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Proposition. Let $H \leq G$ be a characteristic, finite index subgroup of $G$. If $\operatorname{TCP}(H)$ is solvable then $\operatorname{TCP}(G)$ is solvable.

Proof. Let $\phi: G \rightarrow G$ and $u, v \in G$ be given. Then,

- Compute $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r} \in G$ such that $G=x_{1} H \sqcup \cdots \sqcup x_{r} H$, and consider the restriction $\phi_{H}: H \rightarrow H$ (all in terms of gen's).
- Write $u=x_{i} h_{u}$ and $v=x_{j} h_{v}$ (with $\left.h_{u}, h_{v} \in H\right)$.
- $\phi$-conjugate $u$ by each $x_{k}$, and check whether it belongs to same coset as $v$, say $\left(x_{k} \phi\right)^{-1} u x_{k} \in x_{j} H=H x_{j} H$.
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$$

- For each such $k$, want to know whether $\exists h \in H$ such that

$$
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x_{j}^{-1}(h \phi)^{-1} x_{j}\left[x_{j}^{-1}\left(x_{k} \phi\right)^{-1} u x_{k}\right] h & =x_{j}^{-1} v \in H, \\
\left.\left(h \phi_{H} \gamma_{x_{j}}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{j}^{-1}\left(x_{k} \phi\right)^{-1} u x_{k}\right)\right] h & =x_{j}^{-1} v \in H .
\end{aligned}
$$

- For each such $k$, want to know whether $\exists h \in H$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(h \phi)^{-1}\left(x_{k} \phi\right)^{-1} u x_{k} h=v & \in x_{j} H, \\
x_{j}^{-1}(h \phi)^{-1} x_{j}\left[x_{j}^{-1}\left(x_{k} \phi\right)^{-1} u x_{k}\right] h & =x_{j}^{-1} v \in H, \\
\left.\left(h \phi_{H} \gamma_{x_{j}}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{j}^{-1}\left(x_{k} \phi\right)^{-1} u x_{k}\right)\right] h & =x_{j}^{-1} v \in H .
\end{aligned}
$$

-This is decidable by using the $\phi_{H} \gamma_{x_{j}}-T C P(H)$ applied to elements $\left.x_{j}^{-1}\left(x_{k} \phi\right)^{-1} u x_{k}\right), x_{j}^{-1} v \in H$. $\square$
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However, Collins-Miller (1977) gave an example $H \leq_{2} G$ (so, $H$ characteristic in $G$ ) with $C P(H)$ solvable and $C P(G)$ unsolvable.

Corollary. There exists a f.p. group $H$ with $C P(H)$ solvable but $T C P(H)$ unsolvable.
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Proposition. (Bogopolski, Martino, Maslakova, V.) If $T C P\left(F_{n}\right)$ solvable, then $C P\left(M_{\phi}\right)$ solvable.

Proof. Let $t^{r} u, t^{s} v, t^{k} g$ be arbitrary elements in $M_{\phi}$.

- $\left(g^{-1} t^{-k}\right)\left(t^{r} u\right)\left(t^{k} g\right)=t^{r}\left(g \phi^{r}\right)^{-1} t^{-k} u t^{k} g=t^{r}\left(g \phi^{r}\right)^{-1}\left(u \phi^{k}\right) g$.
$t^{r} u$ and $t^{s} v$
conj. in $M_{\phi}$$\Longleftrightarrow \quad \begin{aligned} & r=s \\ & v \sim_{\phi^{r}}\left(u \phi^{k}\right) \text { for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} .\end{aligned}$
- To reduce to finitely many $k$ 's, note that $u \sim_{\phi} u \phi$ (because $\left.u=(u \phi)^{-1}(u \phi) u\right)$ and so,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{r} u \text { and } t^{s} v \\
& \text { conj. in } M_{\phi}
\end{aligned} \Longleftrightarrow \quad \begin{aligned}
& r=s \\
& v \sim_{\phi^{r}}\left(u \phi^{k}\right) \text { for some } k=0, \ldots, r-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Hence, $C P\left(M_{\phi}\right)$ reduces to finitely many checks of $T C P\left(F_{n}\right)$.
- ... except that this is wrong for $r=0$, where there still is a parameter with infinitely many values:
$u$ and $v$
conj. in $M_{\phi}$

- ... except that this is wrong for $r=0$, where there still is a parameter with infinitely many values:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u \text { and } v \\
\text { conj. in } M_{\phi}
\end{gathered} \Longleftrightarrow \quad \Longleftrightarrow \sim u \phi^{k} \text { for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text {. }
$$

- This is precisely Brinkmann's result:

Theorem. Given $\phi: F_{n} \rightarrow F_{n}$ and $u, v \in F_{n}$, it is decidable whether $v \sim u \phi^{k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
proved using train tracks, and providing a complicated algorithm. This completes the proof. $\square$
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Theorem. (Bogopolski, Martino, Maslakova, V.)
$T C P\left(F_{n}\right)$ is solvable.
Proof. Let $\phi: F_{n} \rightarrow F_{n}$, and $u, v \in F_{n}$ be given.

- Extend $\phi$ to $\phi^{\prime}$ as follows: $\begin{aligned} \phi^{\prime}: F_{n} *\langle z\rangle & \longrightarrow F_{n} *\langle z\rangle . \\ z & \mapsto u z u^{-1}\end{aligned}$
- Claim: $u \sim_{\phi} v \Leftrightarrow F i x\left(\phi^{\prime} \gamma_{v}\right)$ contains an element of the form $g^{-1} z g$ with $g \in F_{n}$. In this case, $g$ is a valid twisted conjugator.

Theorem. (Bogopolski, Martino, Maslakova, V.) $T C P\left(F_{n}\right)$ is solvable.

Proof. Let $\phi: F_{n} \rightarrow F_{n}$, and $u, v \in F_{n}$ be given.

- Extend $\phi$ to $\phi^{\prime}$ as follows: $\phi^{\prime}: F_{n} *\langle z\rangle \longrightarrow F_{n} *\langle z\rangle$.

$$
z \quad \longmapsto \quad u z u^{-1}
$$

- Claim: $u \sim_{\phi} v \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi^{\prime} \gamma_{v}\right)$ contains an element of the form $g^{-1} z g$ with $g \in F_{n}$. In this case, $g$ is a valid twisted conjugator.

In fact, if $v=(g \phi)^{-1} u g$ for some $g \in F_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(g^{-1} z g\right) \phi^{\prime} \gamma_{v} & =v^{-1}(g \phi)^{-1} u z u^{-1}(g \phi) v \\
& =g^{-1} u^{-1}(g \phi)(g \phi)^{-1} u z u^{-1}(g \phi)(g \phi)^{-1} u g \\
& =g^{-1} z g .
\end{aligned}
$$

- So the algorithm is as follows:
- compute $\phi^{\prime} \gamma_{v}$,
- compute generators for Fix $\left(\phi^{\prime} \gamma_{v}\right)$ (Maslakova, using train tracks again),
- draw Stallings graph for $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi^{\prime} \gamma_{v}\right)$,
- check whether $\exists$ loop labelled $z$ and connected to basepoint with a path not using $z$ 's.
- So the algorithm is as follows:
- compute $\phi^{\prime} \gamma_{v}$,
- compute generators for Fix $\left(\phi^{\prime} \gamma_{v}\right)$ (Maslakova, using train tracks again),
- draw Stallings graph for $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi^{\prime} \gamma_{v}\right)$,
- check whether $\exists$ loop labelled $z$ and connected to basepoint with a path not using $z^{\prime}$ s.

Remark. Checking whether Fix $\left(\phi^{\prime} \gamma_{v}\right)$ contains an element of the form $g^{-1} z g$ seems much easier (!?) than computing the full Fix $\left(\phi^{\prime} \gamma_{v}\right)$.

## PART II: Extension of the techniques to a bigger class of groups.

Consider an algorithmic short exact sequence of groups:

$$
1 \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\alpha} G \xrightarrow{\beta} H \longrightarrow 1
$$

- everything is given by gen's and rel's,
- can compute $\beta$-preimages in $G$,
- can compute $\alpha$-preimages of elements in $G$ mapping to $1_{H}$.

For every $g \in G$, consider $\psi_{g}: F \rightarrow F, x \mapsto g^{-1} x g$.
The action subgroup is $A_{G}=\left\{\psi_{g} \mid g \in G\right\} \leq \operatorname{Aut}(F)$.

Theorem. Let $1 \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\alpha} G \xrightarrow{\beta} H \longrightarrow 1$ be an algorithmic short exact sequence of groups such that
(i) $T C P(F)$ is solvable,
(ii) $C P(H)$ is solvable, and
(iii) there is an algorithm which, given an input $1 \neq h \in H$, computes a finite set of elements $z_{h, 1}, \ldots, z_{h, t_{h}} \in H$ such that

$$
C_{H}(h)=\langle h\rangle z_{h, 1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup\langle h\rangle z_{h, t_{h}}
$$

(in particular, $\langle h\rangle$ has finite index in $C_{H}(h)$ ).
Then,
$C P(G)$ is solvable $\Longleftrightarrow A_{G} \leq \operatorname{Aut}(F)$ is orbit decidable.

Proof. $C P(G)$ splits into two subproblems:

- given $u, v \in F$ decide whether they are conjugate in $G$ : this is orbit decidability of $A_{G} \leq \operatorname{Aut}(F)$.
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- check whether $g \beta, g^{\prime} \beta$ are conjugate in $H$; if not, $g, g^{\prime}$ are not conjugate in $G$ either.

Proof. $C P(G)$ splits into two subproblems:

- given $u, v \in F$ decide whether they are conjugate in $G$ : this is orbit decidability of $A_{G} \leq \operatorname{Aut}(F)$.
- given $g, g^{\prime} \in G \backslash F$ decide whether they are conjugate in $G$. Let us solve this using (i)-(iii):
- check whether $g \beta, g^{\prime} \beta$ are conjugate in $H$; if not, $g, g^{\prime}$ are not conjugate in $G$ either.
- Otherwise, compute $u \in G$ such that $(u \beta)^{-1}(g \beta)(u \beta)=g^{\prime} \beta$.

Proof. $C P(G)$ splits into two subproblems:

- given $u, v \in F$ decide whether they are conjugate in $G$ : this is orbit decidability of $A_{G} \leq \operatorname{Aut}(F)$.
- given $g, g^{\prime} \in G \backslash F$ decide whether they are conjugate in $G$. Let us solve this using (i)-(iii):
- check whether $g \beta, g^{\prime} \beta$ are conjugate in $H$; if not, $g, g^{\prime}$ are not conjugate in $G$ either.
- Otherwise, compute $u \in G$ such that $(u \beta)^{-1}(g \beta)(u \beta)=g^{\prime} \beta$.
- Changing $g$ to $g^{u}$, we can assume $g \beta=g^{\prime} \beta \neq 1_{H}$. Compute $f \in F$ such that $g^{\prime}=g f$.

Proof. $C P(G)$ splits into two subproblems:

- given $u, v \in F$ decide whether they are conjugate in $G$ : this is orbit decidability of $A_{G} \leq \operatorname{Aut}(F)$.
- given $g, g^{\prime} \in G \backslash F$ decide whether they are conjugate in $G$. Let us solve this using (i)-(iii):
- check whether $g \beta, g^{\prime} \beta$ are conjugate in $H$; if not, $g, g^{\prime}$ are not conjugate in $G$ either.
- Otherwise, compute $u \in G$ such that $(u \beta)^{-1}(g \beta)(u \beta)=g^{\prime} \beta$.
- Changing $g$ to $g^{u}$, we can assume $g \beta=g^{\prime} \beta \neq 1_{H}$. Compute $f \in F$ such that $g^{\prime}=g f$.
- Compute the centralizer of $g \beta \neq 1$ in $H$, and preimages $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{t}$ in $G: C_{H}(g \beta)=\langle g \beta\rangle\left(y_{1} \beta\right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup\langle g \beta\rangle\left(y_{t} \beta\right)$.
- Compute $p_{i} \in F$ such that $y_{i}^{-1} g y_{i}=g p_{i}$ ( $g \beta$ and $y_{i} \beta$ commute in $H$ ).
- Compute $p_{i} \in F$ such that $y_{i}^{-1} g y_{i}=g p_{i}$ ( $g \beta$ and $y_{i} \beta$ commute in $H$ ).
- All possible conjugators from $g$ to $g^{\prime}$ in $G$ commute with $g \beta=$ $g^{\prime} \beta$ in $H$, so they are of the form $g^{r} y_{i} x$, for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}, i=1, \ldots, t$ and $x \in F$. Now,

$$
\left(x^{-1} y_{i}^{-1} g^{-r}\right) g\left(g^{r} y_{i} x\right)=x^{-1}\left(y_{i}^{-1} g y_{i}\right) x=x^{-1} g p_{i} x
$$

- Compute $p_{i} \in F$ such that $y_{i}^{-1} g y_{i}=g p_{i}$ ( $g \beta$ and $y_{i} \beta$ commute in $H$ ).
- All possible conjugators from $g$ to $g^{\prime}$ in $G$ commute with $g \beta=$ $g^{\prime} \beta$ in $H$, so they are of the form $g^{r} y_{i} x$, for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}, i=1, \ldots, t$ and $x \in F$. Now,

$$
\left(x^{-1} y_{i}^{-1} g^{-r}\right) g\left(g^{r} y_{i} x\right)=x^{-1}\left(y_{i}^{-1} g y_{i}\right) x=x^{-1} g p_{i} x
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{-1} g p_{i} x=g f \Longleftrightarrow & g^{-1} x^{-1} g p_{i} x=f \\
& \left(x \varphi_{g}\right)^{-1} p_{i} x=f \\
& f \sim_{\varphi_{g}} p_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is finitely many checks of $T C P(F)$.

This applies, for example, to algorithmic short exact sequences

$$
1 \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\alpha} G \xrightarrow{\beta} H \longrightarrow 1
$$

where

- $F$ is virt. abelian, virt. free, virt. surface, virt. polycyclic and
- $H$ is hyperbolic + torsion elements having finite centralizers.
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## The free-by-free case
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Theorem. (Brinkmann) Cyclic subgroups of $A u t\left(F_{n}\right)$ are O.D.

Corollary. Free-by-cyclic groups have solvable conjugacy problem.

Theorem. (Whitehead) The full $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$ is O.D.

Corollary. If $\left\langle\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}\right\rangle=\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$ then $G$ has solvable conjugacy problem.

Proposition. Every f.g. subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{2}\right)$ is O.D.

Corollary. Every $F_{2}$-by-free group $G$ has solvable conjugacy problem.
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Proposition. Every f.g. subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{2}\right)$ is O.D.

Corollary. Every $F_{2}$-by-free group $G$ has solvable conjugacy problem.

But...

Theorem. (Miller) There exists a free-by-free group $G$ with $C P(G)$ unsolvable.

Corollary. There exists a 14-generated subgroup $A \leq A u t\left(F_{3}\right)$ which is orbit undecidable.

The abelian-by-free case
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The abelian-by-free case

$$
1 \longrightarrow F=\mathbb{Z}^{n} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H=F_{n} \longrightarrow 1
$$

Proposition. Every f.g. subgroup of Aut $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is O.D.

Corollary. Every $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-by-free group $G$ has $C P(G)$ solvable.

But...

Theorem. There exists a subgroup of $G L_{4}(\mathbb{Z})$ which is orbit undecidable.

Corollary. There exists a $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$-by-free group $G$ with $C P(G) \underline{\text { unsolvable. }}$
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Theorem. There exists a subgroup of $G L_{4}(\mathbb{Z})$ which is orbit undecidable.

Proof. Consider $F_{2} \simeq\left\langle P=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2\end{array}\right), Q=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)\right\rangle \leq 24 G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.

- $\operatorname{Stab}(1,0)=\{M \mid(1,0) M=(1,0)\}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ n & \pm 1\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$.
- $\langle P, Q\rangle \cap \operatorname{Stab}(1,0)=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 12 & 1\end{array}\right)\right\rangle$.
- Choose a free subgroup $\left\langle P^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq\langle P, Q\rangle$ such that $\langle P, Q\rangle \cap \operatorname{Stab}(1,0)=\{I\}$ and consider

$$
B=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
P^{\prime} & 0 \\
\hline 0 & I
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
Q^{\prime} & 0 \\
\hline 0 & I
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
I & 0 \\
\hline 0 & P^{\prime}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
I & 0 \\
\hline 0 & Q^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle \leq G L_{4}(\mathbb{Z}) .
$$

Note that $B \simeq F_{2} \times F_{2}$.

- Write $v=(1,0,1,0)$. By construction, $B \cap \operatorname{Stab}(v)=\{I\}$
- Write $v=(1,0,1,0)$. By construction, $B \cap \operatorname{Stab}(v)=\{I\}$
- Take $A \leq B$ with unsolvable membership problem.
- Write $v=(1,0,1,0)$. By construction, $B \cap \operatorname{Stab}(v)=\{I\}$
- Take $A \leq B$ with unsolvable membership problem.
- Claim: $A \leq G L_{4}(\mathbb{Z})$ is orbit undecidable.

In fact, given $\varphi \in B \leq G L_{4}(\mathbb{Z})$ let $w=v \varphi$ and

$$
\{\phi \in B \mid v \phi=w\}=B \cap(\operatorname{Stab}(v) \cdot \varphi)=(B \cap \operatorname{Stab}(v)) \cdot \varphi=\{\varphi\} .
$$

So, orbit decidability for $A$ would imply membership problem for $A \leq B$.
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## Questions:

Question. Does there exist an orbit undecidable subgroup of $G L_{3}(\mathbb{Z})$ ?

Question. Does there exist a $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$-by-free group $G$ with $C P(G)$ unsolvable ?

Question. Find more groups with twisted conjugacy problem

Question. Can the twisted conjugacy problem be useful for cryptography?

THANKS

