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## Main result

## Theorem (Sunic-V.)

There exist automaton groups (i.e. self-similar groups generated by finite self-similar sets) with unsolvable conjugacy problem.

## Related results:

- Grigorchuk-Nekrashevych-Sushchanskiĭ (00): Is CP solvable for automaton groups ?
- WP is solvable for all such groups (straightforward, at most exponential time).
- WP is solvable in polynomial time, for the subclass of f.g. contracting groups.
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## Strategy of the proof

Will use results from Bogopolski-Martino-Ventura:
Observation (B-M-V, 08)
Let $H$ be f.g., and $\Gamma \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ f.g. If $\Gamma \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ is orbit undecidable then $H \rtimes \Gamma$ has unsolvable $C P$.
and

## Proposition (B-M-V, 08)

For $d \geqslant 4$, there exist f.g., orbit undecidable, subgroups 「

and then show that
Theorem (Sunic-V.)
Let $\Gamma \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{Z})$ be f.a. Then, $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \rtimes \Gamma$ is an automaton group.
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## Strategy of the proof

With an easy and nice idea due to Zoran, we get the improvement
Proposition (Sunic-V.)
For $d \geqslant 6, \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{Z})$ contains f.g., orbit undecidable, free, subgroups.

## Hence, we deduce:

## Theorem (Sunic.V)

For $d \geqslant 6$, there exists a f.p. group $G$ simultaneously satisfying the following three conditions:

- $G$ is $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-by-free,
- $G$ is an automaton group,
- G has unsolvable conjugacy problem.
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## Orbit decidability

## (joint work with O. Bogopolski and A. Martino)

## Definition

Let $H$ be f.g. A subgroup $\Gamma \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ is said to be orbit decidable
(O.D.) if there is an algorithm s.t., given $u, v \in H$, it decides whether $v$ and $\alpha(u)$ are conjugate, for some $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

## First examples: $H=\mathbb{Z}^{d}$

## Observation (folklore)

The full group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)=G \mathrm{~L}_{d}(\mathbb{Z})$ is orbit decidable.

Proof. For $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$, there exists $A \in \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $v=A u$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}\right)$.
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## Proof. This is a classical and very influential result.

## Theorem (Brinkmann, 06)

Cvclic aroups of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{r}\right)$ are orbit decidable. That is, given
$\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{r}\right)$ and $u, v \in F_{r}$, one can decide whether $v=\varphi^{n}(u)$, up to
conjugacy, for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. A difficult result using train-tracks.
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## Connection to semidirect products

Observation (B-M-V)
Let $H$ be f.g., and $\Gamma \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ f.g. If $H \rtimes \Gamma$ has solvable CP, then $\Gamma \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ is orbit decidable.

Proof. $G=H \rtimes \Gamma$ contains elements $(h, \gamma) \in H \times \Gamma$ operated like $\left(h_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right) \cdot\left(h_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\left(h_{1} \gamma_{1}\left(h_{2}\right), \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)$

For $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H \leqslant G$, we have $h_{1} \sim_{G} h_{2} \Leftrightarrow \exists(h, \gamma) \in H \rtimes \Gamma$ s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(h_{2}, l d\right)= & (h, \gamma)^{-1} \cdot\left(h_{1}, l d\right) \cdot(h, \gamma) \\
& \left.\left(\gamma^{-1}\left(h^{-1}\right), \gamma\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot\left(h_{1} h, \gamma\right) \\
& \left(\gamma^{-1}\left(h^{-1} h_{1} h\right), l d\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $h_{1} \sim_{G} h_{2} \Leftrightarrow \exists \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $h \in H$ s.t. $h_{1}=h \gamma\left(h_{2}\right) h^{-1}$
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- Write $v=(1,0,1,0)$. By construction, $B \cap \operatorname{Stab}(v)=\{I\}$.
- Take $A \leq B \simeq F_{2} \times F_{2}$ with unsolvable membership problem.
- By previous Proposition, $A \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{Z})$ is orbit undecidable.
- Similarly for $A \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{Z}), d \geqslant 4$. $\square$
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## Question
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## Playing with 2 extra dimensions...

These orbit undecidable examples $\Gamma \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_{4}(\mathbb{Z})$ come from Mihailova's construction, so they are not finitely presented...

## Proposition (Sunic-V.)

For $d \geqslant 6, \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{Z})$ contains f.g., orbit undecidable, free, subgroups.

## Proof. Let $d \geqslant 6$

- Since $d-2 \geqslant 4$, there exists $\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}\right\rangle=\Gamma \leqslant G L_{d-2}(\mathbb{Z})$ being orbit undecidable.
- Let $F_{m}=\left\langle f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\rangle$, and choose matrices $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m} \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\left\langle s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right\rangle \simeq F_{m}$.
- Consider the homomorphism given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi: F_{m} & \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{Z}) \\
f_{i} & \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g_{i} & 0 \\
0 & s_{i}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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- Since $\left\langle s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right\rangle \leqslant G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is free with basis $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right\}$, then $\phi$ must be one-to-one, and its image $F$ is a free subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{Z})$ or rank $m$.
> - Easy to see that $F \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{Z})$ is orbit undecidable (using the orbit undecidability of $\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}\right\rangle=\Gamma \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_{d-2}(\mathbb{Z})$ ). $\square$

In summary,
For $d \geqslant 6$, there exists a free $\Gamma \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \rtimes \Gamma$ has
unsolvable CP.
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## Outline
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Let $X$ be an alphabet on $k$ letters, and let $X^{*}$ be the free monoid on $X$, thought as a rooted $k$-ary tree:
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## Automaton groups

## Definition

- A set of tree automorphisms is self-similar if it contains all sections of all of its elements.
- A finite automaton is a finite self-similar set (elements are called states).
- The group $G(\mathcal{A})$ of tree automorphisms generated by an automaton $\mathcal{A}$ is called an automaton group.

The Grigorchuk group: $\mathcal{G}=\langle\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\rangle$, where

$$
\alpha=\sigma(1,1), \quad \beta=1(\alpha, \gamma), \quad \gamma=1(\alpha, \delta), \quad \delta=1(1, \beta)
$$

## Affinities of $n$-adic integers

## Definition

Let $\mathcal{M}=\left\{M_{1}, \ldots, M_{m}\right\}$ be integral $d \times d$ matrices with non-zero determinants. Let $n \geqslant 2$ be relatively prime to all these determinants (thus, $M_{i}$ is invertible over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ of $n$-adic integers).

For an integral $d \times d$ matrix $M$ and $v \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$, consider the invertible affine transformation ${ }_{\mathbf{v}} M: \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{d}, \quad{ }_{\mathrm{v}} M(\mathbf{u})=\mathbf{v}+M \mathbf{u}$.

## Lemma

- The group GM.n is finitely generated.
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## $G_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an automaton group

## Definition

Elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ may be (uniquely) represented as right infinite words over $Y_{n}=\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ :

$$
y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} \cdots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad y_{1}+n \cdot y_{2}+n^{2} \cdot y_{3}+\cdots
$$

Similarly, elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{d}$ (the free d-dimensional module, viewed as column vectors), may be (uniquely) represented as right infinite words over $X_{n}=Y_{n}^{d}=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)^{T} \mid y_{i} \in Y_{n}\right\}$ :

Note that $\left|Y_{n}\right|=n$ and $\left|X_{n}\right|=n^{d}$.

## $G_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an automaton group

## Definition

Elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ may be (uniquely) represented as right infinite words over $Y_{n}=\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ :

$$
y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} \cdots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad y_{1}+n \cdot y_{2}+n^{2} \cdot y_{3}+\cdots
$$

Similarly, elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{d}$ (the free d-dimensional module, viewed as column vectors), may be (uniquely) represented as right infinite words over $X_{n}=Y_{n}^{d}=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)^{T} \mid y_{i} \in Y_{n}\right\}$ :

$$
\mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{2} \mathbf{x}_{3} \cdots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{x}_{1}+n \cdot \mathbf{x}_{2}+n^{2} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{3}+\cdots .
$$

Note that $\left|Y_{n}\right|=n$ and $\left|X_{n}\right|=n^{d}$.

## $G_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an automaton group

## Definition

Elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ may be (uniquely) represented as right infinite words over $Y_{n}=\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ :

$$
y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} \cdots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad y_{1}+n \cdot y_{2}+n^{2} \cdot y_{3}+\cdots
$$

Similarly, elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{d}$ (the free d-dimensional module, viewed as column vectors), may be (uniquely) represented as right infinite words over $X_{n}=Y_{n}^{d}=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)^{T} \mid y_{i} \in Y_{n}\right\}$ :

$$
\mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{2} \mathbf{x}_{3} \cdots \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{x}_{1}+n \cdot \mathbf{x}_{2}+n^{2} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{3}+\cdots .
$$

Note that $\left|Y_{n}\right|=n$ and $\left|X_{n}\right|=n^{d}$.

## $G_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an automaton group

## Definition

For $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$, define vectors $\operatorname{Mod}(\mathbf{v}) \in X_{n}$ and $\operatorname{Div}(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ s.t. $\mathbf{v}=\operatorname{Mod}(\mathbf{v})+n \cdot \operatorname{Div}(\mathbf{v})$.
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Proof.
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## Definition

For $M \in \mathcal{M}$, let $V_{M}$ be the set of integral vectors with coordinates between $-\|M\|$ and $\|M\|-1$ (note that $\left|V_{M}\right|=(2\|M\|)^{d}$ ).
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$G_{\mathcal{M}, n}$ is an automaton group generated by the automaton $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}, n}$ (over an alphabet of size $n^{d}$, and having $2^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|M_{i}\right\|^{d}$ states).

## $G_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an automaton group

## Observation

The state $m_{v} \in \mathcal{A}_{M, n}$ acts on a vector $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{x}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{2} \mathbf{x}_{3} \cdots \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{d}$ as $m_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{u})={ }_{\mathrm{v}} M(\mathbf{u})$.
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## $G_{M}$ is an automaton group

Proof. Clearly, $G\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}, n}\right) \leqslant G_{\mathcal{M}, n}$.
For the other inclusion it remains to see that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}, n}$ has enough states
to generate $G_{\mathcal{M}, n}$. In fact, for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$, we have states
$m_{0}, m_{-\mathbf{e}_{1}}, \ldots, m_{-\mathbf{e}_{d}}$ and so, also have

$$
m_{0}={ }_{o} M: \mathbf{u} \mapsto M \mathbf{u}
$$

and
which generate $G_{\mathcal{M}, n} . \square$
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For the other inclusion it remains to see that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}, n}$ has enough states to generate $G_{\mathcal{M}, n}$. In fact, for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$, we have states
$m_{0}, m_{-\mathbf{e}_{1}}, \ldots, m_{-\mathbf{e}_{d}}$ and so, also have

$$
m_{0}={ }_{o} M: \mathbf{u} \mapsto M \mathbf{u}
$$

and

$$
\tau_{\mathbf{e}_{j}}=m_{0}\left(m_{-\mathbf{e}_{j}}\right)^{-1}: \mathbf{u} \mapsto M^{-1}\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}+\mathbf{u}\right) \mapsto M M^{-1}\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}+\mathbf{u}\right)=\mathbf{e}_{j}+\mathbf{u}
$$

which generate $G_{\mathcal{M}, n}$.

## Conclusion

So, we have proved that

## Theorem

For $d \geqslant 6$, there exists $\mathcal{M}=\left\{M_{1}, \ldots, M_{m}\right\}$ such that
$\Gamma=\left\langle M_{1}, \ldots, M_{m}\right\rangle \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_{d}(\mathbb{Z})$ is free and orbit undecidable. Hence, the group $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}, n} \simeq G_{\mathcal{M}, n}$

- is an automaton group,
- is $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-by-free (i.e. $\simeq \mathbb{Z}^{d} \rtimes \Gamma$ ),
- has unsolvable conjugacy problem.


## THANKS


[^0]:    Corollary

[^1]:    - Note that $B \simeq F_{2} \times F_{2}$.

