

The degree of commutativity/nilpotency of an infinite group

Enric Ventura

Departament de Matemàtiques
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
and
Southampton University

North British Geometric Group Theory Seminar, 2016

November 9th, 2016.

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem
- 5 Generalizations
- 6 Degree of r -nilpotency

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem
- 5 Generalizations
- 6 Degree of r -nilpotency

Motivation

(Joint work with Y. Antolín and A. Martino.)

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \in G^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

(Joint work with Y. Antolín and A. Martino.)

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \in G^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\
 &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) =
 \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

(Joint work with Y. Antolín and A. Martino.)

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \in G^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

(Joint work with Y. Antolín and A. Martino.)

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \in G^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\
 &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) =
 \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

(Joint work with Y. Antolín and A. Martino.)

Theorem (Gustafson, 1973)

Let G be a finite group. If the probability that two elements from G commute is bigger than $5/8$, then G is abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is not abelian. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} dc(G) &= \frac{|\{(u, v) \in G^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|G|^2} = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{u \in G} |C_G(u)| = \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + \sum_{u \in G \setminus Z(G)} |C_G(u)| \right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \end{aligned}$$

Motivation

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \\
 &= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8},
 \end{aligned}$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. \square

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

“There is no live between $5/8$ and 1 ”

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Motivation

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \\
 &= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8},
 \end{aligned}$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. \square

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

“There is no live between $5/8$ and 1 ”

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Motivation

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \\
 &= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8},
 \end{aligned}$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. \square

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

“There is no live between $5/8$ and 1 ”

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Motivation

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \\
 &= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8},
 \end{aligned}$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. \square

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

“There is no live between 5/8 and 1”

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Motivation

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \left(|Z(G)||G| + (|G| - |Z(G)|) \frac{|G|}{2} \right) = \\
 &= \frac{|G| + |Z(G)|}{2|G|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|G|}{4 \cdot 2|G|} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8},
 \end{aligned}$$

because $G/Z(G)$ cannot be cyclic and so, $|Z(G)| \leq |G|/4$. \square

Observation

The quaternion group has $dc(Q) = 5/8$.

“There is no live between $5/8$ and 1 ”

(Goal)

Is there a version of dc for infinite groups ?

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition**
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem
- 5 Generalizations
- 6 Degree of r -nilpotency

Degree of commutativity

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. group. The *degree of commutativity of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dc_X(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \times \mathbb{B}_X(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \in [0, 1],$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

Is this a real lim ? Does it depend on X ?

About limsup we have no idea:

- *No example where lim doesn't exist;*
- *No proof it is always a real limit.*

Degree of commutativity

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. group. The *degree of commutativity of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dc_X(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \times \mathbb{B}_X(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \in [0, 1],$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

Is this a real lim ? Does it depend on X ?

About *limsup* we have no idea:

- No example where *lim* doesn't exist;
- No proof it is always a real limit.

Degree of commutativity

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. group. The *degree of commutativity of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dc_X(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \times \mathbb{B}_X(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \in [0, 1],$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

Is this a real lim ? Does it depend on X ?

About limsup we have no idea:

- *No example where lim doesn't exist;*
- *No proof it is always a real limit.*

Degree of commutativity

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. group. The *degree of commutativity of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dc_X(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \times \mathbb{B}_X(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \in [0, 1],$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

Is this a real lim ? Does it depend on X ?

About limsup we have no idea:

- No example where lim doesn't exist;
- No proof it is always a real limit.

Degree of commutativity

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a f.g. group. The *degree of commutativity of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dc_X(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_X(n) \times \mathbb{B}_X(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \in [0, 1],$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

Is this a real lim ? Does it depend on X ?

About limsup we have no idea:

- No example where lim doesn't exist;
- No proof it is always a real limit.

Independence on X

Definition

A f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$ is of

- *subexponential growth* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n+1)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = 1$;
- *polynomial growth* if $|\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq Dn^d$.

Independence on X

Definition

A f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$ is of

- *subexponential growth* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n+1)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = 1$;
- *polynomial growth* if $|\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq Dn^d$.

Independence on X

Definition

A f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$ is of

- *subexponential growth* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n+1)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = 1$;
- *polynomial growth (of degree d)* if $0 < Cn^d \leq |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq Dn^d$.

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$. A map $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an *estimation of the X -metric* if $\exists K > 0$ such that $\forall w \in G$

$$\frac{1}{K} f(w) \leq |w|_X \leq K f(w).$$

Example

It is well known that, for $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$, $|\cdot|_X$ is an estimation of the Y -metric, and $|\cdot|_Y$ is an estimation of the X -metric.

Independence on X

Definition

A f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$ is of

- *subexponential growth* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n+1)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = 1$;
- *polynomial growth (of degree d)* if $0 < Cn^d \leq |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq Dn^d$.

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$. A map $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an *estimation of the X -metric* if $\exists K > 0$ such that $\forall w \in G$

$$\frac{1}{K} f(w) \leq |w|_X \leq K f(w).$$

Example

It is well known that, for $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$, $|\cdot|_X$ is an estimation of the Y -metric, and $|\cdot|_Y$ is an estimation of the X -metric.

Independence on X

Definition

A f.g. group $G = \langle X \rangle$ is of

- *subexponential growth* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n+1)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = 1$;
- *polynomial growth (of degree d)* if $0 < Cn^d \leq |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq Dn^d$.

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$. A map $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an *estimation of the X -metric* if $\exists K > 0$ such that $\forall w \in G$

$$\frac{1}{K} f(w) \leq |w|_X \leq K f(w).$$

Example

It is well known that, for $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$, $|\cdot|_X$ is an estimation of the Y -metric, and $|\cdot|_Y$ is an estimation of the X -metric.

Independence on X

Definition

Define the f -ball and the f -dc:

$$\mathbb{B}_f(n) = \{w \in G \mid f(w) \leq n\},$$

$$dc_f(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_f(n) \times \mathbb{B}_f(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2}.$$

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an estimation of the X -metric. Then,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff dc_f(G) > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{B}_f(n) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_X(Kn) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_f(K^2n)$ so,

$$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \leq |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|.$$

Independence on X

Definition

Define the f -ball and the f -dc:

$$\mathbb{B}_f(n) = \{w \in G \mid f(w) \leq n\},$$

$$dc_f(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_f(n) \times \mathbb{B}_f(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2}.$$

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an estimation of the X -metric. Then,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff dc_f(G) > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{B}_f(n) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_X(Kn) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_f(K^2n)$ so,

$$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \leq |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|.$$

Independence on X

Definition

Define the f -ball and the f -dc:

$$\mathbb{B}_f(n) = \{w \in G \mid f(w) \leq n\},$$

$$dc_f(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_f(n) \times \mathbb{B}_f(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2}.$$

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an estimation of the X -metric. Then,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff dc_f(G) > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{B}_f(n) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_X(Kn) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_f(K^2n)$ so,

$$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \leq |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|.$$

Independence on X

Definition

Define the f -ball and the f -dc:

$$\mathbb{B}_f(n) = \{w \in G \mid f(w) \leq n\},$$

$$dc_f(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{B}_f(n) \times \mathbb{B}_f(n) \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2}.$$

Proposition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an estimation of the X -metric. Then,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff dc_f(G) > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{B}_f(n) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_X(Kn) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_f(K^2n)$ so,

$$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \leq |\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|.$$

Independence on X

$$\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2} \leq \frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2}.$$

||

$$\left(\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2} \right) \left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \right)^2$$

So, $dc_X(G) = 0 \Rightarrow dc_f(G) = 0$, because

$$\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n/K)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{C(n/K)^d}{D(Kn)^d} = \frac{C}{DK^{2d}} > 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary

If $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ is of polynomial growth, then

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

Independence on X

$$\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2} \leq \frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2}.$$

||

$$\left(\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2} \right) \left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \right)^2$$

So, $dc_X(G) = 0 \Rightarrow dc_f(G) = 0$, because

$$\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n/K)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{C(n/K)^d}{D(Kn)^d} = \frac{C}{DK^{2d}} > 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary

If $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ is of polynomial growth, then

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

Independence on X

$$\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2} \leq \frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(Kn))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|^2}.$$

||

$$\left(\frac{|\{(u,v) \in (\mathbb{B}_f(n))^2 \mid uv=vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|^2} \right) \left(\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \right)^2$$

So, $dc_X(G) = 0 \Rightarrow dc_f(G) = 0$, because

$$\frac{|\mathbb{B}_f(n)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n/K)|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(Kn)|} \geq \frac{C(n/K)^d}{D(Kn)^d} = \frac{C}{DK^{2d}} > 0. \quad \square$$

Corollary

If $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ is of polynomial growth, then

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

Independence on X

Definition

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq G = \langle X \rangle$. The subgroup H is *undistorted* if $\exists K > 0$ s.t.
 $\forall h \in H, |h|_Y / K \leq |h|_X \leq K |h|_Y$.

In this case, $|\cdot|_X$ restricted to H is an estimation of the Y -metric for H .

Corollary

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq G$ be a non-distorted subgroup. Then,

$$dc_X(H) = 0 \iff dc_Y(H) = 0.$$

Independence on X

Definition

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq G = \langle X \rangle$. The subgroup H is *undistorted* if $\exists K > 0$ s.t.
 $\forall h \in H, |h|_Y / K \leq |h|_X \leq K |h|_Y$.

In this case, $|\cdot|_X$ restricted to H is an estimation of the Y -metric for H .

Corollary

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth, and $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq G$ be a non-distorted subgroup. Then,

$$dc_X(H) = 0 \iff dc_Y(H) = 0.$$

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition
- 3 Finite index subgroups**
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem
- 5 Generalizations
- 6 Degree of r -nilpotency

Finite index subgroups

Lemma (Burillo–Ventura, 2002)

If $H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ and G has subexponential growth then, for every $g \in G$, there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap gH|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap Hg|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \frac{1}{[G:H]}$.

Remark

This is *false* in the free group: $H = \{\text{even words}\} \leq_2 F_r$.

Proposition*

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then,

$$dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_X(H).$$

In particular, $dc_Y(H) > 0 \Rightarrow dc_X(H) > 0 \Rightarrow dc_X(G) > 0$.

Finite index subgroups

Lemma (Burillo–Ventura, 2002)

If $H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ and G has subexponential growth then, for every $g \in G$, there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap gH|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap Hg|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \frac{1}{[G:H]}$.

Remark

This is *false* in the free group: $H = \{\text{even words}\} \leq_2 F_r$.

Proposition*

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then,

$$dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_X(H).$$

In particular, $dc_Y(H) > 0 \Rightarrow dc_X(H) > 0 \Rightarrow dc_X(G) > 0$.

Finite index subgroups

Lemma (Burillo–Ventura, 2002)

If $H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ and G has subexponential growth then, for every $g \in G$, there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap gH|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbb{B}_X(n) \cap Hg|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|} = \frac{1}{[G:H]}$.

Remark

This is *false* in the free group: $H = \{\text{even words}\} \leq_2 F_r$.

Proposition*

Let $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G = \langle X \rangle$ be of polynomial growth. Then,

$$dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_X(H).$$

In particular, $dc_Y(H) > 0 \Rightarrow dc_X(H) > 0 \Rightarrow dc_X(G) > 0$.

Finite index subgroups

Proof. Clearly,

$$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \geq |\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|.$$

Therefore, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have for $n \gg 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} &\geq \\ \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \cdot \frac{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} &\geq \\ \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \left(\frac{1}{[G : H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limsups, $dc_X(G) \geq dc_X(H) \left(\frac{1}{[G : H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2$. And this is true

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ so, } dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G : H]^2} dc_X(H). \quad \square$$

Finite index subgroups

Proof. Clearly,

$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \geq |\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|$.
Therefore, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have for $n \gg 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} &\geq \\ \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \cdot \frac{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} &\geq \\ \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limsups, $dc_X(G) \geq dc_X(H) \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2$. And this is true

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_X(H)$. \square

Finite index subgroups

Proof. Clearly,

$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \geq |\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|$.
Therefore, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have for $n \gg 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} &\geq \\ \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \cdot \frac{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} &\geq \\ \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limsups, $dc_X(G) \geq dc_X(H) \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2$. And this is true

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_X(H)$. \square

Finite index subgroups

Proof. Clearly,

$|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| \geq |\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|$.
Therefore, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have for $n \gg 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} &\geq \\ \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \cdot \frac{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} &\geq \\ \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|H \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limsups, $dc_X(G) \geq dc_X(H) \left(\frac{1}{[G:H]} - \varepsilon \right)^2$. And this is true

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) \geq \frac{1}{[G:H]^2} dc_X(H)$. \square

Finite index subgroups

Proposition* (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Proposition*

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be subexponentially growing. Then, for any finite quotient G/N , we have $dc_X(G) \leq dc(G/N)$.

Proof. Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $[G : N] = d$.

By B-V, $\forall g \in G \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| = 1/d$, indep. X and g .

But $|G/N| < \infty$, so this lim is uniform on g , i.e.,

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0, \forall n \geq n_0$ and $\forall g \in G$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{d} - \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|.$$

Suppose $dc_X(G) > dc(G/N)$ and let us find a contradiction.

Finite index subgroups

Proposition* (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Proposition*

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be subexponentially growing. Then, for any finite quotient G/N , we have $dc_X(G) \leq dc(G/N)$.

Proof. Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $[G : N] = d$.

By B-V, $\forall g \in G \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| = 1/d$, indep. X and g .

But $|G/N| < \infty$, so this lim is uniform on g , i.e.,

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0, \forall n \geq n_0$ and $\forall g \in G$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{d} - \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|.$$

Suppose $dc_X(G) > dc(G/N)$ and let us find a contradiction.

Finite index subgroups

Proposition* (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Proposition*

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be subexponentially growing. Then, for any finite quotient G/N , we have $dc_X(G) \leq dc(G/N)$.

Proof. Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $[G : N] = d$.

By B-V, $\forall g \in G \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| = 1/d$, indep. X and g .

But $|G/N| < \infty$, so this lim is uniform on g , i.e.,

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0, \forall n \geq n_0$ and $\forall g \in G$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{d} - \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|.$$

Suppose $dc_X(G) > dc(G/N)$ and let us find a contradiction.

Finite index subgroups

Proposition* (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Proposition*

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be subexponentially growing. Then, for any finite quotient G/N , we have $dc_X(G) \leq dc(G/N)$.

Proof. Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $[G : N] = d$.

By B-V, $\forall g \in G \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| = 1/d$, indep. X and g .

But $|G/N| < \infty$, so this lim is uniform on g , i.e.,

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0, \forall n \geq n_0$ and $\forall g \in G$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{d} - \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|.$$

Suppose $dc_X(G) > dc(G/N)$ and let us find a contradiction.

Finite index subgroups

Proposition* (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Proposition*

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be subexponentially growing. Then, for any finite quotient G/N , we have $dc_X(G) \leq dc(G/N)$.

Proof. Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $[G : N] = d$.

By B-V, $\forall g \in G \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| = 1/d$, indep. X and g .

But $|G/N| < \infty$, so this lim is **uniform on g** , i.e.,

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists n_0$, $\forall n \geq n_0$ **and** $\forall g \in G$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{d} - \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|.$$

Suppose $dc_X(G) > dc(G/N)$ and let us find a contradiction.

Finite index subgroups

Proposition* (Gallagher, 1970)

Let G be a finite group and $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then, $dc(G) \leq dc(H) \cdot dc(G/H)$.

Proposition*

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be subexponentially growing. Then, for any finite quotient G/N , we have $dc_X(G) \leq dc(G/N)$.

Proof. Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $[G : N] = d$.

By B-V, $\forall g \in G \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| = 1/d$, indep. X and g .

But $|G/N| < \infty$, so this lim is **uniform on g** , i.e.,

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists n_0$, $\forall n \geq n_0$ **and** $\forall g \in G$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{d} - \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq |gN \cap \mathbb{B}_X(n)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|.$$

Suppose $dc_X(G) > dc(G/N)$ and let us find a contradiction.

Finite index subgroups

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 > dc(G/N) + \delta$
for infinitely many n 's.

In the above inequality, take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that
 $2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 < \delta$, and $\exists n \gg 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} dc(G/N) + \delta &< \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} |\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}| \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right)^2 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 \\ &= \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} (1 + \varepsilon d)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} + 2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \\ &< dc(G/N) + \delta, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Finite index subgroups

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 > dc(G/N) + \delta$
for infinitely many n 's.

In the above inequality, take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that
 $2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 < \delta$, and $\exists n \gg 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} dc(G/N) + \delta &< \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} |\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}| \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right)^2 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 \\ &= \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} (1 + \varepsilon d)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} + 2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \\ &< dc(G/N) + \delta, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Finite index subgroups

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 > dc(G/N) + \delta$
for infinitely many n 's.

In the above inequality, take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that
 $2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 < \delta$, and $\exists n \gg 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} dc(G/N) + \delta &< \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} |\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}| \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right)^2 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 \\ &= \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} (1 + \varepsilon d)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} + 2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \\ &< dc(G/N) + \delta, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Finite index subgroups

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 > dc(G/N) + \delta$
for infinitely many n 's.

In the above inequality, take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that
 $2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 < \delta$, and $\exists n \gg 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G/N) + \delta &< \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} |\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}| \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right)^2 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 \\
 &= \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} (1 + \varepsilon d)^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} + 2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \\
 &< dc(G/N) + \delta, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Finite index subgroups

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 > dc(G/N) + \delta$
for infinitely many n 's.

In the above inequality, take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that
 $2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 < \delta$, and $\exists n \gg 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G/N) + \delta &< \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} |\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}| \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right)^2 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 \\
 &= \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} (1 + \varepsilon d)^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} + 2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \\
 &< dc(G/N) + \delta, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Finite index subgroups

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 > dc(G/N) + \delta$
for infinitely many n 's.

In the above inequality, take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that
 $2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 < \delta$, and $\exists n \gg 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} dc(G/N) + \delta &< \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} |\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}| \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right)^2 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 \\ &= \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} (1 + \varepsilon d)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} + 2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \end{aligned}$$

$< dc(G/N) + \delta$, a contradiction. \square

Finite index subgroups

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}| / |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 > dc(G/N) + \delta$
for infinitely many n 's.

In the above inequality, take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that
 $2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 < \delta$, and $\exists n \gg 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 dc(G/N) + \delta &< \frac{|\{(u, v) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^2 \mid uv = vu\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2} |\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}| \left(\frac{1}{d} + \varepsilon\right)^2 |\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^2 \\
 &= \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} (1 + \varepsilon d)^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{|\{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in (G/N)^2 \mid \bar{u}\bar{v} = \bar{v}\bar{u}\}|}{d^2} + 2\varepsilon d + \varepsilon^2 d^2 \\
 &< dc(G/N) + \delta, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem**
- 5 Generalizations
- 6 Degree of r -nilpotency

The main result

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

(i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;

(ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian.

In particular, (i) and (ii) is true for polynomially growing groups.

Corollary

Let $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

The main result

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

(i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;

(ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian.

In particular, (i) and (ii) is true for polynomially growing groups.

Corollary

Let $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

The main result

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

(i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;

(ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian.

In particular, (i) and (ii) is true for polynomially growing groups.

Corollary

Let $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

The main result

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

(i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;

(ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian.

In particular, (i) and (ii) is true for polynomially growing groups.

Corollary

Let $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

The main result

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

(i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;

(ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian.

In particular, (i) and (ii) is true for polynomially growing groups.

Corollary

Let $G = \langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

$$dc_X(G) = 0 \iff dc_Y(G) = 0.$$

Conjecture

Conjecture

For any finitely generated group $G = \langle X \rangle$,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff G \text{ is virtually abelian.}$$

Conjecture

Every finitely generated group G with super-polynomial growth has $dc_X(G) = 0$ for every X .

Conjecture

Conjecture

For any finitely generated group $G = \langle X \rangle$,

$$dc_X(G) > 0 \iff G \text{ is virtually abelian.}$$

Conjecture

Every finitely generated group G with super-polynomial growth has $dc_X(G) = 0$ for every X .

Proof of the main result

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

(i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;

(ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian.

Proof. (i). Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.

(ii, \Leftarrow). Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.

(ii, \Rightarrow). Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof of the main result

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

(i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;

(ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian.

Proof. (i). Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.

(ii, \Leftarrow). Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.

(ii, \Rightarrow). Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof of the main result

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

(i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;

(ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian.

Proof. (i). Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.

(ii, \Leftarrow). Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.

(ii, \Rightarrow). Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof of the main result

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

(i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;

(ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian.

Proof. (i). Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.

(ii, \Leftarrow). Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.

(ii, \Rightarrow). Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof of the main result

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

(i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;

(ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian.

Proof. (i). Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.

(ii, \Leftarrow). Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.

(ii, \Rightarrow). Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof of the main result

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

(i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;

(ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian.

Proof. (i). Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.

(ii, \Leftarrow). Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.

(ii, \Rightarrow). Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof of the main result

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually finite. Then,

(i) $dc_X(G) > 5/8 \Leftrightarrow G$ is abelian;

(ii) $dc_X(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually abelian.

Proof. (i). Suppose $dc_X(G) > 5/8$. Then, $dc(G/N) > 5/8$ for every $N \trianglelefteq_{f.i.} G$. Hence, by Gustafson's thm, every finite quotient of G is abelian. Residual finiteness implies G abelian.

(ii, \Leftarrow). Suppose $G = \langle X \rangle$ is virtually abelian, $\langle Y \rangle = H \leq_{f.i.} G$ with H abelian. Then G is polynomially growing and $dc_Y(H) = 1 > 0$ so, $dc_X(G) > 0$.

(ii, \Rightarrow). Suppose G is not virtually abelian and let us prove that $dc_X(G) = 0$.

Proof of the main result

Obs. If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

Proof of the main result

Obs. If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

$$K_0 = G,$$

Proof of the main result

Obs. If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

$$K_1 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_0 = G,$$

Proof of the main result

Obs. If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch. \\ f.i.}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

$$K_2 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch. \\ f.i.}} K_1 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch. \\ f.i.}} K_0 = G,$$

Proof of the main result

Obs. If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch. \\ f.i.}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

$$\cdots \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch. \\ f.i.}} K_j \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch. \\ f.i.}} K_{j-1} \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch. \\ f.i.}} \cdots \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch. \\ f.i.}} K_2 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch. \\ f.i.}} K_1 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch. \\ f.i.}} K_0 = G,$$

Proof of the main result

Obs. If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

$$\cdots \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} K_i \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} K_{i-1} \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} \cdots \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} K_2 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} K_1 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{ch., \\ f.i.}} K_0 = G,$$

such that K_{i-1}/K_i is not abelian so, $dc(K_{i-1}/K_i) \leq 5/8 \quad \forall i$.

Proof of the main result

Obs. If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

$$\cdots \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_i \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_{i-1} \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} \cdots \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_2 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_1 \trianglelefteq_{\substack{\text{ch.} \\ \text{f.i.}}} K_0 = G,$$

such that K_{i-1}/K_i is not abelian so, $dc(K_{i-1}/K_i) \leq 5/8 \quad \forall i$.

Then $\forall i, \quad K_i \trianglelefteq G, \quad (G/K_i)/(K_{i-1}/K_i) = G/K_{i-1}$ and, by Gallagher,

$$dc(G/K_i) \leq dc(K_{i-1}/K_i) \cdot dc(G/K_{i-1}) \leq 5/8 \cdot dc(G/K_{i-1}).$$

Proof of the main result

Obs. If H is f.g., r.f., not virtually abelian then $\exists K \trianglelefteq_{\text{ch., f.i.}} H$ such that H/K is (finite) not abelian.

$$\cdots \trianglelefteq_{\text{ch., f.i.}} K_i \trianglelefteq_{\text{ch., f.i.}} K_{i-1} \trianglelefteq_{\text{ch., f.i.}} \cdots \trianglelefteq_{\text{ch., f.i.}} K_2 \trianglelefteq_{\text{ch., f.i.}} K_1 \trianglelefteq_{\text{ch., f.i.}} K_0 = G,$$

such that K_{i-1}/K_i is not abelian so, $dc(K_{i-1}/K_i) \leq 5/8 \quad \forall i$.

Then $\forall i$, $K_i \trianglelefteq G$, $(G/K_i)/(K_{i-1}/K_i) = G/K_{i-1}$ and, by Gallagher,

$$dc(G/K_i) \leq dc(K_{i-1}/K_i) \cdot dc(G/K_{i-1}) \leq 5/8 \cdot dc(G/K_{i-1}).$$

By induction, $dc(G/K_i) \leq (5/8)^i$ and so,

$$dc_X(G) \leq dc(G/K_i) \leq (5/8)^i,$$

for every i . Therefore, $dc_X(G) = 0$. \square

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem
- 5 Generalizations**
- 6 Degree of r -nilpotency

Generalizations

- We can replace $xy = yx$ by any *system of equations*.
- We can replace the *uniform measures on balls* to any *sequence of measures* (random walks, etc).

Definition

Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ be a set of abstract variables and \mathcal{F} the free group on it. Think elements $w \in \mathcal{F}$ as *equations*, $w = 1$, and subsets $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ as *systems of equations*. Define *solutions on a group G in the obvious way*.

Definition

Given $G = \langle X \rangle$ and a system of equations $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, we define the *degree of satisfiability of \mathcal{E} in G w.r.t X* as

$$ds_X(G, \mathcal{E}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^k \mid (g_1, \dots, g_k) \text{ sol. } \mathcal{E}\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^k} \in [0, 1].$$

Generalizations

- We can replace $xy = yx$ by any *system of equations*.
- We can replace the *uniform measures on balls* to any *sequence of measures* (random walks, etc).

Definition

Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ be a set of abstract variables and \mathcal{F} the free group on it. Think elements $w \in \mathcal{F}$ as *equations*, $w = 1$, and subsets $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ as *systems of equations*. Define *solutions on a group G in the obvious way*.

Definition

Given $G = \langle X \rangle$ and a system of equations $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, we define the *degree of satisfiability of \mathcal{E} in G w.r.t X* as

$$ds_X(G, \mathcal{E}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^k \mid (g_1, \dots, g_k) \text{ sol. } \mathcal{E}\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^k} \in [0, 1].$$

Generalizations

- We can replace $xy = yx$ by any *system of equations*.
- We can replace the *uniform measures on balls* to any *sequence of measures* (random walks, etc).

Definition

Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ be a set of abstract variables and \mathcal{F} the free group on it. Think elements $w \in \mathcal{F}$ as *equations*, $w = 1$, and subsets $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ as *systems of equations*. Define *solutions on a group G* in the obvious way.

Definition

Given $G = \langle X \rangle$ and a system of equations $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, we define the *degree of satisfiability of \mathcal{E} in G w.r.t X* as

$$ds_X(G, \mathcal{E}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^k \mid (g_1, \dots, g_k) \text{ sol. } \mathcal{E}\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^k} \in [0, 1].$$

Generalizations

- We can replace $xy = yx$ by any *system of equations*.
- We can replace the *uniform measures on balls* to any *sequence of measures* (random walks, etc).

Definition

Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ be a set of abstract variables and \mathcal{F} the free group on it. Think elements $w \in \mathcal{F}$ as *equations*, $w = 1$, and subsets $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ as *systems of equations*. Define *solutions on a group G* in the obvious way.

Definition

Given $G = \langle X \rangle$ and a system of equations $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, we define the *degree of satisfiability of \mathcal{E} in G w.r.t X* as

$$ds_X(G, \mathcal{E}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in (\mathbb{B}_X(n))^k \mid (g_1, \dots, g_k) \text{ sol. } \mathcal{E}\}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^k} \in [0, 1].$$

Generalizations

Definition

Let G and \mathcal{E} be as before. Fix a collection of measures μ_n in G . We define the *degree of satisfiability of \mathcal{E} in G w.r.t. μ_n* as

$$ds_X(G, \mathcal{E}, \{\mu_n\}_n) =$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n^{\times k}(\{(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G^k \mid (g_1, \dots, g_k) \text{ sol. } \mathcal{E}\}) \in [0, 1].$$

Generalizations

Meta-conjecture

Let G , \mathcal{E} , and $\{\mu_n\}_n$ be as above, with μ_n “reasonable”. Then,

$$ds(G, \mathcal{E}, \{\mu_n\}_n) > 0 \iff \mathcal{E} \text{ is a virtual law in } G.$$

Definition

\mathcal{E} is a *law* in G if every $(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G^k$ is a solution of \mathcal{E} in G .

\mathcal{E} is a *virtual law* in G if $\exists H \leq_{f.i.} G$ such that \mathcal{E} is a law in H .

Generalizations

Meta-conjecture

Let G , \mathcal{E} , and $\{\mu_n\}_n$ be as above, with μ_n “reasonable”. Then,

$$ds(G, \mathcal{E}, \{\mu_n\}_n) > 0 \iff \mathcal{E} \text{ is a virtual law in } G.$$

Definition

\mathcal{E} is a *law* in G if every $(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G^k$ is a solution of \mathcal{E} in G .

\mathcal{E} is a *virtual law* in G if $\exists H \leq_{f.i.} G$ such that \mathcal{E} is a law in H .

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Main definition
- 3 Finite index subgroups
- 4 A Gromov-like theorem
- 5 Generalizations
- 6 Degree of r -nilpotency**

Degree of r -nilpotency

Let us consider the r -equation: $[[[x_0, x_1], x_2] \cdots], x_r]$.

Notation: $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_r)$, $[\mathbf{u}] = [u_0, \dots, u_r] = [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r]$.

Definition

For a finite group G , the *degree of r -nilpotency* is

$$dn_r(G) = \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in G^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1\}|}{|G|^{r+1}}.$$

Observation

- If $dn_r(G) = 1$ then $dn_{r+1}(G) = 1$.
- A group is nilpotent of class r if and only if $dn_r(G) = 1$ and $dn_{r-1}(G) \neq 1$.

Degree of r -nilpotency

Let us consider the r -equation: $[[[x_0, x_1], x_2] \cdots], x_r]$.

Notation: $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_r)$, $[\mathbf{u}] = [u_0, \dots, u_r] = [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r]$.

Definition

For a finite group G , the *degree of r -nilpotency* is

$$dn_r(G) = \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in G^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1\}|}{|G|^{r+1}}.$$

Observation

- If $dn_r(G) = 1$ then $dn_{r+1}(G) = 1$.
- A group is nilpotent of class r if and only if $dn_r(G) = 1$ and $dn_{r-1}(G) \neq 1$.

Degree of r -nilpotency

Let us consider the r -equation: $[[[x_0, x_1], x_2] \cdots], x_r]$.

Notation: $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_r)$, $[\mathbf{u}] = [u_0, \dots, u_r] = [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r]$.

Definition

For a finite group G , the *degree of r -nilpotency* is

$$dn_r(G) = \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in G^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1\}|}{|G|^{r+1}}.$$

Observation

- If $dn_r(G) = 1$ then $dn_{r+1}(G) = 1$.
- A group is nilpotent of class r if and only if $dn_r(G) = 1$ and $dn_{r-1}(G) \neq 1$.

Degree of r -nilpotency

Let us consider the r -equation: $[[[x_0, x_1], x_2] \cdots], x_r]$.

Notation: $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_r)$, $[\mathbf{u}] = [u_0, \dots, u_r] = [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r]$.

Definition

For a finite group G , the *degree of r -nilpotency* is

$$dn_r(G) = \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in G^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1\}|}{|G|^{r+1}}.$$

Observation

- If $dn_r(G) = 1$ then $dn_{r+1}(G) = 1$.
- A group is nilpotent of class r if and only if $dn_r(G) = 1$ and $dn_{r-1}(G) \neq 1$.

Degree of r -nilpotency

Let us consider the r -equation: $[[[x_0, x_1], x_2] \cdots], x_r]$.

Notation: $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, \dots, u_r)$, $[\mathbf{u}] = [u_0, \dots, u_r] = [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r]$.

Definition

For a finite group G , the *degree of r -nilpotency* is

$$dn_r(G) = \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in G^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1\}|}{|G|^{r+1}}.$$

Observation

- If $dn_r(G) = 1$ then $dn_{r+1}(G) = 1$.
- A group is nilpotent of class r if and only if $dn_r(G) = 1$ and $dn_{r-1}(G) \neq 1$.

The infinite setting

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be f.g. The *degree of r -nilpotency of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dn_{r,X}(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1 \}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^{r+1}},$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

- *Is this a real limit ?*
- *Does it depend on X ?*
- *Is the meta-conjecture true for this equation ?*

The infinite setting

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be f.g. The *degree of r -nilpotency of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dn_{r,X}(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1 \}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^{r+1}},$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

- *Is this a real limit ?*
- *Does it depend on X ?*
- *Is the meta-conjecture true for this equation ?*

The infinite setting

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be f.g. The *degree of r -nilpotency of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dn_{r,X}(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1 \}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^{r+1}},$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

- *Is this a real limit ?*
- *Does it depend on X ?*
- *Is the meta-conjecture true for this equation ?*

The infinite setting

Definition

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be f.g. The *degree of r -nilpotency of G w.r.t. X* is

$$dn_{r,X}(G) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{B}_X(n)^{r+1} \mid [[[u_0, u_1], u_2] \cdots], u_r] = 1 \}|}{|\mathbb{B}_X(n)|^{r+1}},$$

where $\mathbb{B}_X(n) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq n\}$.

Question

- *Is this a real limit ?*
- *Does it depend on X ?*
- *Is the meta-conjecture true for this equation ?*

The gap for finite groups

Proposition

Let G be a finite group. Then, for $r \geq 1$,

$$dn_r(G) \leq dn_{r+1}(G) \leq \frac{1 + dn_r(G/Z(G))}{2}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} dn_{r+1}(G) &= \frac{|\{\mathbf{u} \in G^{r+2} \mid [u_0, \dots, u_{r+1}] = 1\}|}{|G|^{r+2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|^{r+2}} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in G^{r+1}} |\mathcal{C}([u_0, \dots, u_r])| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|G|^{r+2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{u} \in G^{r+1} \\ [u_0, \dots, u_r] \in Z(G)}} |G| + \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{u} \in G^{r+1} \\ [u_0, \dots, u_r] \notin Z(G)}} \frac{|G|}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The gap for finite groups

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{|Z(G)|^{r+1}}{|G|^{r+2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{u} \in (G/Z(G))^{r+1} \\ [\bar{u}_0, \dots, \bar{u}_r] = 1}} |G| + \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{u} \in (G/Z(G))^{r+1} \\ [\bar{u}_0, \dots, \bar{u}_r] \neq 1}} \frac{|G|}{2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{|Z(G)|^{r+1}}{|G|^{r+1}} \left(\frac{|G|^{r+1}}{|Z(G)|^{r+1}} dn_r(G/Z(G)) + \frac{|G|^{r+1}}{|Z(G)|^{r+1}} \frac{1 - dn_r(G/Z(G))}{2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1 + dn_r(G/Z(G))}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary

For $r \geq 1$, any finite group G , if $dn_r(G) < 1$ then $dn_r(G) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}$.

The gap for finite groups

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{|Z(G)|^{r+1}}{|G|^{r+2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{u} \in (G/Z(G))^{r+1} \\ [\bar{u}_0, \dots, \bar{u}_r] = 1}} |G| + \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{u} \in (G/Z(G))^{r+1} \\ [\bar{u}_0, \dots, \bar{u}_r] \neq 1}} \frac{|G|}{2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{|Z(G)|^{r+1}}{|G|^{r+1}} \left(\frac{|G|^{r+1}}{|Z(G)|^{r+1}} dn_r(G/Z(G)) + \frac{|G|^{r+1}}{|Z(G)|^{r+1}} \frac{1 - dn_r(G/Z(G))}{2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1 + dn_r(G/Z(G))}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary

For $r \geq 1$, any finite group G , if $dn_r(G) < 1$ then $dn_r(G) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}$.

The gap for finite groups

Corollary

For $r \geq 1$, any finite group G , if $dn_r(G) < 1$ then $dn_r(G) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}$.

Proof.

By induction on r . For $r = 1$ is ok.

Assume it true for r , and suppose $dn_{r+1}(G) < 1$. Then, $dn_r(G/Z(G)) < 1$ and, by induction, $dn_r(G/Z(G)) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}$. So,

$$dn_{r+1}(G) \leq \frac{1 + dn_r(G/Z(G))}{2} \leq \frac{1 + 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}}{2} = 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+3}}.$$



The gap for finite groups

Corollary

For $r \geq 1$, any finite group G , if $dn_r(G) < 1$ then $dn_r(G) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}$.

Proof.

By induction on r . For $r = 1$ is ok.

Assume it true for r , and suppose $dn_{r+1}(G) < 1$. Then, $dn_r(G/Z(G)) < 1$ and, by induction, $dn_r(G/Z(G)) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}$. So,

$$dn_{r+1}(G) \leq \frac{1 + dn_r(G/Z(G))}{2} \leq \frac{1 + 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}}{2} = 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+3}}.$$



The gap for finite groups

Corollary

For $r \geq 1$, any finite group G , if $dn_r(G) < 1$ then $dn_r(G) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}$.

Proof.

By induction on r . For $r = 1$ is ok.

Assume it true for r , and suppose $dn_{r+1}(G) < 1$. Then, $dn_r(G/Z(G)) < 1$ and, by induction, $dn_r(G/Z(G)) \leq 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}$. So,

$$dn_{r+1}(G) \leq \frac{1 + dn_r(G/Z(G))}{2} \leq \frac{1 + 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}}}{2} = 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+3}}.$$



The meta-conjecture for r -nilpotence

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually- p for infinitely many primes. Then,

(i) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}} \Leftrightarrow G$ is r -nilpotent;

(ii) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually r -nilpotent.

The problem here is that we still don't have the analogous to Gallagher's result:

If G is finite and $H \trianglelefteq G$, then is it true that

$$dn_r(G) \leq dn_r(H) \cdot dn_r(G/H) \quad ?$$

The meta-conjecture for r -nilpotence

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually- p for infinitely many primes. Then,

(i) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}} \Leftrightarrow G$ is r -nilpotent;

(ii) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually r -nilpotent.

The problem here is that we still don't have the analogous to Gallagher's result:

If G is finite and $H \trianglelefteq G$, then is it true that

$$dn_r(G) \leq dn_r(H) \cdot dn_r(G/H) \quad ?$$

The meta-conjecture for r -nilpotence

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually- p for infinitely many primes. Then,

(i) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}} \Leftrightarrow G$ is r -nilpotent;

(ii) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually r -nilpotent.

The problem here is that we still don't have the analogous to Gallagher's result:

If G is finite and $H \trianglelefteq G$, then is it true that

$$dn_r(G) \leq dn_r(H) \cdot dn_r(G/H) \quad ?$$

The meta-conjecture for r -nilpotence

Theorem

Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be of subexponential growth and residually- p for infinitely many primes. Then,

(i) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 1 - \frac{3}{2^{r+2}} \Leftrightarrow G$ is r -nilpotent;

(ii) $dn_{r,X}(G) > 0 \Leftrightarrow G$ is virtually r -nilpotent.

The problem here is that we still don't have the analogous to Gallagher's result:

If G is finite and $H \trianglelefteq G$, then is it true that

$$dn_r(G) \leq dn_r(H) \cdot dn_r(G/H) \quad ?$$

Connection to character theory

Corollary

Let G be a finite group. Then,

(i) $dn_1(G) = dc(G) = \frac{k(G)}{|G|};$

(ii) $dn_2(G) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \text{irr}(G)} \sum_{g \in [G]} \frac{\chi(g)}{\chi(1)};$

(iii) *Are there similar formulas for $dn_r(G)$, for $r \geq 3$?*

Connection to character theory

Corollary

Let G be a finite group. Then,

(i) $dn_1(G) = dc(G) = \frac{k(G)}{|G|};$

(ii) $dn_2(G) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \text{irr}(G)} \sum_{g \in [G]} \frac{\chi(g)}{\chi(1)};$

(iii) *Are there similar formulas for $dn_r(G)$, for $r \geq 3$?*

Connection to character theory

Corollary

Let G be a finite group. Then,

$$(i) \quad dn_1(G) = dc(G) = \frac{k(G)}{|G|};$$

$$(ii) \quad dn_2(G) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \text{irr}(G)} \sum_{g \in [G]} \frac{\chi(g)}{\chi(1)};$$

(iii) *Are there similar formulas for $dn_r(G)$, for $r \geq 3$?*

Connection to character theory

Corollary

Let G be a finite group. Then,

(i) $dn_1(G) = dc(G) = \frac{k(G)}{|G|}$;

(ii) $dn_2(G) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \text{irr}(G)} \sum_{g \in [G]} \frac{\chi(g)}{\chi(1)}$;

(iii) *Are there similar formulas for $dn_r(G)$, for $r \geq 3$?*

THANKS