e}_2}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{e}_{k-1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}, \\
H_2 &= \langle x, y; t^{\mathbf{e}_1}, t^{\mathbf{e}_3}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{e}_{k-1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}, \\
&\vdots \\
H_{k-1} &= \langle x, y; t^{\mathbf{e}_1}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{e}_{k-2}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}, \\
H_k &= \langle x, yt^{\mathbf{e}_1}; t^{\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_1}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{e}_{k-1}-\mathbf{e}_1} \rangle = \langle x, yt^{\mathbf{e}_1}, \ldots, yt^{\mathbf{e}_{k-1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary

Any almost-zero k-config. χ_{I_0} is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^{|I_0|-1}$ by subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_k$ further satisfying $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} H_i\pi\right) \neq 1$, for every $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [k]$.

Positive results

Proposition

The k-config. $\chi_{[k]}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}$.

(Sketch of proof)

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_1 &= \langle x, y; t^{\mathbf{e}_2}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{e}_{k-1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1},\\
H_2 &= \langle x, y; t^{\mathbf{e}_1}, t^{\mathbf{e}_3}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{e}_{k-1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1},\\
&\vdots\\
H_{k-1} &= \langle x, y; t^{\mathbf{e}_1}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{e}_{k-2}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1},\\
H_k &= \langle x, yt^{\mathbf{e}_1}; t^{\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_1}, \ldots, t^{\mathbf{e}_{k-1}-\mathbf{e}_1} \rangle = \langle x, yt^{\mathbf{e}_1}, \ldots, yt^{\mathbf{e}_{k-1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary

Any almost-zero k-config. χ_{I_0} is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^{|I_0|-1}$ by subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_k$ further satisfying $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H_i \pi\right) \neq 1$, for every $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [k]$.

Positive results

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

Every k-configuration $\chi_{\mathcal{I}}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, for $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} (|I| - 1)$.

(proof)

- *Decompose* $\chi_{\mathcal{I}} = \chi_{I_1} \vee \cdots \vee \chi_{I_r}$, *where* $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \ldots, I_r\}$;
- *realize each* χ_{I_j} *in* $\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{|I_j|-1}$, $j = 1, \ldots, r$;
- *put together in a strongly complementary way.* $\square$

Example

Consider $\chi = \chi_{\mathcal{I}}$, *where* $\mathcal{I} = \{\{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,3,4\}, \{2,3,4\}\}$. *Let us realize it in* $\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ *for* $m = 0 + 1 + 2 + 2 = 5$. *Decomposing* χ, *we have*

$$\chi = \chi_{\{1\}} \vee \chi_{\{2,3\}} \vee \chi_{\{1,3,4\}} \vee \chi_{\{2,3,4\}}.$$

Positive results

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

Every k-configuration $\chi_{\mathcal{I}}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, for $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} (|I| - 1)$.

(proof)

- *Decompose* $\chi_{\mathcal{I}} = \chi_{I_1} \vee \cdots \vee \chi_{I_r}$, *where* $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \ldots, I_r\}$;
- *realize each* χ_{I_j} *in* $\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{|I_j|-1}$, $j = 1, \ldots, r$;
- *put together in a strongly complementary way.* □

Example

Consider $\chi = \chi_{\mathcal{I}}$, *where* $\mathcal{I} = \{\{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,3,4\}, \{2,3,4\}\}$. *Let us realize it in* $\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ *for* $m = 0 + 1 + 2 + 2 = 5$. *Decomposing* χ, *we have*

$$\chi = \chi_{\{1\}} \vee \chi_{\{2,3\}} \vee \chi_{\{1,3,4\}} \vee \chi_{\{2,3,4\}}.$$

Positive results

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

Every k-configuration $\chi_{\mathcal{I}}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, for $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}}(|I| - 1)$.

(proof)

- *Decompose* $\chi_{\mathcal{I}} = \chi_{I_1} \vee \cdots \vee \chi_{I_r}$, *where* $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \ldots, I_r\}$;
- *realize each* χ_{I_j} *in* $\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{|I_j|-1}$, $j = 1, \ldots, r$;
- *put together in a strongly complementary way.* $\square$

Example

Consider $\chi = \chi_{\mathcal{I}}$, *where* $\mathcal{I} = \{\{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,3,4\}, \{2,3,4\}\}$. *Let us realize it in* $\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ *for* $m = 0 + 1 + 2 + 2 = 5$. *Decomposing* χ, *we have*

$$\chi = \chi_{\{1\}} \vee \chi_{\{2,3\}} \vee \chi_{\{1,3,4\}} \vee \chi_{\{2,3,4\}}.$$

Positive results

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

Every k-configuration $\chi_{\mathcal{I}}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, for $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}}(|I| - 1)$.

(proof)

- *Decompose $\chi_{\mathcal{I}} = \chi_{I_1} \vee \cdots \vee \chi_{I_r}$, where $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, \ldots, I_r\}$;*
- *realize each χ_{I_j} in $\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{|I_j|-1}$, $j = 1, \ldots, r$;*
- *put together in a strongly complementary way.* $\square$

Example

Consider $\chi = \chi_{\mathcal{I}}$, where $\mathcal{I} = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}\}$. Let us realize it in $\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ for $m = 0 + 1 + 2 + 2 = 5$. Decomposing χ, we have

$$\chi = \chi_{\{1\}} \vee \chi_{\{2,3\}} \vee \chi_{\{1,3,4\}} \vee \chi_{\{2,3,4\}}.$$

Positive results

Example (cont.)

In $\mathbb{F}_2 = \langle x, y \mid - \rangle$ take the freely independent words $u_j = y^{-j} x y^j \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\{\mathbf{e_1}, \mathbf{e_2}, \mathbf{e_3}, \mathbf{e_4}, \mathbf{e_5}\}$ be the canonical basis for $\mathbb{Z}^5$. Realize:

- $\chi_{\{1\}}$ *as* $H_1' = \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1} \rangle$, $H_2' = \{1\}$, $H_3' = \{1\}$, $H_4' = \{1\}$, *all inside* $G' = \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1}; - \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{2,3\}}$ *as* $H_1'' = \{1\}$, $H_2'' = \langle u_0, u_1 \rangle$, $H_3'' = \langle u_0, u_1 t^{\mathbf{e_1}} \rangle$, $H_4'' = \{1\}$, *all inside* $G'' = \langle u_0, u_1; t^{\mathbf{e_1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{1,3,4\}}$ *as* $H_1''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_3}} \rangle$, $H_2''' = \{1\}$, $H_3''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_2}} \rangle$, $H_4''' = \langle u_2, u_3 t^{\mathbf{e_2}}; t^{\mathbf{e_3} - \mathbf{e_2}} \rangle$, *all inside* $G''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_2}}, t^{\mathbf{e_3}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{2,3,4\}}$ *as* $H_1'''' = \{1\}$, $H_2'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_5}} \rangle$, $H_3'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_4}} \rangle$, $H_4'''' = \langle u_4, u_5 t^{\mathbf{e_4}}; t^{\mathbf{e_5} - \mathbf{e_4}} \rangle$, *all inside* $G'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_4}}, t^{\mathbf{e_5}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$.

And note that $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H_i' \pi\right) \neq 1$, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H_i'' \pi\right) \neq 1$, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H_i''' \pi\right) \neq 1$, *and* $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H_i'''' \pi\right) \neq 1$. *Therefore, we can realize χ by the following subgroups*

Positive results

Example (cont.)

In $\mathbb{F}_2 = \langle x, y \mid - \rangle$ *take the freely independent words* $u_j = y^{-j}xy^j \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. *Let* $\{\mathbf{e_1}, \mathbf{e_2}, \mathbf{e_3}, \mathbf{e_4}, \mathbf{e_5}\}$ *be the canonical basis for* $\mathbb{Z}^5$. *Realize:*

- $\chi_{\{1\}}$ *as* $H_1' = \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1} \rangle$, $H_2' = \{1\}$, $H_3' = \{1\}$, $H_4' = \{1\}$, *all inside* $G' = \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1}; - \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{2,3\}}$ *as* $H_1'' = \{1\}$, $H_2'' = \langle u_0, u_1 \rangle$, $H_3'' = \langle u_0, u_1 t^{\mathbf{e_1}} \rangle$, $H_4'' = \{1\}$, *all inside* $G'' = \langle u_0, u_1; t^{\mathbf{e_1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{1,3,4\}}$ *as* $H_1''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_3}} \rangle$, $H_2''' = \{1\}$, $H_3''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_2}} \rangle$, $H_4''' = \langle u_2, u_3 t^{\mathbf{e_2}}; t^{\mathbf{e_3}-\mathbf{e_2}} \rangle$, *all inside* $G''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_2}}, t^{\mathbf{e_3}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{2,3,4\}}$ *as* $H_1'''' = \{1\}$, $H_2'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_5}} \rangle$, $H_3'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_4}} \rangle$, $H_4'''' = \langle u_4, u_5 t^{\mathbf{e_4}}; t^{\mathbf{e_5}-\mathbf{e_4}} \rangle$, *all inside* $G'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_4}}, t^{\mathbf{e_5}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$.

And note that $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} H_i'\pi\right) \neq 1$, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} H_i''\pi\right) \neq 1$, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} H_i'''\pi\right) \neq 1$, *and* $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} H_i''''\pi\right) \neq 1$. *Therefore, we can realize* χ *by the following subgroups*

Positive results

Example (cont.)

In $\mathbb{F}_2 = \langle x, y \mid - \rangle$ *take the freely independent words* $u_j = y^{-j}xy^j \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. *Let* $\{\mathbf{e_1}, \mathbf{e_2}, \mathbf{e_3}, \mathbf{e_4}, \mathbf{e_5}\}$ *be the canonical basis for* $\mathbb{Z}^5$. *Realize:*

- $\chi_{\{1\}}$ *as* $H_1' = \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1} \rangle$, $H_2' = \{1\}$, $H_3' = \{1\}$, $H_4' = \{1\}$, *all inside* $G' = \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1}; - \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{2,3\}}$ *as* $H_1'' = \{1\}$, $H_2'' = \langle u_0, u_1 \rangle$, $H_3'' = \langle u_0, u_1 t^{\mathbf{e_1}} \rangle$, $H_4'' = \{1\}$, *all inside* $G'' = \langle u_0, u_1; t^{\mathbf{e_1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{1,3,4\}}$ *as* $H_1''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_3}} \rangle$, $H_2''' = \{1\}$, $H_3''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_2}} \rangle$, $H_4''' = \langle u_2, u_3 t^{\mathbf{e_2}}; t^{\mathbf{e_3}-\mathbf{e_2}} \rangle$, *all inside* $G''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_2}}, t^{\mathbf{e_3}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{2,3,4\}}$ *as* $H_1'''' = \{1\}$, $H_2'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_5}} \rangle$, $H_3'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_4}} \rangle$, $H_4'''' = \langle u_4, u_5 t^{\mathbf{e_4}}; t^{\mathbf{e_5}-\mathbf{e_4}} \rangle$, *all inside* $G'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_4}}, t^{\mathbf{e_5}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$.

And note that $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} H_i'\pi\right) \neq 1$, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} H_i''\pi\right) \neq 1$, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} H_i'''\pi\right) \neq 1$, *and* $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} H_i''''\pi\right) \neq 1$. *Therefore, we can realize* χ *by the following subgroups*

Positive results

Example (cont.)

In $\mathbb{F}_2 = \langle x, y \mid - \rangle$ *take the freely independent words* $u_j = y^{-j} x y^j \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. *Let* $\{\mathbf{e_1}, \mathbf{e_2}, \mathbf{e_3}, \mathbf{e_4}, \mathbf{e_5}\}$ *be the canonical basis for* $\mathbb{Z}^5$. *Realize:*

- $\chi_{\{1\}}$ *as* $H'_1 = \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1} \rangle$, $H'_2 = \{1\}$, $H'_3 = \{1\}$, $H'_4 = \{1\}$, *all inside* $G' = \langle ..., u_{-2}, u_{-1}; - \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{2,3\}}$ *as* $H''_1 = \{1\}$, $H''_2 = \langle u_0, u_1 \rangle$, $H''_3 = \langle u_0, u_1 t^{\mathbf{e_1}} \rangle$, $H''_4 = \{1\}$, *all inside* $G'' = \langle u_0, u_1; t^{\mathbf{e_1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{1,3,4\}}$ *as* $H'''_1 = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_3}} \rangle$, $H'''_2 = \{1\}$, $H'''_3 = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_2}} \rangle$, $H'''_4 = \langle u_2, u_3 t^{\mathbf{e_2}}; t^{\mathbf{e_3}-\mathbf{e_2}} \rangle$, *all inside* $G''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_2}}, t^{\mathbf{e_3}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{2,3,4\}}$ *as* $H''''_1 = \{1\}$, $H''''_2 = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_5}} \rangle$, $H''''_3 = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_4}} \rangle$, $H''''_4 = \langle u_4, u_5 t^{\mathbf{e_4}}; t^{\mathbf{e_5}-\mathbf{e_4}} \rangle$, *all inside* $G'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_4}}, t^{\mathbf{e_5}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$.

And note that $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H'_i \pi\right) \neq 1$, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H''_i \pi\right) \neq 1$, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H'''_i \pi\right) \neq 1$, *and* $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H''''_i \pi\right) \neq 1$. *Therefore, we can realize* χ *by the following subgroups*

Positive results

Example (cont.)

In $\mathbb{F}_2 = \langle x, y \mid - \rangle$ *take the freely independent words* $u_j = y^{-j}xy^j \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. *Let* $\{\mathbf{e_1}, \mathbf{e_2}, \mathbf{e_3}, \mathbf{e_4}, \mathbf{e_5}\}$ *be the canonical basis for* $\mathbb{Z}^5$. *Realize:*

- $\chi_{\{1\}}$ *as* $H_1' = \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1} \rangle$, $H_2' = \{1\}$, $H_3' = \{1\}$, $H_4' = \{1\}$, *all inside* $G' = \langle ..., u_{-2}, u_{-1}; - \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{2,3\}}$ *as* $H_1'' = \{1\}$, $H_2'' = \langle u_0, u_1 \rangle$, $H_3'' = \langle u_0, u_1 t^{\mathbf{e_1}} \rangle$, $H_4'' = \{1\}$, *all inside* $G'' = \langle u_0, u_1; t^{\mathbf{e_1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{1,3,4\}}$ *as* $H_1''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_3}} \rangle$, $H_2''' = \{1\}$, $H_3''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_2}} \rangle$, $H_4''' = \langle u_2, u_3 t^{\mathbf{e_2}}; t^{\mathbf{e_3}-\mathbf{e_2}} \rangle$, *all inside* $G''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_2}}, t^{\mathbf{e_3}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{2,3,4\}}$ *as* $H_1'''' = \{1\}$, $H_2'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_5}} \rangle$, $H_3'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_4}} \rangle$, $H_4'''' = \langle u_4, u_5 t^{\mathbf{e_4}}; t^{\mathbf{e_5}-\mathbf{e_4}} \rangle$, *all inside* $G'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_4}}, t^{\mathbf{e_5}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$.

And note that $\mathrm{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} H_i'\pi\right) \neq 1$, $\mathrm{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} H_i''\pi\right) \neq 1$, $\mathrm{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} H_i'''\pi\right) \neq 1$, *and* $\mathrm{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i\in I} H_i''''\pi\right) \neq 1$. *Therefore, we can realize* χ *by the following subgroups*

Positive results

Example (cont.)

In $\mathbb{F}_2 = \langle x, y \mid - \rangle$ *take the freely independent words* $u_j = y^{-j}xy^j \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. *Let* $\{\mathbf{e_1}, \mathbf{e_2}, \mathbf{e_3}, \mathbf{e_4}, \mathbf{e_5}\}$ *be the canonical basis for* $\mathbb{Z}^5$. *Realize:*

- $\chi_{\{1\}}$ *as* $H'_1 = \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1} \rangle$, $H'_2 = \{1\}$, $H'_3 = \{1\}$, $H'_4 = \{1\}$, *all inside* $G' = \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1}; - \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{2,3\}}$ *as* $H''_1 = \{1\}$, $H''_2 = \langle u_0, u_1 \rangle$, $H''_3 = \langle u_0, u_1 t^{\mathbf{e_1}} \rangle$, $H''_4 = \{1\}$, *all inside* $G'' = \langle u_0, u_1; t^{\mathbf{e_1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{1,3,4\}}$ *as* $H'''_1 = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_3}} \rangle$, $H'''_2 = \{1\}$, $H'''_3 = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_2}} \rangle$, $H'''_4 = \langle u_2, u_3 t^{\mathbf{e_2}}; t^{\mathbf{e_3}-\mathbf{e_2}} \rangle$, *all inside* $G''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_2}}, t^{\mathbf{e_3}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{2,3,4\}}$ *as* $H''''_1 = \{1\}$, $H''''_2 = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_5}} \rangle$, $H''''_3 = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_4}} \rangle$, $H''''_4 = \langle u_4, u_5 t^{\mathbf{e_4}}; t^{\mathbf{e_5}-\mathbf{e_4}} \rangle$, *all inside* $G'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_4}}, t^{\mathbf{e_5}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$.

And note that $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H'_i \pi\right) \neq 1$, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H''_i \pi\right) \neq 1$, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H'''_i \pi\right) \neq 1$, *and* $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H''''_i \pi\right) \neq 1$. *Therefore, we can realize* χ *by the following subgroups*

Positive results

Example (cont.)

In $\mathbb{F}_2 = \langle x, y \mid - \rangle$ *take the freely independent words* $u_j = y^{-j} x y^j \in \mathbb{F}_2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. *Let* $\{\mathbf{e_1}, \mathbf{e_2}, \mathbf{e_3}, \mathbf{e_4}, \mathbf{e_5}\}$ *be the canonical basis for* $\mathbb{Z}^5$. *Realize:*

- $\chi_{\{1\}}$ *as* $H'_1 = \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1} \rangle$, $H'_2 = \{1\}$, $H'_3 = \{1\}$, $H'_4 = \{1\}$, *all inside* $G' = \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1}; - \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{2,3\}}$ *as* $H''_1 = \{1\}$, $H''_2 = \langle u_0, u_1 \rangle$, $H''_3 = \langle u_0, u_1 t^{\mathbf{e_1}} \rangle$, $H''_4 = \{1\}$, *all inside* $G'' = \langle u_0, u_1; t^{\mathbf{e_1}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{1,3,4\}}$ *as* $H'''_1 = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_3}} \rangle$, $H'''_2 = \{1\}$, $H'''_3 = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_2}} \rangle$, $H'''_4 = \langle u_2, u_3 t^{\mathbf{e_2}}; t^{\mathbf{e_3} - \mathbf{e_2}} \rangle$, *all inside* $G''' = \langle u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e_2}}, t^{\mathbf{e_3}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$;
- $\chi_{\{2,3,4\}}$ *as* $H''''_1 = \{1\}$, $H''''_2 = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_5}} \rangle$, $H''''_3 = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_4}} \rangle$, $H''''_4 = \langle u_4, u_5 t^{\mathbf{e_4}}; t^{\mathbf{e_5} - \mathbf{e_4}} \rangle$, *all inside* $G'''' = \langle u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e_4}}, t^{\mathbf{e_5}} \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$.

And note that $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H'_i \pi\right) \neq 1$, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H''_i \pi\right) \neq 1$, $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H'''_i \pi\right) \neq 1$, *and* $\operatorname{rk}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} H''''_i \pi\right) \neq 1$. *Therefore, we can realize* χ *by the following subgroups*

Positive results

Example (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1}, u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e}_3} \rangle, \\ H_2 &= \langle u_0, u_1, u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e}_5} \rangle, \\ H_3 &= \langle u_0, u_1 t^{\mathbf{e}_1}, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e}_2}, t^{\mathbf{e}_4} \rangle, \\ H_4 &= \langle u_2, u_3 t^{\mathbf{e}_2}, u_4, u_5 t^{\mathbf{e}_4}; t^{\mathbf{e}_3 - \mathbf{e}_2}, t^{\mathbf{e}_5 - \mathbf{e}_4} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

of $G' \circledast G'' \circledast G''' \circledast G'''' \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$. □

Corollary

$\mathbb{F}_2 \times \left(\bigoplus_{\aleph_0} \mathbb{Z} \right)$ *is intersection-saturated.*

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

There exist finitely presented intersection-saturated groups.

Positive results

Example (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1}, u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e}_3} \rangle, \\ H_2 &= \langle u_0, u_1, u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e}_5} \rangle, \\ H_3 &= \langle u_0, u_1 t^{\mathbf{e}_1}, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e}_2}, t^{\mathbf{e}_4} \rangle, \\ H_4 &= \langle u_2, u_3 t^{\mathbf{e}_2}, u_4, u_5 t^{\mathbf{e}_4}; t^{\mathbf{e}_3 - \mathbf{e}_2}, t^{\mathbf{e}_5 - \mathbf{e}_4} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

of $G' \circledast G'' \circledast G''' \circledast G'''' \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$. $\square$

Corollary

$\mathbb{F}_2 \times \left(\bigoplus_{\aleph_0} \mathbb{Z} \right)$ *is intersection-saturated.*

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

There exist finitely presented intersection-saturated groups.

Positive results

Example (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \langle \ldots, u_{-2}, u_{-1}, u_2, u_3; t^{\mathbf{e}_3} \rangle, \\ H_2 &= \langle u_0, u_1, u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e}_5} \rangle, \\ H_3 &= \langle u_0, u_1 t^{\mathbf{e}_1}, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5; t^{\mathbf{e}_2}, t^{\mathbf{e}_4} \rangle, \\ H_4 &= \langle u_2, u_3 t^{\mathbf{e}_2}, u_4, u_5 t^{\mathbf{e}_4}; t^{\mathbf{e}_3 - \mathbf{e}_2}, t^{\mathbf{e}_5 - \mathbf{e}_4} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

of $G' \circledast G'' \circledast G''' \circledast G'''' \leqslant \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^5$. $\square$

Corollary

$\mathbb{F}_2 \times \left(\bigoplus_{\aleph_0} \mathbb{Z} \right)$ *is intersection-saturated.*

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. ’22)

There exist finitely presented intersection-saturated groups.

Positive results

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

There exist finitely presented intersection-saturated groups.

(Proof 1)

- *Consider Thomson's group F;*
- *it is well know to be finitely presented and to contain $\oplus_{\aleph_0} \mathbb{Z}$;*
- *therefore, $\mathbb{F}_2 \times F$ is intersection-saturated.* □
- *(Need to take $\mathbb{F}_2 \times$ because F does not contain $\mathbb{F}_2$.)*

(Proof 2)

- *Consider $G = \left(\oplus_{\aleph_0} \mathbb{Z}\right) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$, where α is the automorphism given by right translation of generators;*
- *G is recursively presented so, it embeds in a finitely presented group, $G \hookrightarrow G'$;*
- *$\mathbb{F}_2 \times G'$ is finitely presented and intersection-saturated.* □

Positive results

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

There exist finitely presented intersection-saturated groups.

(Proof 1)

- *Consider Thomson's group F;*
- *it is well know to be finitely presented and to contain $\oplus_{\aleph_0} \mathbb{Z}$;*
- *therefore, $\mathbb{F}_2 \times F$ is intersection-saturated.* □
- *(Need to take $\mathbb{F}_2 \times$ because F does not contain $\mathbb{F}_2$.)*

(Proof 2)

- *Consider $G = \left(\oplus_{\aleph_0} \mathbb{Z} \right) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$, where α is the automorphism given by right translation of generators;*
- *G is recursively presented so, it embeds in a finitely presented group, $G \hookrightarrow G'$;*
- *$\mathbb{F}_2 \times G'$ is finitely presented and intersection-saturated.* □

Positive results

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

There exist finitely presented intersection-saturated groups.

(Proof 1)

- *Consider Thomson's group F;*
- *it is well know to be finitely presented and to contain $\oplus_{\aleph_0}\mathbb{Z}$;*
- *therefore, $\mathbb{F}_2 \times F$ is intersection-saturated.* □
- *(Need to take $\mathbb{F}_2 \times$ because F does not contain $\mathbb{F}_2$.)*

(Proof 2)

- *Consider $G = \left(\oplus_{\aleph_0}\mathbb{Z}\right) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$, where α is the automorphism given by right translation of generators;*
- *G is recursively presented so, it embeds in a finitely presented group, $G \hookrightarrow G'$;*
- *$\mathbb{F}_2 \times G'$ is finitely presented and intersection-saturated.* □

Positive results

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

There exist finitely presented intersection-saturated groups.

(Proof 1)

- *Consider Thomson's group F;*
- *it is well know to be finitely presented and to contain $\oplus_{\aleph_0}\mathbb{Z}$;*
- *therefore, $\mathbb{F}_2 \times F$ is intersection-saturated.* $\square$
- *(Need to take $\mathbb{F}_2\times$ because F does not contain $\mathbb{F}_2$.)*

(Proof 2)

- *Consider $G = \left(\oplus_{\aleph_0}\mathbb{Z}\right) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$, where α is the automorphism given by right translation of generators;*
- *G is recursively presented so, it embeds in a finitely presented group, $G \hookrightarrow G'$;*
- *$\mathbb{F}_2 \times G'$ is finitely presented and intersection-saturated.* $\square$

Positive results

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

There exist finitely presented intersection-saturated groups.

(Proof 1)

- *Consider Thomson's group F;*
- *it is well know to be finitely presented and to contain $\oplus_{\aleph_0}\mathbb{Z}$;*
- *therefore, $\mathbb{F}_2 \times F$ is intersection-saturated.* $\square$
- *(Need to take $\mathbb{F}_2 \times$ because F does not contain $\mathbb{F}_2$.)*

(Proof 2)

- *Consider $G = \left(\oplus_{\aleph_0} \mathbb{Z}\right) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$, where α is the automorphism given by right translation of generators;*
- *G is recursively presented so, it embeds in a finitely presented group, $G \hookrightarrow G'$;*
- *$\mathbb{F}_2 \times G'$ is finitely presented and intersection-saturated.* $\square$

Positive results

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

There exist finitely presented intersection-saturated groups.

(Proof 1)

- *Consider Thomson's group F;*
- *it is well know to be finitely presented and to contain $\oplus_{\aleph_0}\mathbb{Z}$;*
- *therefore, $\mathbb{F}_2 \times F$ is intersection-saturated.* $\square$
- *(Need to take $\mathbb{F}_2 \times$ because F does not contain $\mathbb{F}_2$.)*

(Proof 2)

- *Consider $G = \left(\oplus_{\aleph_0}\mathbb{Z}\right) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$, where α is the automorphism given by right translation of generators;*
- *G is recursively presented so, it embeds in a finitely presented group, $G \hookrightarrow G'$;*
- *$\mathbb{F}_2 \times G'$ is finitely presented and intersection-saturated.* $\square$

Positive results

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

There exist finitely presented intersection-saturated groups.

(Proof 1)

- *Consider Thomson's group F;*
- *it is well know to be finitely presented and to contain $\oplus_{\aleph_0}\mathbb{Z}$;*
- *therefore, $\mathbb{F}_2 \times F$ is intersection-saturated.* $\square$
- *(Need to take $\mathbb{F}_2 \times$ because F does not contain $\mathbb{F}_2$.)*

(Proof 2)

• *Consider $G = \left(\oplus_{\aleph_0}\mathbb{Z}\right) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$, where α is the automorphism given by right translation of generators;*

• *G is recursively presented so, it embeds in a finitely presented group, $G \hookrightarrow G'$;*

• *$\mathbb{F}_2 \times G'$ is finitely presented and intersection-saturated.* $\square$

Positive results

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V. '22)

There exist finitely presented intersection-saturated groups.

(Proof 1)

- *Consider Thomson's group F;*
- *it is well know to be finitely presented and to contain $\oplus_{\aleph_0}\mathbb{Z}$;*
- *therefore, $\mathbb{F}_2 \times F$ is intersection-saturated.* $\square$
- *(Need to take $\mathbb{F}_2 \times$ because F does not contain $\mathbb{F}_2$.)*

(Proof 2)

- *Consider $G = \left(\oplus_{\aleph_0}\mathbb{Z}\right) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$, where α is the automorphism given by right translation of generators;*
- *G is recursively presented so, it embeds in a finitely presented group, $G \hookrightarrow G'$;*
- *$\mathbb{F}_2 \times G'$ is finitely presented and intersection-saturated.* $\square$

An obstruction

Lemma

Let $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leqslant \mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$. Suppose that, for $\emptyset \neq I, J \subseteq [k]$, H_I and H_J are f.g. whereas $H_{I \cup J} = H_I \cap H_J$ is not. Then, $\exists i \in I$, $\exists j \in J$ s.t. $L_i = H_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $L_j = H_j \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$ both have rank strictly smaller than m.

Proposition

Let χ be a k-config. and $\emptyset \neq I_1, \ldots, I_r \subseteq [k]$ be $r \geq 2$ subsets s.t. $\forall j \in [r]$, $(I_1 \cup \cdots \cup \widehat{I_j} \cup \cdots \cup I_r)\chi = \mathbf{0}$, but $(I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_r)\chi = \mathbf{1}$. Then χ is not realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^{r-2}$.

Corollary

The 3-configurations  *are not realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}$.*

An obstruction

Lemma

Let $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leqslant \mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$. Suppose that, for $\emptyset \neq I, J \subseteq [k]$, H_I and H_J are f.g. whereas $H_{I \cup J} = H_I \cap H_J$ is not. Then, $\exists i \in I$, $\exists j \in J$ s.t. $L_i = H_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $L_j = H_j \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$ both have rank strictly smaller than m.

Proposition

Let χ be a k-config. and $\emptyset \neq I_1, \ldots, I_r \subseteq [k]$ be $r \geq 2$ subsets s.t. $\forall j \in [r]$, $(I_1 \cup \cdots \cup \widehat{I_j} \cup \cdots \cup I_r)\chi = \mathbf{0}$, but $(I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_r)\chi = \mathbf{1}$. Then χ is not realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^{r-2}$.

Corollary

The 3-configurations  *are not realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}$.*

An obstruction

Lemma

Let $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leqslant \mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$. Suppose that, for $\emptyset \neq I, J \subseteq [k]$, H_I and H_J are f.g. whereas $H_{I \cup J} = H_I \cap H_J$ is not. Then, $\exists i \in I$, $\exists j \in J$ s.t. $L_i = H_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $L_j = H_j \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$ both have rank strictly smaller than m.

Proposition

Let χ be a k-config. and $\emptyset \neq I_1, \ldots, I_r \subseteq [k]$ be $r \geq 2$ subsets s.t. $\forall j \in [r]$, $(I_1 \cup \cdots \cup \widehat{I_j} \cup \cdots \cup I_r)\chi = \mathbf{0}$, but $(I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_r)\chi = \mathbf{1}$. Then χ is not realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^{r-2}$.

Corollary

The 3-configurations  *are not realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}$.*

An obstruction

Proposition

The k-configuration $\chi_{[k]}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}$, but not in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-2}$.
Hence, the set of configurations realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ increases strictly with m.

Outline

More on configurations

Definition

Let χ be a k-config. and let $i \in [k]$. Its restriction to $\widehat{i} = [k] \setminus \{i\}$ is the $(k-1)$-configuration

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \chi_{|\widehat{i}}\colon \mathcal{P}([k] \setminus \{i\}) \setminus \{\varnothing\} & \to & \{0,1\} \\ I & \mapsto & (I)\chi \,. \end{array}$$

Definition

Given two k-configurations χ, χ' and $\delta \in \{0,1\}$, we define

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \chi \boxplus_\delta \chi' \colon \mathcal{P}([k+1]) \setminus \{\varnothing\} & \to & \{0,1\} \\ I & \mapsto & \begin{cases} (I)\chi & \text{if } k+1 \notin I, \\ (I \setminus \{k+1\})\chi' & \text{if } \{k+1\} \subsetneq I, \\ \delta & \text{if } \{k+1\} = I, \end{cases} \end{array}$$

a $(k+1)$-configuration.

More on configurations

Definition

Let χ be a k-config. and let $i \in [k]$. Its restriction to $\widehat{i} = [k] \setminus \{i\}$ is the $(k-1)$-configuration

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \chi_{|\widehat{i}} \colon \mathcal{P}([k] \setminus \{i\}) \setminus \{\varnothing\} & \to & \{0, 1\} \\ I & \mapsto & (I)\chi \,. \end{array}$$

Definition

Given two k-configurations χ, χ' and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, we define

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \chi \boxplus_\delta \chi' \colon \mathcal{P}([k+1]) \setminus \{\varnothing\} & \to & \{0, 1\} \\ I & \mapsto & \begin{cases} (I)\chi & \text{if } k+1 \notin I, \\ (I \setminus \{k+1\})\chi' & \text{if } \{k+1\} \subsetneq I, \\ \delta & \text{if } \{k+1\} = I, \end{cases} \end{array}$$

a $(k+1)$-configuration.

More on cofigurations

Example



Definition

Let χ be a k-configuration, and $i \in [k]$. The index i is said to be 0-monochromatic (in χ) if $(I)\chi = 0$ $\forall I \subseteq [k]$ containing i; i.e., if $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{i}} \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$. Similarly, the index i is said to be 1-monochromatic (in χ) if $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{i}} \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$.

Lemma

If a k-configuration χ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n$ with $n \geq 2$, then the $(k+1)$-configurations $\chi \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$, $\chi \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$, $\chi \boxplus_0 \chi$, and $\chi \boxplus_1 \chi$ are also realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n$.

More on cofigurations

Example



Definition

Let χ be a k-configuration, and $i \in [k]$. The index i is said to be 0-monochromatic (in χ) if $(I)\chi = 0$ $\forall I \subseteq [k]$ containing i; i.e., if $\chi = \chi_{|\hat{i}} \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$. Similarly, the index i is said to be 1-monochromatic (in χ) if $\chi = \chi_{|\hat{i}} \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$.

Lemma

If a k-configuration χ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n$ with $n \geq 2$, then the $(k+1)$-configurations $\chi \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$, $\chi \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$, $\chi \boxplus_0 \chi$, and $\chi \boxplus_1 \chi$ are also realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n$.

More on cofigurations

Example



Definition

Let χ be a k-configuration, and $i \in [k]$. The index i is said to be 0-monochromatic (in χ) if $(I)\chi = 0$ $\forall I \subseteq [k]$ containing i; i.e., if $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{i}} \boxplus_0 0$. Similarly, the index i is said to be 1-monochromatic (in χ) if $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{i}} \boxplus_1 1$.

Lemma

If a k-configuration χ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n$ with $n \geq 2$, then the $(k+1)$-configurations $\chi \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$, $\chi \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$, $\chi \boxplus_0 \chi$, and $\chi \boxplus_1 \chi$ are also realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n$.

Characterization for the free case

(Proof)

Let $\mathbb{F}_2 * \mathbb{F}_{\aleph_0} \simeq W * U = \langle w_1, w_2, \ldots \rangle * \langle u, v \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$*, and take* $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leqslant W \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$ *realizing* χ*. Now, in order to realize:*

- $\chi \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$*, take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$*, and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \{1\}$*;*
- $\chi \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$*, take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1 * \langle u, v \rangle, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k * \langle u, v \rangle$ *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \ll u \gg_U$*:* $\widetilde{H}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k$ *realize* $\chi \vee \mathbf{0} = \chi$ *and, for every* $i \neq k+1$, $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} \cap \widetilde{H}_i = \widetilde{H}_{k+1}$ *which is non-f.g.;*
- $\chi \boxplus_0 \chi$*, take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$*, and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \mathbb{F}_n$*;*
- $\chi \boxplus_1 \chi$*, take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$*, and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = W$*.* □

Definition

A k-configuration χ is said to be Howson if, for every $\emptyset \neq I, J \subseteq [k]$, $(I)\chi = (J)\chi = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; (I \cup J)\chi = 0$.

Characterization for the free case

(Proof)

Let $\mathbb{F}_2 * \mathbb{F}_{\aleph_0} \simeq W * U = \langle w_1, w_2, \ldots \rangle * \langle u, v \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$, and take $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leqslant W \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$ realizing χ. Now, in order to realize:

- $\chi \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$, *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \{1\}$;
- $\chi \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1 * \langle u, v \rangle, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k * \langle u, v \rangle$ *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \ll u \gg_U$: $\widetilde{H}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k$ *realize* $\chi \vee \mathbf{0} = \chi$ *and, for every* $i \neq k+1$, $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} \cap \widetilde{H}_i = \widetilde{H}_{k+1}$ *which is non-f.g.*;
- $\chi \boxplus_0 \chi$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$, *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \mathbb{F}_n$;
- $\chi \boxplus_1 \chi$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$, *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = W$. □

Definition

A k-configuration χ is said to be Howson if, for every $\emptyset \neq I, J \subseteq [k]$, $(I)\chi = (J)\chi = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; (I \cup J)\chi = 0$.

Characterization for the free case

(Proof)

Let $\mathbb{F}_2 * \mathbb{F}_{\aleph_0} \simeq W * U = \langle w_1, w_2, \ldots \rangle * \langle u, v \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$, *and take* $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leqslant W \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$ *realizing* χ. *Now, in order to realize:*

- $\chi \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$, *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \{1\}$;
- $\chi \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1 * \langle u, v \rangle, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k * \langle u, v \rangle$ *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \ll u \gg_U$: $\widetilde{H}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k$ *realize* $\chi \vee \mathbf{0} = \chi$ *and, for every* $i \neq k+1$, $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} \cap \widetilde{H}_i = \widetilde{H}_{k+1}$ *which is non-f.g.;*
- $\chi \boxplus_0 \chi$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$, *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \mathbb{F}_n$;
- $\chi \boxplus_1 \chi$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$, *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = W$. □

Definition

A k-configuration χ is said to be Howson if, for every $\emptyset \neq I, J \subseteq [k]$, $(I)\chi = (J)\chi = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; (I \cup J)\chi = 0$.

Characterization for the free case

(Proof)

Let $\mathbb{F}_2 * \mathbb{F}_{\aleph_0} \simeq W * U = \langle w_1, w_2, \ldots \rangle * \langle u, v \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$*, and take* $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leqslant W \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$ *realizing* χ*. Now, in order to realize:*

- $\chi \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$*, take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$*, and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \{1\}$*;*
- $\chi \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$*, take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1 * \langle u, v \rangle, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k * \langle u, v \rangle$ *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \ll u \gg_U$*:* $\widetilde{H}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k$ *realize* $\chi \vee \mathbf{0} = \chi$ *and, for every* $i \neq k+1$*,* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} \cap \widetilde{H}_i = \widetilde{H}_{k+1}$ *which is non-f.g.;*
- $\chi \boxplus_0 \chi$*, take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$*, and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \mathbb{F}_n$*;*
- $\chi \boxplus_1 \chi$*, take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$*, and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = W$*.* □

Definition

A k-configuration χ is said to be Howson if, for every $\emptyset \neq I, J \subseteq [k]$*,* $(I)\chi = (J)\chi = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; (I \cup J)\chi = 0$.

Characterization for the free case

(Proof)

Let $\mathbb{F}_2 * \mathbb{F}_{\aleph_0} \simeq W * U = \langle w_1, w_2, \ldots \rangle * \langle u, v \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$*, and take* $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leqslant W \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$ *realizing* χ*. Now, in order to realize:*

- $\chi \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$, *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \{1\}$;
- $\chi \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1 * \langle u, v \rangle, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k * \langle u, v \rangle$ *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \ll u \gg_U$: $\widetilde{H}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k$ *realize* $\chi \vee \mathbf{0} = \chi$ *and, for every* $i \neq k+1$, $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} \cap \widetilde{H}_i = \widetilde{H}_{k+1}$ *which is non-f.g.*;
- $\chi \boxplus_0 \chi$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$, *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \mathbb{F}_n$;
- $\chi \boxplus_1 \chi$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$, *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = W$. $\square$

Definition

A k-configuration χ is said to be Howson *if, for every* $\emptyset \neq I, J \subseteq [k]$, $(I)\chi = (J)\chi = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; (I \cup J)\chi = 0$.

Characterization for the free case

(Proof)

Let $\mathbb{F}_2 * \mathbb{F}_{\aleph_0} \simeq W * U = \langle w_1, w_2, \ldots \rangle * \langle u, v \rangle \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$*, and take* $H_1, \ldots, H_k \leqslant W \leqslant \mathbb{F}_n$ *realizing* χ*. Now, in order to realize:*

- $\chi \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$, *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \{1\}$;
- $\chi \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1 * \langle u, v \rangle, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k * \langle u, v \rangle$ *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \ll u \gg_U$: $\widetilde{H}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k$ *realize* $\chi \vee \mathbf{0} = \chi$ *and, for every* $i \neq k+1$, $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} \cap \widetilde{H}_i = \widetilde{H}_{k+1}$ *which is non-f.g.;*
- $\chi \boxplus_0 \chi$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$, *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = \mathbb{F}_n$;
- $\chi \boxplus_1 \chi$, *take* $\widetilde{H}_1 = H_1, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_k = H_k$, *and* $\widetilde{H}_{k+1} = W$. $\square$

Definition

A k*-configuration* χ *is said to be Howson if, for every* $\emptyset \neq I, J \subseteq [k]$, $(I)\chi = (J)\chi = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; (I \cup J)\chi = 0$.

Characterization for the free case

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V., '22)

A k-configuration is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n$, $n \geq 2 \Leftrightarrow$ it is Howson.

(Proof)

For $\Leftarrow$, we will do induction on the cardinal of the support of χ, say s (regardless of its size k).

- *If $s = 0$ then $\chi = \mathbf{0}$, clearly realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$.*
- *Given χ with $|\operatorname{supp}(\chi)| = s$ and being Howson, define the cone of χ with vertex $I \subseteq [k]$, denoted by $c_I(\chi)$, as*

$$\begin{aligned} c_I(\chi) \colon \mathcal{P}([k]) \setminus \{\varnothing\} &\to \{0, 1\} \\ J &\mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } J \not\subseteq I, \\ (J)\chi & \text{if } J \subseteq I. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

- *Now let $I_1, \ldots, I_p \subseteq [k]$ be the maximal elements in $\operatorname{supp}(\chi)$ (w.r.t. inclusion). It is clear that $\chi = c_{I_1}(\chi) \vee \cdots \vee c_{I_p}(\chi)$.*

Characterization for the free case

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V., '22)

A k-configuration is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n$, $n \geq 2 \Leftrightarrow$ it is Howson.

(Proof)

For $\Leftarrow$, we will do induction on the cardinal of the support of χ, say s (regardless of its size k).

- *If $s = 0$ then $\chi = \mathbf{0}$, clearly realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$.*
- *Given χ with $|\mathrm{supp}(\chi)| = s$ and being Howson, define the cone of χ with vertex $I \subseteq [k]$, denoted by $c_I(\chi)$, as*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} c_I(\chi)\colon \mathcal{P}([k]) \setminus \{\varnothing\} & \to & \{0,1\} \\ J & \mapsto & \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } J \not\subseteq I, \\ (J)\chi & \text{if } J \subseteq I. \end{cases} \end{array}$$

- *Now let $I_1, \ldots, I_p \subseteq [k]$ be the maximal elements in $\mathrm{supp}(\chi)$ (w.r.t. inclusion). It is clear that $\chi = c_{I_1}(\chi) \vee \cdots \vee c_{I_p}(\chi)$.*

Characterization for the free case

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V., '22)

A k-configuration is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n$, $n \geq 2 \Leftrightarrow$ it is Howson.

(Proof)

For $\Leftarrow$, we will do induction on the cardinal of the support of χ, say s (regardless of its size k).

- *If $s = 0$ then $\chi = \mathbf{0}$, clearly realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$.*
- *Given χ with $|\operatorname{supp}(\chi)| = s$ and being Howson, define the cone of χ with vertex $I \subseteq [k]$, denoted by $c_I(\chi)$, as*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} c_I(\chi) \colon \mathcal{P}([k]) \setminus \{\varnothing\} & \to & \{0,1\} \\ J & \mapsto & \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } J \not\subseteq I, \\ (J)\chi & \text{if } J \subseteq I. \end{cases} \end{array}$$

- *Now let $I_1, \ldots, I_p \subseteq [k]$ be the maximal elements in $\operatorname{supp}(\chi)$ (w.r.t. inclusion). It is clear that $\chi = c_{I_1}(\chi) \vee \cdots \vee c_{I_p}(\chi)$.*

Characterization for the free case

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V., '22)

A k-configuration is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n$, $n \geq 2 \Leftrightarrow$ it is Howson.

(Proof)

For $\Leftarrow$, we will do induction on the cardinal of the support of χ, say s (regardless of its size k).

- *If $s = 0$ then $\chi = \mathbf{0}$, clearly realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$.*
- *Given χ with $|\operatorname{supp}(\chi)| = s$ and being Howson, define the cone of χ with vertex $I \subseteq [k]$, denoted by $c_I(\chi)$, as*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} c_I(\chi)\colon \mathcal{P}([k]) \setminus \{\varnothing\} & \to & \{0,1\} \\ J & \mapsto & \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } J \not\subseteq I, \\ (J)\chi & \text{if } J \subseteq I. \end{cases} \end{array}$$

- *Now let $I_1, \ldots, I_p \subseteq [k]$ be the maximal elements in $\operatorname{supp}(\chi)$ (w.r.t. inclusion). It is clear that $\chi = c_{I_1}(\chi) \vee \cdots \vee c_{I_p}(\chi)$.*

Characterization for the free case

Theorem (Delgado–Roy–V., '22)

A k-configuration is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n$, $n \geq 2 \Leftrightarrow$ it is Howson.

(Proof)

For $\Leftarrow$, we will do induction on the cardinal of the support of χ, say s (regardless of its size k).

- *If $s = 0$ then $\chi = \mathbf{0}$, clearly realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$.*
- *Given χ with $|\operatorname{supp}(\chi)| = s$ and being Howson, define the cone of χ with vertex $I \subseteq [k]$, denoted by $c_I(\chi)$, as*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} c_I(\chi)\colon \mathcal{P}([k]) \setminus \{\varnothing\} & \to & \{0, 1\} \\ J & \mapsto & \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } J \not\subseteq I, \\ (J)\chi & \text{if } J \subseteq I. \end{cases} \end{array}$$

- *Now let $I_1, \ldots, I_p \subseteq [k]$ be the maximal elements in $\operatorname{supp}(\chi)$ (w.r.t. inclusion). It is clear that $\chi = c_{I_1}(\chi) \vee \cdots \vee c_{I_p}(\chi)$.*

Characterization for the free case

(cont.)

- *If $p \geq 2$, by the induction hypothesis we can realize each of $c_{I_1}(\chi), \ldots, c_{I_p}(\chi)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2$, and so, realize their join χ, in $\mathbb{F}_2$ as well.*
- *Hence, we are reduced to the case $p = 1$: χ is Howson and $\exists\, \emptyset \neq I_1 \subseteq [k]$ with $(I_1)\chi = 1$, and $(J)\chi = 0$ for every $J \not\subseteq I_1$.*
- *If $I_1 \neq [k]$ then any $j \in [k] \setminus I_1$ is 0-monochromatic, $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{j}} \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$, and we are reduced to realize $\chi_{|\widehat{j}}$; repeating, we can assume $I_1 = [k]$. That is, χ is a Howson k-config. s.t. $([k])\chi = 1$.*
- *If $\chi = \mathbf{1}$ then it is clearly realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$.*
- *Otherwise, take $\varnothing \neq I_2 \subseteq [k]$ with $(I_2)\chi = 0$ and with maximal possible cardinal.*
- *Since $I_2 \neq [k]$, $\exists j \notin I_2$, and any such index is 1-monochromatic: in fact, any $j \in J \subseteq [k]$ satisfies $|I_2 \cup J| > |I_2|$ so $(I_2 \cup J)\chi = 1$ and, since χ is Howson and $(I_2)\chi = 0$, then $(J)\chi = 1$.*
- *Hence, by induction hypothesis, $\chi_{|\widehat{j}}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{j}} \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$ as well.* □

Characterization for the free case

(cont.)

- *If $p \geq 2$, by the induction hypothesis we can realize each of $c_{I_1}(\chi), \ldots, c_{I_p}(\chi)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2$, and so, realize their join χ, in $\mathbb{F}_2$ as well.*
- *Hence, we are reduced to the case $p = 1$: χ is Howson and $\exists\, \emptyset \neq I_1 \subseteq [k]$ with $(I_1)\chi = 1$, and $(J)\chi = 0$ for every $J \not\subseteq I_1$.*
- *If $I_1 \neq [k]$ then any $j \in [k] \setminus I_1$ is 0-monochromatic, $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{j}} \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$, and we are reduced to realize $\chi_{|\widehat{j}}$; repeating, we can assume $I_1 = [k]$. That is, χ is a Howson k-config. s.t. $([k])\chi = 1$.*
- *If $\chi = \mathbf{1}$ then it is clearly realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$.*
- *Otherwise, take $\varnothing \neq I_2 \subseteq [k]$ with $(I_2)\chi = 0$ and with maximal possible cardinal.*
- *Since $I_2 \neq [k]$, $\exists j \notin I_2$, and any such index is 1-monochromatic: in fact, any $j \in J \subseteq [k]$ satisfies $|I_2 \cup J| > |I_2|$ so $(I_2 \cup J)\chi = 1$ and, since χ is Howson and $(I_2)\chi = 0$, then $(J)\chi = 1$.*
- *Hence, by induction hypothesis, $\chi_{|\widehat{j}}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{j}} \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$ as well.* $\square$

Characterization for the free case

(cont.)

- *If $p \geq 2$, by the induction hypothesis we can realize each of $c_{I_1}(\chi), \ldots, c_{I_p}(\chi)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2$, and so, realize their join χ, in $\mathbb{F}_2$ as well.*
- *Hence, we are reduced to the case $p = 1$: χ is Howson and $\exists\, \emptyset \neq I_1 \subseteq [k]$ with $(I_1)\chi = 1$, and $(J)\chi = 0$ for every $J \not\subseteq I_1$.*
- *If $I_1 \neq [k]$ then any $j \in [k] \setminus I_1$ is 0-monochromatic, $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{j}} \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$, and we are reduced to realize $\chi_{|\widehat{j}}$; repeating, we can assume $I_1 = [k]$. That is, χ is a Howson k-config. s.t. $([k])\chi = 1$.*
- *If $\chi = \mathbf{1}$ then it is clearly realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$.*
- *Otherwise, take $\varnothing \neq I_2 \subseteq [k]$ with $(I_2)\chi = 0$ and with maximal possible cardinal.*
- *Since $I_2 \neq [k]$, $\exists j \notin I_2$, and any such index is 1-monochromatic: in fact, any $j \in J \subseteq [k]$ satisfies $|I_2 \cup J| > |I_2|$ so $(I_2 \cup J)\chi = 1$ and, since χ is Howson and $(I_2)\chi = 0$, then $(J)\chi = 1$.*
- *Hence, by induction hypothesis, $\chi_{|\widehat{j}}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{j}} \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$ as well.*

Characterization for the free case

(cont.)

- *If $p \geq 2$, by the induction hypothesis we can realize each of $c_{I_1}(\chi), \ldots, c_{I_p}(\chi)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2$, and so, realize their join χ, in $\mathbb{F}_2$ as well.*
- *Hence, we are reduced to the case $p = 1$: χ is Howson and $\exists\, \emptyset \neq I_1 \subseteq [k]$ with $(I_1)\chi = 1$, and $(J)\chi = 0$ for every $J \not\subseteq I_1$.*
- *If $I_1 \neq [k]$ then any $j \in [k] \setminus I_1$ is 0-monochromatic, $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{j}} \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$, and we are reduced to realize $\chi_{|\widehat{j}}$; repeating, we can assume $I_1 = [k]$. That is, χ is a Howson k-config. s.t. $([k])\chi = 1$.*
- *If $\chi = \mathbf{1}$ then it is clearly realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$.*
- *Otherwise, take $\varnothing \neq I_2 \subseteq [k]$ with $(I_2)\chi = 0$ and with maximal possible cardinal.*
- *Since $I_2 \neq [k]$, $\exists j \notin I_2$, and any such index is 1-monochromatic: in fact, any $j \in J \subseteq [k]$ satisfies $|I_2 \cup J| > |I_2|$ so $(I_2 \cup J)\chi = 1$ and, since χ is Howson and $(I_2)\chi = 0$, then $(J)\chi = 1$.*
- *Hence, by induction hypothesis, $\chi_{|\widehat{j}}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{j}} \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$ as well.*

Characterization for the free case

(cont.)

- *If $p \geq 2$, by the induction hypothesis we can realize each of $c_{I_1}(\chi), \ldots, c_{I_p}(\chi)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2$, and so, realize their join χ, in $\mathbb{F}_2$ as well.*
- *Hence, we are reduced to the case $p = 1$: χ is Howson and $\exists\, \emptyset \neq I_1 \subseteq [k]$ with $(I_1)\chi = 1$, and $(J)\chi = 0$ for every $J \not\subseteq I_1$.*
- *If $I_1 \neq [k]$ then any $j \in [k] \setminus I_1$ is 0-monochromatic, $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{j}} \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$, and we are reduced to realize $\chi_{|\widehat{j}}$; repeating, we can assume $I_1 = [k]$. That is, χ is a Howson k-config. s.t. $([k])\chi = 1$.*
- *If $\chi = \mathbf{1}$ then it is clearly realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$.*
- *Otherwise, take $\varnothing \neq I_2 \subseteq [k]$ with $(I_2)\chi = 0$ and with maximal possible cardinal.*
- *Since $I_2 \neq [k]$, $\exists j \notin I_2$, and any such index is 1-monochromatic: in fact, any $j \in J \subseteq [k]$ satisfies $|I_2 \cup J| > |I_2|$ so $(I_2 \cup J)\chi = 1$ and, since χ is Howson and $(I_2)\chi = 0$, then $(J)\chi = 1$.*
- *Hence, by induction hypothesis, $\chi_{|\widehat{j}}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{j}} \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$ as well.* $\square$

Characterization for the free case

(cont.)

- *If $p \geq 2$, by the induction hypothesis we can realize each of $c_{I_1}(\chi), \ldots, c_{I_p}(\chi)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2$, and so, realize their join χ, in $\mathbb{F}_2$ as well.*
- *Hence, we are reduced to the case $p = 1$: χ is Howson and $\exists\, \emptyset \neq I_1 \subseteq [k]$ with $(I_1)\chi = 1$, and $(J)\chi = 0$ for every $J \not\subseteq I_1$.*
- *If $I_1 \neq [k]$ then any $j \in [k] \setminus I_1$ is 0-monochromatic, $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{j}} \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$, and we are reduced to realize $\chi_{|\widehat{j}}$; repeating, we can assume $I_1 = [k]$. That is, χ is a Howson k-config. s.t. $([k])\chi = 1$.*
- *If $\chi = \mathbf{1}$ then it is clearly realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$.*
- *Otherwise, take $\varnothing \neq I_2 \subseteq [k]$ with $(I_2)\chi = 0$ and with maximal possible cardinal.*
- *Since $I_2 \neq [k]$, $\exists j \notin I_2$, and any such index is 1-monochromatic: in fact, any $j \in J \subseteq [k]$ satisfies $|I_2 \cup J| > |I_2|$ so $(I_2 \cup J)\chi = 1$ and, since χ is Howson and $(I_2)\chi = 0$, then $(J)\chi = 1$.*
- *Hence, by induction hypothesis, $\chi_{|\widehat{j}}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{j}} \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$ as well.*

Characterization for the free case

(cont.)

- *If $p \geq 2$, by the induction hypothesis we can realize each of $c_{I_1}(\chi), \ldots, c_{I_p}(\chi)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2$, and so, realize their join χ, in $\mathbb{F}_2$ as well.*
- *Hence, we are reduced to the case $p = 1$: χ is Howson and $\exists\, \emptyset \neq I_1 \subseteq [k]$ with $(I_1)\chi = 1$, and $(J)\chi = 0$ for every $J \not\subseteq I_1$.*
- *If $I_1 \neq [k]$ then any $j \in [k] \setminus I_1$ is 0-monochromatic, $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{j}} \boxplus_0 \mathbf{0}$, and we are reduced to realize $\chi_{|\widehat{j}}$; repeating, we can assume $I_1 = [k]$. That is, χ is a Howson k-config. s.t. $([k])\chi = 1$.*
- *If $\chi = \mathbf{1}$ then it is clearly realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$.*
- *Otherwise, take $\varnothing \neq I_2 \subseteq [k]$ with $(I_2)\chi = 0$ and with maximal possible cardinal.*
- *Since $I_2 \neq [k]$, $\exists j \notin I_2$, and any such index is 1-monochromatic: in fact, any $j \in J \subseteq [k]$ satisfies $|I_2 \cup J| > |I_2|$ so $(I_2 \cup J)\chi = 1$ and, since χ is Howson and $(I_2)\chi = 0$, then $(J)\chi = 1$.*
- *Hence, by induction hypothesis, $\chi_{|\widehat{j}}$ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\chi = \chi_{|\widehat{j}} \boxplus_1 \mathbf{1}$ as well.* $\square$

Outline

Open questions

Question

Can we characterize the k-configurations realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, for each particular m?

Question

Is there an algorithm which, on input m and χ, decides whether χ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ (and, in the affirmative case, computes such a realization)?

Question

Is there a finitely presented intersection-saturated group G which does not contain $\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$?

Open questions

Question

Can we characterize the k-configurations realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, for each particular m?

Question

Is there an algorithm which, on input m and χ, decides whether χ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ (and, in the affirmative case, computes such a realization)?

Question

Is there a finitely presented intersection-saturated group G which does not contain $\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$?

Open questions

Question

Can we characterize the k-configurations realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, for each particular m?

Question

Is there an algorithm which, on input m and χ, decides whether χ is realizable in $\mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ (and, in the affirmative case, computes such a realization)?

Question

Is there a finitely presented intersection-saturated group G which does not contain $\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$?

DZIĘKUJĘ

THANKS