

Commuting degree of infinite groups

Enric Ventura

Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada III
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

IMU-AMS meeting, Tel Aviv.

June 18th, 2014.

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 Short exact sequences
- 5 A Gromov-like theorem
- 6 Other results

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 Short exact sequences
- 5 A Gromov-like theorem
- 6 Other results

Motivation

(Joint work with Y. Antolín and A. Martino.)

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) \leq \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

(Joint work with Y. Antolín and A. Martino.)

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) \leq \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

(Joint work with Y. Antolín and A. Martino.)

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\
 &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) \leq
 \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

(Joint work with Y. Antolín and A. Martino.)

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) \leq \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

(Joint work with Y. Antolín and A. Martino.)

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\
 &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) \leq
 \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \\ &= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8}, \end{aligned}$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. \square

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

“There is no live between $5/8$ and 1 ”

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Motivation

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \\ &= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8}, \end{aligned}$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. \square

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

“There is no live between $5/8$ and 1 ”

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Motivation

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \\ &= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8}, \end{aligned}$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. \square

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

“There is no live between $5/8$ and 1 ”

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Motivation

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \\ &= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8}, \end{aligned}$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. \square

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

“There is no live between $5/8$ and 1 ”

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Motivation

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \\ &= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8}, \end{aligned}$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. \square

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

“There is no live between $5/8$ and 1 ”

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition**
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 Short exact sequences
- 5 A Gromov-like theorem
- 6 Other results

Degree of commutativity

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. group. The *degree of commutativity of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dc_X(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \times \mathbb{B}_X(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \in [0, 1],$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

Is this a real lim ? Does it depend on X ?

About limsup we have no idea:

- *No example where lim doesn't exist;*
- *No proof it is always a real limit.*

Degree of commutativity

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. group. The *degree of commutativity of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dc_X(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \times \mathbb{B}_X(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \in [0, 1],$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

Is this a real lim ? Does it depend on X ?

About *limsup* we have no idea:

- No example where *lim* doesn't exist;
- No proof it is always a real limit.

Degree of commutativity

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. group. The *degree of commutativity of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dc_X(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \times \mathbb{B}_X(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \in [0, 1],$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

Is this a real lim ? Does it depend on X ?

About limsup we have no idea:

- No example where lim doesn't exist;
- No proof it is always a real limit.

Degree of commutativity

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. group. The *degree of commutativity of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dc_X(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \times \mathbb{B}_X(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \in [0, 1],$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

Is this a real lim ? Does it depend on X ?

About limsup we have no idea:

- No example where lim doesn't exist;
- No proof it is always a real limit.

Degree of commutativity

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. group. The *degree of commutativity of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dc_X(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \times \mathbb{B}_X(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \in [0, 1],$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

Is this a real lim ? Does it depend on X ?

About limsup we have no idea:

- No example where lim doesn't exist;
- No proof it is always a real limit.

Independence on X

Definition

A f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$ is of

- *subexponential growth* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n+1)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = 1$;
- *polynomial growth* if $Cn^d \leq |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq Dn^d$.

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$. A map $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an *estimation of the X -metric* if $\exists K > 0$ such that $\forall w \in G$

$$\frac{1}{K} f(w) \leq |w|_X \leq K f(w).$$

Example

It is well known that, for $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$, $|\cdot|_X$ is an estimation of the Y -metric, and $|\cdot|_Y$ is an estimation of the X -metric.

Independence on X

Definition

A f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$ is of

- *subexponential growth* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n+1)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = 1$;
- *polynomial growth* if $Cn^d \leq |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq Dn^d$.

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$. A map $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an *estimation of the X -metric* if $\exists K > 0$ such that $\forall w \in G$

$$\frac{1}{K} f(w) \leq |w|_X \leq K f(w).$$

Example

It is well known that, for $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$, $|\cdot|_X$ is an estimation of the Y -metric, and $|\cdot|_Y$ is an estimation of the X -metric.

Independence on X

Definition

A f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$ is of

- *subexponential growth* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n+1)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = 1$;
- *polynomial growth* if $Cn^d \leq |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq Dn^d$.

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$. A map $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an *estimation of the X -metric* if $\exists K > 0$ such that $\forall w \in G$

$$\frac{1}{K} f(w) \leq |w|_X \leq K f(w).$$

Example

It is well known that, for $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$, $|\cdot|_X$ is an estimation of the Y -metric, and $|\cdot|_Y$ is an estimation of the X -metric.

Independence on X

Definition

A f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$ is of

- *subexponential growth* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n+1)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = 1$;
- *polynomial growth* if $Cn^d \leq |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq Dn^d$.

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$. A map $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an *estimation of the X -metric* if $\exists K > 0$ such that $\forall w \in G$

$$\frac{1}{K} f(w) \leq |w|_X \leq K f(w).$$

Example

It is well known that, for $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$, $|\cdot|_X$ is an estimation of the Y -metric, and $|\cdot|_Y$ is an estimation of the X -metric.

Independence on X

Definition

Define the f -ball and the f -dc:

$$\mathbb{B}_f(n) = \{w \in G \mid f(w) \leq n\},$$

$$dc_f(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_f(n) \times \mathbb{B}_f(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2}.$$

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an estimation of the X -metric. Then,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff dc_f(G) > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{B}_f(n) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_X(Kn) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_f(K^2n)$ so,

$$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \leq |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|.$$

Independence on X

Definition

Define the f -ball and the f -dc:

$$\mathbb{B}_f(n) = \{w \in G \mid f(w) \leq n\},$$

$$dc_f(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_f(n) \times \mathbb{B}_f(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2}.$$

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an estimation of the X -metric. Then,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff dc_f(G) > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{B}_f(n) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_X(Kn) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_f(K^2n)$ so,

$$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \leq |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|.$$

Independence on X

Definition

Define the f -ball and the f -dc:

$$\mathbb{B}_f(n) = \{w \in G \mid f(w) \leq n\},$$

$$dc_f(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_f(n) \times \mathbb{B}_f(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2}.$$

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an estimation of the X -metric. Then,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff dc_f(G) > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{B}_f(n) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_X(Kn) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_f(K^2n)$ so,

$$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \leq |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|.$$

Independence on X

Definition

Define the f -ball and the f -dc:

$$\mathbb{B}_f(n) = \{w \in G \mid f(w) \leq n\},$$

$$dc_f(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_f(n) \times \mathbb{B}_f(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2}.$$

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an estimation of the X -metric. Then,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff dc_f(G) > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{B}_f(n) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_X(Kn) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_f(K^2n)$ so,

$$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \leq |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|.$$

Independence on X

$$\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2} \leq \frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2}.$$

||

$$\left(\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2} \right) \left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \right)^2$$

So, $dc_X(G) = 0 \Rightarrow dc_f(G) = 0$, because

$$\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n/K)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{C(n/K)^d}{D(Kn)^d} = \frac{C}{DK^{2d}} > 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary

If $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ is of polynomial growth, then

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

Independence on X

$$\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2} \leq \frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2}.$$

||

$$\left(\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2} \right) \left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \right)^2$$

So, $dc_X(G) = 0 \Rightarrow dc_f(G) = 0$, because

$$\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n/K)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{C(n/K)^d}{D(Kn)^d} = \frac{C}{DK^{2d}} > 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary

If $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ is of polynomial growth, then

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

Independence on X

$$\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2} \leq \frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2}.$$

||

$$\left(\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2} \right) \left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \right)^2$$

So, $dc_X(G) = 0 \Rightarrow dc_f(G) = 0$, because

$$\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n/K)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{C(n/K)^d}{D(Kn)^d} = \frac{C}{DK^{2d}} > 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary

If $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ is of polynomial growth, then

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition
- 3 Finite index subgroups**
- 4 Short exact sequences
- 5 A Gromov-like theorem
- 6 Other results

Finite index subgroups

Lemma (Burillo–Ventura, 2002)

If $H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ and G has subexponential growth then there exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \frac{1}{[G:H]}.$$

Proposition

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then,

$$dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_Y(H).$$

Proposition (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Corollary

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then, $dc_X(G) > 0$ if and only if $dc_Y(H) > 0$.

Finite index subgroups

Lemma (Burillo–Ventura, 2002)

If $H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ and G has subexponential growth then there exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \frac{1}{[G:H]}.$$

Proposition

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then,

$$dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_Y(H).$$

Proposition (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Corollary

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then, $dc_X(G) > 0$ if and only if $dc_Y(H) > 0$.

Finite index subgroups

Lemma (Burillo–Ventura, 2002)

If $H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ and G has subexponential growth then there exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \frac{1}{[G:H]}.$$

Proposition

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then,

$$dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_Y(H).$$

Proposition (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Corollary

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then, $dc_X(G) > 0$ if and only if $dc_Y(H) > 0$.

Finite index subgroups

Lemma (Burillo–Ventura, 2002)

If $H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ and G has subexponential growth then there exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \frac{1}{[G:H]}.$$

Proposition

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then,

$$dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_Y(H).$$

Proposition (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Corollary

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then, $dc_X(G) > 0$ if and only if $dc_Y(H) > 0$.

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 Short exact sequences**
- 5 A Gromov-like theorem
- 6 Other results

Short exact sequences

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$, $H \trianglelefteq G$, and let $\pi: G \twoheadrightarrow Q = G/H = \langle \bar{X} \rangle$. Put

$$0 \leq \lambda = \left(\liminf \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} \right)^2.$$

Then, $\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(Q) \cdot dc_X(H)$.

Proof. Write $dc_X(G) = \limsup dc_X(G, n)$, where

$$dc_X(G, n) = \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2}.$$

We have,

Short exact sequences

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$, $H \trianglelefteq G$, and let $\pi: G \twoheadrightarrow Q = G/H = \langle \bar{X} \rangle$. Put

$$0 \leq \lambda = \left(\liminf \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} \right)^2.$$

Then, $\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(Q) \cdot dc_X(H)$.

Proof. Write $dc_X(G) = \limsup dc_X(G, n)$, where

$$dc_X(G, n) = \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2}.$$

We have,

Short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 dc_X(G, n) &= |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| = \\
 &= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)} |C_G(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \\ \pi(u) = q}} |C_G(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \\
 &\leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \\ \pi(u) = q}} |C_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |C_H(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| = \\
 &= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \left(|C_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \\ \pi(u) = q}} |C_H(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 dc_X(G, n) &= |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| = \\
 &= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)} |C_G(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \\ \pi(u) = q}} |C_G(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \\
 &\leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \\ \pi(u) = q}} |C_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |C_H(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| = \\
 &= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \left(|C_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \\ \pi(u) = q}} |C_H(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 dc_X(G, n) &= |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| = \\
 &= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)} |\mathcal{C}_G(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \\ \pi(u) = q}} |\mathcal{C}_G(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \\
 &\leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \\ \pi(u) = q}} |\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathcal{C}_H(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| = \\
 &= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \left(|\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \\ \pi(u) = q}} |\mathcal{C}_H(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 dc_X(G, n) &= |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| = \\
 &= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)} |\mathcal{C}_G(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \\ \pi(u) = q}} |\mathcal{C}_G(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \\
 &\leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \\ \pi(u) = q}} |\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathcal{C}_H(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| = \\
 &= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \left(|\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \\ \pi(u) = q}} |\mathcal{C}_H(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Short exact sequences

But, fixing $u_0 \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)$ with $\pi(u_0) = q$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n), \pi(u)=q} |C_H(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| = \\
 & = |\{(h, v) \in H \times (\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H) \mid v \in C_H(u_0 h), |u_0 h|_X \leq n\}| = \\
 & = |\{(h, v) \in H \times (\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H) \mid u_0 h \in C_G(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)\}| = \\
 & = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\{h \in H \mid u_0 h \in C_G(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)\}| \leq \\
 & \leq \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |C_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

Short exact sequences

But, fixing $u_0 \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)$ with $\pi(u_0) = q$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n), \pi(u)=q} |C_H(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| = \\
 & = |\{(h, v) \in H \times (\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H) \mid v \in C_H(u_0 h), |u_0 h|_X \leq n\}| = \\
 & = |\{(h, v) \in H \times (\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H) \mid u_0 h \in C_G(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)\}| = \\
 & = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\{h \in H \mid u_0 h \in C_G(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)\}| \leq \\
 & \leq \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |C_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

Short exact sequences

But, fixing $u_0 \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)$ with $\pi(u_0) = q$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n), \pi(u)=q} |C_H(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| = \\
 & = |\{(h, v) \in H \times (\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H) \mid v \in C_H(u_0 h), |u_0 h|_X \leq n\}| = \\
 & = |\{(h, v) \in H \times (\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H) \mid u_0 h \in C_G(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)\}| = \\
 & = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\{h \in H \mid u_0 h \in C_G(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)\}| \leq \\
 & \leq \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |C_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

Short exact sequences

But, fixing $u_0 \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)$ with $\pi(u_0) = q$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n), \pi(u)=q} |C_H(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| = \\
 & = |\{(h, v) \in H \times (\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H) \mid v \in C_H(u_0 h), |u_0 h|_X \leq n\}| = \\
 & = |\{(h, v) \in H \times (\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H) \mid u_0 h \in C_G(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)\}| = \\
 & = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\{h \in H \mid u_0 h \in C_G(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)\}| \leq \\
 & \leq \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |C_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

Short exact sequences

But, fixing $u_0 \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)$ with $\pi(u_0) = q$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n), \pi(u)=q} |C_H(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| = \\
 & = |\{(h, v) \in H \times (\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H) \mid v \in C_H(u_0 h), |u_0 h|_X \leq n\}| = \\
 & = |\{(h, v) \in H \times (\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H) \mid u_0 h \in C_G(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)\}| = \\
 & = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\{h \in H \mid u_0 h \in C_G(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)\}| \leq \\
 & \leq \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |C_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

Short exact sequences

But, fixing $u_0 \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)$ with $\pi(u_0) = q$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{u \in \mathbb{B}_X(n), \pi(u)=q} |C_H(u) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| = \\
 & = |\{(h, v) \in H \times (\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H) \mid v \in C_H(u_0 h), |u_0 h|_X \leq n\}| = \\
 & = |\{(h, v) \in H \times (\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H) \mid u_0 h \in C_G(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)\}| = \\
 & = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\{h \in H \mid u_0 h \in C_G(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)\}| \leq \\
 & \leq \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |C_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

Short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) &\leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \left(|\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\mathcal{C}_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = \\
 &= \left(\sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} |\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \right) \left(\sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\mathcal{C}_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = \\
 &\quad |\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)|^2 \cdot dc_{\bar{X}}(Q, n) \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|^2 \cdot dc_X(H, 2n).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} \right)^2 \cdot dc_X(G, n) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(Q, n) \cdot dc_X(H, 2n).$$

Finally, taking limits, we get

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(Q) \cdot dc_X(H). \quad \square$$

Short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) &\leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \left(|\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\mathcal{C}_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = \\
 &= \left(\sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} |\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \right) \left(\sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\mathcal{C}_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = \\
 &\quad |\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)|^2 \cdot dc_{\bar{X}}(Q, n) \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|^2 \cdot dc_X(H, 2n).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} \right)^2 \cdot dc_X(G, n) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(Q, n) \cdot dc_X(H, 2n).$$

Finally, taking limits, we get

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(Q) \cdot dc_X(H). \quad \square$$

Short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) &\leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \left(|\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\mathcal{C}_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = \\
 &= \left(\sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} |\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \right) \left(\sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\mathcal{C}_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = \\
 &\quad |\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)|^2 \cdot dc_{\bar{X}}(Q, n) \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|^2 \cdot dc_X(H, 2n).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} \right)^2 \cdot dc_X(G, n) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(Q, n) \cdot dc_X(H, 2n).$$

Finally, taking limits, we get

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(Q) \cdot dc_X(H). \quad \square$$

Short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) &\leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \left(|\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\mathcal{C}_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = \\
 &= \left(\sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} |\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \right) \left(\sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\mathcal{C}_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = \\
 &\quad |\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)|^2 \cdot dc_{\bar{X}}(Q, n) \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|^2 \cdot dc_X(H, 2n).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} \right)^2 \cdot dc_X(G, n) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(Q, n) \cdot dc_X(H, 2n).$$

Finally, taking limits, we get

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(Q) \cdot dc_X(H). \quad \square$$

Short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) &\leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \left(|\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\mathcal{C}_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = \\
 &= \left(\sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} |\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \right) \left(\sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\mathcal{C}_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = \\
 &\quad |\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)|^2 \cdot dc_{\bar{X}}(Q, n) \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|^2 \cdot dc_X(H, 2n).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} \right)^2 \cdot dc_X(G, n) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(Q, n) \cdot dc_X(H, 2n).$$

Finally, taking limits, we get

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(Q) \cdot dc_X(H). \quad \square$$

Short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) &\leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} \left(|\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\mathcal{C}_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = \\
 &= \left(\sum_{q \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)} |\mathcal{C}_Q(q) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \right) \left(\sum_{v \in \mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H} |\mathcal{C}_H(v) \cap \mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \right) = \\
 &\quad |\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)|^2 \cdot dc_{\bar{X}}(Q, n) \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|^2 \cdot dc_X(H, 2n).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} \right)^2 \cdot dc_X(G, n) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(Q, n) \cdot dc_X(H, 2n).$$

Finally, taking limits, we get

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(Q) \cdot dc_X(H). \quad \square$$

Short exact sequences

Proposition

There exists a positive $\lambda = \lambda(s) > 0$ s.t. \forall f.g. $G, \forall X$ with $G = \langle X \rangle$ and $|\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \sim n^r, r \leq s$, and $\forall H \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$, we have

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(G/H) \cdot dc_X(H).$$

Proof. Clearly, $|\mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \geq |\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap H|$. Thus, for $n \gg 0$, and small enough $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} &\geq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} = \\ &= \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} = \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)|} \cdot \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n)|} \cdot \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} \geq \end{aligned}$$

Short exact sequences

Proposition

There exists a positive $\lambda = \lambda(s) > 0$ s.t. \forall f.g. $G, \forall X$ with $G = \langle X \rangle$ and $|\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \sim n^r, r \leq s$, and $\forall H \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$, we have

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(G/H) \cdot dc_X(H).$$

Proof. Clearly, $|\mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \geq |\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap H|$. Thus, for $n \gg 0$, and small enough $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} &\geq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} = \\ &= \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} = \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)|} \cdot \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n)|} \cdot \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} \geq \end{aligned}$$

Short exact sequences

Proposition

There exists a positive $\lambda = \lambda(s) > 0$ s.t. \forall f.g. $G, \forall X$ with $G = \langle X \rangle$ and $|\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \sim n^r, r \leq s$, and $\forall H \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$, we have

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(G/H) \cdot dc_X(H).$$

Proof. Clearly, $|\mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \geq |\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap H|$. Thus, for $n \gg 0$, and small enough $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} &\geq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} = \\ &= \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} = \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)|} \cdot \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n)|} \cdot \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} \geq \end{aligned}$$

Short exact sequences

Proposition

There exists a positive $\lambda = \lambda(s) > 0$ s.t. \forall f.g. $G, \forall X$ with $G = \langle X \rangle$ and $|\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \sim n^r, r \leq s$, and $\forall H \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$, we have

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(G/H) \cdot dc_X(H).$$

Proof. Clearly, $|\mathbb{B}_X(2n)| \geq |\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap H|$. Thus, for $n \gg 0$, and small enough $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} &\geq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_{\bar{X}}(n)| \cdot |\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} = \\ &= \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} = \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) \cap H|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)|} \cdot \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n)|} \cdot \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(2n) \cap H|} \geq \end{aligned}$$

Short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \epsilon \right) \cdot \frac{C \cdot \left(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \right)^r}{D \cdot (2n)^r} \cdot ([G:H] - \epsilon) \geq \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \epsilon \right) \cdot \left(\frac{C}{D \cdot 2^r} - \epsilon \right) \cdot ([G:H] - \epsilon) \geq \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \epsilon \right) \cdot \left(\frac{C}{D \cdot 2^s} - \epsilon \right) \cdot ([G:H] - \epsilon),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is > 0 for all G, X and H as above. \square

Short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \epsilon \right) \cdot \frac{C \cdot \left(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \right)^r}{D \cdot (2n)^r} \cdot ([G:H] - \epsilon) \geq \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \epsilon \right) \cdot \left(\frac{C}{D \cdot 2^r} - \epsilon \right) \cdot ([G:H] - \epsilon) \geq \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \epsilon \right) \cdot \left(\frac{C}{D \cdot 2^s} - \epsilon \right) \cdot ([G:H] - \epsilon),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is > 0 for all G, X and H as above. \square

Short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \epsilon \right) \cdot \frac{C \cdot \left(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \right)^r}{D \cdot (2n)^r} \cdot ([G:H] - \epsilon) \geq \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \epsilon \right) \cdot \left(\frac{C}{D \cdot 2^r} - \epsilon \right) \cdot ([G:H] - \epsilon) \geq \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \epsilon \right) \cdot \left(\frac{C}{D \cdot 2^s} - \epsilon \right) \cdot ([G:H] - \epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

which is > 0 for all G, X and H as above. \square

Short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \epsilon \right) \cdot \frac{C \cdot \left(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \right)^r}{D \cdot (2n)^r} \cdot ([G:H] - \epsilon) \geq \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \epsilon \right) \cdot \left(\frac{C}{D \cdot 2^r} - \epsilon \right) \cdot ([G:H] - \epsilon) \geq \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \epsilon \right) \cdot \left(\frac{C}{D \cdot 2^s} - \epsilon \right) \cdot ([G:H] - \epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

which is > 0 for all G, X and H as above. \square

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 Short exact sequences
- 5 A Gromov-like theorem**
- 6 Other results

t.f. nilpotent groups

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be t.f. nilpotent. Then, either G is abelian, or $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof. Assume G is not abelian and $dc_X(G) > 0$ and let us find a contradiction.

- We have a uniform $\lambda > 0$ s.t., for every $H \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$,

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(G/H) \cdot dc_X(H).$$

- Choose n s.t. $\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \cdot \left(\frac{8}{5}\right)^n > 1$.
- Take $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ be n pairwise different primes.
- By Grumbergs' classical result, G is residually- p_i .
- Hence, G has a non-abelian, finite p_i -quotient $\pi_i: G \twoheadrightarrow Q_i$; in particular, $dc(Q_i) \leq \frac{5}{8}$.

t.f. nilpotent groups

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be t.f. nilpotent. Then, either G is abelian, or $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof. Assume G is not abelian and $dc_X(G) > 0$ and let us find a contradiction.

- We have a uniform $\lambda > 0$ s.t., for every $H \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$,

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(G/H) \cdot dc_X(H).$$

- Choose n s.t. $\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \cdot \left(\frac{8}{5}\right)^n > 1$.
- Take $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ be n pairwise different primes.
- By Grumbergs' classical result, G is residually- p_i .
- Hence, G has a non-abelian, finite p_i -quotient $\pi_i: G \twoheadrightarrow Q_i$; in particular, $dc(Q_i) \leq \frac{5}{8}$.

t.f. nilpotent groups

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be t.f. nilpotent. Then, either G is abelian, or $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof. Assume G is not abelian and $dc_X(G) > 0$ and let us find a contradiction.

- We have a uniform $\lambda > 0$ s.t., for every $H \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$,

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(G/H) \cdot dc_X(H).$$

- Choose n s.t. $\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \cdot \left(\frac{8}{5}\right)^n > 1$.
- Take $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ be n pairwise different primes.
- By Grumbergs' classical result, G is residually- p_i .
- Hence, G has a non-abelian, finite p_i -quotient $\pi_i: G \twoheadrightarrow Q_i$; in particular, $dc(Q_i) \leq \frac{5}{8}$.

t.f. nilpotent groups

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be t.f. nilpotent. Then, either G is abelian, or $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof. Assume G is not abelian and $dc_X(G) > 0$ and let us find a contradiction.

- We have a uniform $\lambda > 0$ s.t., for every $H \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$,

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(G/H) \cdot dc_X(H).$$

- Choose n s.t. $\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \cdot \left(\frac{8}{5}\right)^n > 1$.
- Take $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ be n pairwise different primes.
- By Grumbergs' classical result, G is residually- p_i .
- Hence, G has a non-abelian, finite p_i -quotient $\pi_i: G \twoheadrightarrow Q_i$; in particular, $dc(Q_i) \leq \frac{5}{8}$.

t.f. nilpotent groups

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be t.f. nilpotent. Then, either G is abelian, or $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof. Assume G is not abelian and $dc_X(G) > 0$ and let us find a contradiction.

- We have a uniform $\lambda > 0$ s.t., for every $H \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$,

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(G/H) \cdot dc_X(H).$$

- Choose n s.t. $\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \cdot \left(\frac{8}{5}\right)^n > 1$.
- Take $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ be n pairwise different primes.
- By Grumbergs' classical result, G is residually- p_i .
- Hence, G has a non-abelian, finite p_i -quotient $\pi_i: G \twoheadrightarrow Q_i$; in particular, $dc(Q_i) \leq \frac{5}{8}$.

t.f. nilpotent groups

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be t.f. nilpotent. Then, either G is abelian, or $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof. Assume G is not abelian and $dc_X(G) > 0$ and let us find a contradiction.

- We have a uniform $\lambda > 0$ s.t., for every $H \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$,

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(G/H) \cdot dc_X(H).$$

- Choose n s.t. $\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \cdot \left(\frac{8}{5}\right)^n > 1$.
- Take $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ be n pairwise different primes.
- By Grumbergs' classical result, G is residually- p_i .
- Hence, G has a non-abelian, finite p_i -quotient $\pi_i: G \twoheadrightarrow Q_i$; in particular, $dc(Q_i) \leq \frac{5}{8}$.

t.f. nilpotent groups

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be t.f. nilpotent. Then, either G is abelian, or $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof. Assume G is not abelian and $dc_X(G) > 0$ and let us find a contradiction.

- We have a uniform $\lambda > 0$ s.t., for every $H \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$,

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_{\bar{X}}(G/H) \cdot dc_X(H).$$

- Choose n s.t. $\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \cdot \left(\frac{8}{5}\right)^n > 1$.
- Take $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ be n pairwise different primes.
- By Grumbergs' classical result, G is residually- p_i .
- Hence, G has a non-abelian, finite p_i -quotient $\pi_i: G \twoheadrightarrow Q_i$; in particular, $dc(Q_i) \leq \frac{5}{8}$.

t.f. nilpotent groups

- Now, the morphism $\times_{i=1}^n \pi_i: G \rightarrow Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_n$ is onto (because $\gcd(p_j, p_1 \cdots p_{j-1} p_{j+1} \cdots p_n) = 1$).
- Take $H = \ker \times_{i=1}^n \pi_i \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$; we have,

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_X(H) \cdot dc_{\bar{X}}(Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_n) \leq dc_X(H) \cdot \left(\frac{5}{8}\right)^n.$$

- Hence,

$$1 < \lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \cdot \left(\frac{8}{5}\right)^n \leq dc_X(H),$$

a contradiction. \square

t.f. nilpotent groups

- Now, the morphism $\times_{i=1}^n \pi_i: G \rightarrow Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_n$ is onto (because $\gcd(p_j, p_1 \cdots p_{j-1} p_{j+1} \cdots p_n) = 1$).
- Take $H = \ker \times_{i=1}^n \pi_i \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$; we have,

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_X(H) \cdot dc_{\overline{X}}(Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_n) \leq dc_X(H) \cdot \left(\frac{5}{8}\right)^n.$$

- Hence,

$$1 < \lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \cdot \left(\frac{8}{5}\right)^n \leq dc_X(H),$$

a contradiction. \square

t.f. nilpotent groups

- Now, the morphism $\times_{i=1}^n \pi_i: G \rightarrow Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_n$ is onto (because $\gcd(p_j, p_1 \cdots p_{j-1} p_{j+1} \cdots p_n) = 1$).
- Take $H = \ker \times_{i=1}^n \pi_i \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$; we have,

$$\lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \leq dc_X(H) \cdot dc_{\overline{X}}(Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_n) \leq dc_X(H) \cdot \left(\frac{5}{8}\right)^n.$$

- Hence,

$$1 < \lambda \cdot dc_X(G) \cdot \left(\frac{8}{5}\right)^n \leq dc_X(H),$$

a contradiction. \square

A Gromov-like theorem

Theorem

Let G be a polynomially growing group. Then,

G is virtually abelian $\iff dc_X(G) > 0$ for some (and hence all) X .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Ok.

(\Leftarrow)

- By Gromov result, \exists a nilpotent $H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- So, \exists a t.f. nilpotent $K \leq_{f.i.} H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- By hypothesis, $dc_X(G) > 0$.
- Hence, $dc_Y(K) > 0$ for every $\langle Y \rangle = K$.
- Then, K is abelian.
- So, G is virtually abelian. \square

A Gromov-like theorem

Theorem

Let G be a polynomially growing group. Then,

G is virtually abelian $\iff dc_X(G) > 0$ for some (and hence all) X .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Ok.

(\Leftarrow)

- By Gromov result, \exists a nilpotent $H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- So, \exists a t.f. nilpotent $K \leq_{f.i.} H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- By hypothesis, $dc_X(G) > 0$.
- Hence, $dc_Y(K) > 0$ for every $\langle Y \rangle = K$.
- Then, K is abelian.
- So, G is virtually abelian. \square

A Gromov-like theorem

Theorem

Let G be a polynomially growing group. Then,

G is virtually abelian $\iff dc_X(G) > 0$ for some (and hence all) X .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Ok.

(\Leftarrow)

- By Gromov result, \exists a nilpotent $H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- So, \exists a t.f. nilpotent $K \leq_{f.i.} H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- By hypothesis, $dc_X(G) > 0$.
- Hence, $dc_Y(K) > 0$ for every $\langle Y \rangle = K$.
- Then, K is abelian.
- So, G is virtually abelian. \square

A Gromov-like theorem

Theorem

Let G be a polynomially growing group. Then,

G is virtually abelian $\iff dc_X(G) > 0$ for some (and hence all) X .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Ok.

(\Leftarrow)

- By Gromov result, \exists a nilpotent $H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- So, \exists a t.f. nilpotent $K \leq_{f.i.} H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- By hypothesis, $dc_X(G) > 0$.
- Hence, $dc_Y(K) > 0$ for every $\langle Y \rangle = K$.
- Then, K is abelian.
- So, G is virtually abelian. \square

A Gromov-like theorem

Theorem

Let G be a polynomially growing group. Then,

G is virtually abelian $\iff dc_X(G) > 0$ for some (and hence all) X .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Ok.

(\Leftarrow)

- By Gromov result, \exists a nilpotent $H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- So, \exists a t.f. nilpotent $K \leq_{f.i.} H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- By hypothesis, $dc_X(G) > 0$.
- Hence, $dc_Y(K) > 0$ for every $\langle Y \rangle = K$.
- Then, K is abelian.
- So, G is virtually abelian. \square

A Gromov-like theorem

Theorem

Let G be a polynomially growing group. Then,

G is virtually abelian $\iff dc_X(G) > 0$ for some (and hence all) X .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Ok.

(\Leftarrow)

- By Gromov result, \exists a nilpotent $H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- So, \exists a t.f. nilpotent $K \leq_{f.i.} H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- By hypothesis, $dc_X(G) > 0$.
- Hence, $dc_Y(K) > 0$ for every $\langle Y \rangle = K$.
- Then, K is abelian.
- So, G is virtually abelian. \square

A Gromov-like theorem

Theorem

Let G be a polynomially growing group. Then,

G is virtually abelian $\iff dc_X(G) > 0$ for some (and hence all) X .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Ok.

(\Leftarrow)

- By Gromov result, \exists a nilpotent $H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- So, \exists a t.f. nilpotent $K \leq_{f.i.} H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- By hypothesis, $dc_X(G) > 0$.
- Hence, $dc_Y(K) > 0$ for every $\langle Y \rangle = K$.
- Then, K is abelian.
- So, G is virtually abelian. \square

A Gromov-like theorem

Theorem

Let G be a polynomially growing group. Then,

G is virtually abelian $\iff dc_X(G) > 0$ for some (and hence all) X .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Ok.

(\Leftarrow)

- By Gromov result, \exists a nilpotent $H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- So, \exists a t.f. nilpotent $K \leq_{f.i.} H \leq_{f.i.} G$.
- By hypothesis, $dc_X(G) > 0$.
- Hence, $dc_Y(K) > 0$ for every $\langle Y \rangle = K$.
- Then, K is abelian.
- So, G is virtually abelian. \square

A conjecture

Conjecture

Every f.g. group G with super-polynomial growth has $dc_X(G) = 0$ for every X .

Conjecture

For any f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff G \text{ is virtually abelian.}$$

A conjecture

Conjecture

Every f.g. group G with super-polynomial growth has $dc_X(G) = 0$ for every X .

Conjecture

For any f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff G \text{ is virtually abelian.}$$

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 Short exact sequences
- 5 A Gromov-like theorem
- 6 Other results**

Other results

Theorem

Let G be non-elementary hyperbolic. Then $dc_X(G) = 0$ for every X .

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. residually finite group with sub-exponential growth. If $dc_X(G) > 5/8$ for some X the G is abelian.

Other results

Theorem

Let G be non-elementary hyperbolic. Then $dc_X(G) = 0$ for every X .

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. residually finite group with sub-exponential growth. If $dc_X(G) > 5/8$ for some X the G is abelian.

THANKS