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## Motivation

(Joint work with Y. Antolín and A. Martino.)
Theorem (Gustaison, 1973)
Let $G$ be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from $G$ commute is bigger than $5 / 8$, then $G$ is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d c(G) & =\frac{\left|\left\{(u, v) \in G^{2} \mid u v=v u\right\}\right|}{|G|^{2}}=\frac{1}{|G|^{2}} \sum_{u \in G}\left|C_{G}(u)\right|= \\
& =\frac{1}{|G|^{2}}\left(|Z(G)||G|+\sum_{u \in G \backslash Z(G)}\left|C_{G}(u)\right|\right) \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{|G|^{2}}\left(|Z(G)||G|+(|G|-|Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2}\right)=
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{|G|^{2}}\left(|Z(G)||G|+(|G|-|Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2}\right)= \\
& =\frac{|G|+|Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}+\frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{8}=\frac{5}{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

## because $G / Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leqslant|G| / 4$.

## Observation

The quaternion group has $d c(Q)=5 / 8$.

$$
\text { "There is no live between } 5 / 8 \text { and 1" }
$$
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## Degree of commutativity

## Definition

Let $G=\langle X\rangle$ be a f.g. group. The degree of commutativity of $G$ w.r.t. $X$ is

$$
d c_{X}(G)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_{X}(n) \times \mathbb{B}_{X}(n) \mid u v=v u\right\}\right|}{\left|\mathbb{B}_{X}(n)\right|^{2}} \in[0,1],
$$

where $\mathbb{B}_{X}(n)=\left\{\left.g \in G| | g\right|_{X} \leqslant n\right\}$.

## Question

Is this a real lim ? Does it depend on $X$ ?

About limsup we have no idea:

- No example where lim doesn t exist;
- No proof it is always a real limit.
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$Y$-metric, and $|\cdot|_{\gamma}$ is an estimation of the $X$-metric.
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\begin{gathered}
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\text { So, } d c_{X}(G)=0 \Rightarrow d c_{f}(G)=0 \text {, because }
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## Corollary

If $G=\langle X\rangle=\langle Y\rangle$ is of polynomial growth, then
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## Finite index subgroups

## Lemma (Burillo-Ventura, 2002)
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## Proposition

Let $\langle Y\rangle=H \leqslant$ f.i. $G=\langle X\rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then,

$$
d c_{X}(G) \geqslant \frac{1}{[G: H]^{2}} d c_{X}(H) .
$$

In particular, $d c_{Y}(H)>0 \Rightarrow d c_{X}(H)>0 \Rightarrow d c_{X}(G)>0$.

## Finite index subgroups

## Proof. Clearly,

$\left|\left\{(u, v) \in\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}(n)\right)^{2} \mid u v=v u\right\}\right| \geqslant\left|\left\{(u, v) \in\left(H \cap \mathbb{B}_{X}(n)\right)^{2} \mid u v=v u\right\}\right|$.
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## Proof of the main result

Claim. If $H$ is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \unlhd_{\substack{\text { ch.i. } \\ f . i}} H$ such that $H / K$ is (finite) not abelian.
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## Proof of the main result

Claim. If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \underset{\substack{\text { ch.i. } \\ \text { f.i. }}}{ } H$ such that $H / K$ is (finite) not abelian.
such that $K_{i-1} / K_{i}$ is not abelian so, dc $\left(K_{i-1} / K_{i}\right) \leqslant 5 / 8 \quad \forall i$. Then $\forall i, \quad K_{i} \unlhd G, \quad\left(G / K_{i}\right) /\left(K_{i-1} / K_{i}\right)=G / K_{i-1}$ and, by Gallagher,

$$
d c\left(G / K_{i}\right) \leqslant d c\left(K_{i-1} / K_{i}\right) \cdot d c\left(G / K_{i-1}\right) \leqslant 5 / 8 \cdot d c\left(G / K_{i-1}\right) .
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## Proof of the main result

Claim. If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \underset{\substack{\text { ch.i. } \\ \text { f.i }}}{ } H$ such that $H / K$ is (finite) not abelian.
such that $K_{i-1} / K_{i}$ is not abelian so, dc $\left(K_{i-1} / K_{i}\right) \leqslant 5 / 8 \quad \forall i$. Then $\forall i, \quad K_{i} \unlhd G, \quad\left(G / K_{i}\right) /\left(K_{i-1} / K_{i}\right)=G / K_{i-1}$ and, by Gallagher,

$$
d c\left(G / K_{i}\right) \leqslant d c\left(K_{i-1} / K_{i}\right) \cdot d c\left(G / K_{i-1}\right) \leqslant 5 / 8 \cdot d c\left(G / K_{i-1}\right) .
$$

By induction, $d c\left(G / K_{i}\right) \leqslant(5 / 8)^{i}$ and so,

$$
d c_{X}(G) \leqslant d c\left(G / K_{i}\right) \leqslant(5 / 8)^{i}
$$

for every $i$. Therefore, $d c_{x}(G)=0$.
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## Generalizations

- We can replace $x y=y x$ by any system of equations.
- We can replace the uniform measures on balls to any sequence of measures (random walks, etc).
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Let $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right\}$ be a set of abstract variables and $\mathcal{F}$ the free group on it. Think elements $w \in \mathcal{F}$ as equations, $w=1$, and subsets $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ as systems of equations. Define solutions on a group $G$ in the obvious way.
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## Generalizations

- We can replace $x y=y x$ by any system of equations.
- We can replace the uniform measures on balls to any sequence of measures (random walks, etc).


## Definition

Let $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right\}$ be a set of abstract variables and $\mathcal{F}$ the free group on it. Think elements $w \in \mathcal{F}$ as equations, $w=1$, and subsets $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ as systems of equations. Define solutions on a group $G$ in the obvious way.

## Definition

Given $G=\langle X\rangle$ and a system of equations $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, we define the degree of satisfiability of $\mathcal{E}$ in $G$ w.r.t $X$ as

$$
d s_{X}(G, \mathcal{E})=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mid\left\{\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{B}_{X}(n)\right)^{k} \mid\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right) \text { sol. } \mathcal{E}\right\} \mid}{\left|\mathbb{B}_{X}(n)\right|^{k}} \in[0,1] .
$$

## Generalizations

## Definition

Let $G$ and $\mathcal{E}$ be as before. Fix a collection of measures $\mu_{n}$ in $G$. We define the degree of satisfiability of $\mathcal{E}$ in $G$ w.r.t. $\mu_{n}$ as

$$
d s_{X}\left(G, \mathcal{E},\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}_{n}\right)=
$$

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}^{\times k}\left(\left\{\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right) \in G^{k} \mid\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right) \text { sol. } \mathcal{E}\right\}\right) \in[0,1]
$$

## Generalizations

## Conjecture

Let $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E}$, and $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}_{n}$ be as above, with $\mu_{n}$ "reasonable". Then,

$$
d s\left(G, \mathcal{E},\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}_{n}\right)>0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{E} \text { is a virtual law in } G .
$$

## Definition

$\mathcal{E}$ is a law in $G$ if every $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right) \in G^{k}$ is a solution of $\mathcal{E}$ in $G$. $\mathcal{E}$ is a virtual law in $G$ if $\exists H \leqslant$ ti. $G$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is a law in $H$.

## Generalizations

## Conjecture

Let $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E}$, and $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}_{n}$ be as above, with $\mu_{n}$ "reasonable". Then,

$$
d s\left(G, \mathcal{E},\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}_{n}\right)>0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{E} \text { is a virtual law in } G .
$$

## Definition

$\mathcal{E}$ is a law in $G$ if every $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right) \in G^{k}$ is a solution of $\mathcal{E}$ in $G$.
$\mathcal{E}$ is a virtual law in $G$ if $\exists H \leqslant$ f.i. $G$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is a law in $H$.
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